

And for the better Understanding of the Reason of it, and of the Books which have treated thereof, it must be known, That it is enacted by a Statute made 18 E. 1. called *Statutum de (a) Quo Warranto novum*, concerning the Writ that is called *Quo Warranto*, our Lord the King hath established, that all those who claim to have quiet Possession of any Franchise, before the Time of King *Richard*, without Interruption, and can shew the same by a lawful Enquest, shall well enjoy their Possession. And in Case that such Possession be demanded for Cause reasonable, our Lord the King shall confirm it by Title. And those that have old Charters of Franchise, shall have the same Charters adjudged according to the Tenor and Form of them: And those that have lost their Liberties since *Easter* last past, by the aforesaid Writ, according to the Course of pleading in the same Writ heretofore used, shall have Restitution of their Franchise lost; and from henceforth they shall have according to the Nature of this present Constitution.

In 2 E. 3. 29. The King brought a *Quo Warranto* against *Reg. Mortimer* and *Johan* his Wife, before Justices in Eyre in the County of *Middlesex*, to shew by what Warrant they claimed to have Conufance of every manner of Pleas, as well of the Crown as other, *contra voluntatem nostram*, in their Manor of *T.* where *Roger de Mortimer* and *Johan* said, That *Walter de Lacy* Ancestor of the said *Johan* was seised of the said Manor of *T.* and of other Lands after Time of Memory, that is to say, in the Time of *R. 1.* and had the said Franchise to have Conufance of Pleas in the said Manor, long Time before *R. 1.* from which *Walter* the Inheritance descended to many Daughters, and conveyed Part after Partition made, to the Wife of the Defendant, in Allowance of other Lands, &c. and the Defendants prayed in Aid of the other Coparceners, and the Justices denied the Aid, and because the Defendants held themselves to the Aid, and would not say other Thing, the Justices in Eyre forejudged them of the said Franchise, and thereupon the Defendants brought a Writ of Error out of the Chancery, returnable in the King's Bench; and the first Judgment was reversed for two Reasons, which Sir *Jeffrey Scrope* openly declared. 1. That the Justices ousted the Defendants of Aid, where the Aid was grantable. 2. That they have forejudged the Defendant of the Franchise; *i. e.* to forfeit the Franchise for ever; for in some Case the Franchise ought to be seised into the King's Hands, and in some Case seised as in his Right till he has made Fine, and in some Case shall be forejudged: But Forejudger holds for ever: And therefore there *Scrope* said, we see by this

(a) 2 Inst. 280,
231.

1 Rol. 141.
Sayer's Argument in Quo Warranto 15.
2 E. 3 28. b.
29. a.

Record, that the Justices ousted the Defendant of the Aid, where by Law the Aid is grantable; and further awarded that they should be forejudged of the Franchise, because they would not otherwise plead, but held themselves to the Aid; where for want of pleading the Franchise ought not to be forejudged, but seised, altho the Defendants answer had not been sufficient; therefore the Court awarded that the Judgment be as erroneous, &c. and for null held, &c. and sue you to have the Franchise.

But *Nota* Reader that it is to be understood, and so it may be collected by the Book, That the said Franchise had been allowed by the Justices in Eyre. And therewith agrees 18 H. 6. (a) c. 45. if a Man has Allowance in Eyre of such Franchise which lye in Point of Charter, as to have Countess, &c. that he may prescribe (by the Help of the Allowance of Record) in such Franchises. And so, as it seems by the said Statute of 18 E. 1. well expounded, that is to say, That the Party who has such Allowance, which is such Possession as the Statute intends, may prescribe in such Franchises which lie in Point of Charter. And it stands upon great Reason for the Charter may be made before the Conquest, and of such Antiquity, that the Charter it self and every enrolment of it is utterly perished and consumed; and therewith agrees 8 H. 8. (b) *Keitway ubi supra*. And as to the Objection which was made, that in the Case at Bar no Trial could be by the Country, whether the Abbot had Felons Goods, *vide* 8 E. 3. 10. b. § 11. a. *John* brought a Replevin of Sheep against the Abbot of *Peterborough*, and diverse others, The Abbot avowed the Taking by Reason that he is Lord of the Hundred of *F.* within which Hundred he has Franchise to have all the Chattels of Felons and Fugitives within the same Hundred to take them by himself or his Officers; And that *Robert le Porter* stole the said Sheep, being the Goods of the said *John* the Plaintiff, and would have driven the Sheep foresaid through the Town of *C.* within the same Hundred; whereupon the said Abbot and the others, would have arrested the said *Robert* as a Felon, and thereupon *Robert* fled to the Church of *Libbone*, which is within the same Hundred, and there before a Coroner of the said County he confessed the said Felony, and thereupon he made Abjuration; so the Abbot is seised of the said Sheep, as of his own Goods by his said Franchise. To which Avowry Exception was taken, because he claims *catalla Felonum & Fugitivorum*, and has not shewed Title of Right,

(a) 2 Inst. 281.

(b) 2 Inst. 281
2 Rol. 201.
Yelv. 189, 190.
Antea 28. a.

as to say, That he and his Predecessors have been seised from all Time (that is to say with Allowance *ut supra*) or by the King's Charter. To which it was answered, Forasmuch as he has said, that he has such Franchise, he need not to shew the Plaintiff by what Title he claims to have such Franchise: But when the King brings his (a) *Quo warranto* against him; then must he shew his Title. And the Plaintiff was awarded to answer over, *ex quo sequitur*, that the general Avowry, that the Abbot had such Franchise, that is to say, to have Felons Goods within the said Hundred, being awarded good, that it is issuable and triable by the Country, whether the Abbot had such Franchise or not; for if the Matter of the Avowry was not issuable and triable, the Avowry could not have been awarded to be good.

(a) Palm. 90.
Cr. Jac. 43.

(b) Post. 52. a.
2 Rol. Rep. 156.
Palm. 81.
Hard. 456.
1 Vent. 409, 412.
2 Brownl. 341.

As to the 5. *Termino Hill.* 40 *Eliz. Edward* (b) *Amercendith* Esq; put in his Claim into the Exchequer for Issues, Goods and Chattels of Felons, &c. within his Manor of *Stokenham*, and of the Hundred of *Colridge* within the County of *Devon*, which fell in *Anno* 35 & 36 *Eliz.* and the Case was such: King *H. 8.* was seised in Fee of the said Manor and Hundred, and *inter alia*, by his Letters Patent, 25 *Feb.* 35 *H. 8.* granted to Queen *Katharine* his Wife the said Manor and Hundred *inter alia* for her Life; and by other Letters Patent, 28 *Feb.* 35. granted that the Queen should have, for Term of her Life, within the said Manor and Hundred *bona & catalla felonum, fugitivorum, utlagatorum, &c.* Fines, Amerciaments; Issues, &c. as well of Royal Officers, as of others; &c. *annum, diem, & vastum, &c.* to be discharged of Purveyance for the King his Heirs and Successors, and of Carriages; to be exempt from the Jurisdiction of the Admiral; and to have Admiral's Jurisdiction, and to nominate Coroners and Escheators, &c. and afterward Queen *Katharine* died, and conveyed the said Manor and Hundred by mean Descents to Queen *Mary*; who 22 *Junii Anno* 1. by her Letters Patent granted the said Manor and Hundred to *Francis* Earl of (c) *Huntington*, and *Katharine* his Wife (late Parcel of the Possessions of *Margaret* Countess of *Salisbury*, and afterwards assigned to Queen *Katharine* for her Jointure) and that they within the said Manor should have, (d) *tot, talia, eadem, & hujusmodi Libertates, Privilegia Franchestias, Jurisdictiones, &c. quot, qualia, quanta, & que predicta Comitissa Sarum, aut aliquis vel aliqui premissa aut aliquam inde parcelam aut tunc habentes*

(c) 3 Bullst. 292.

(d) 3 Bullst. 292.

bentes, possidentes, aut seifiti inde existentes unquam habuerunt, tenuerunt aut gavisi fuerunt, &c. infra premissa, &c. ratione vel pretextu alicujus carte, doni, seu concessionis, seu aliquarum literarum patentium, &c. To have and to hold to them in Tail, with divers Remainders in Tail, the Remainder over in Fee, with a general *Non obstante*; and conveyed the said Manor with all Liberties, Privileges, Franchises, &c. by mean Conveyances, to the said *Edward Amercedith* and his Heirs. And upon all this Matter the Question was, if *Amercedith* should have all the said Franchises, &c. which were granted, as aforesaid, to Queen *Katharine*. And in that Case two Questions were moved, one, because the Reference in the Letters Patent of Queen *Mary* was general, *sc. quot, qualia, quanta, & que aliquis seu aliqui, &c.* And then the Grant of the Liberties being general, (a) *tot, talia, &c.* without expressing any in certain, and the Reference being also general, it was objected it was too uncertain in the King's Case: But the Case in 20 E. 3. (b) *Avowry* was well agreed; for there altho' the Grant of the Liberties was general, yet the Reference was certain: But it was resolved by *Periam* Chief Baron, & *totam Curiam*, that altho' the Grant and Reference (c) were general, yet it ought to be applied to a certain Particular, as in that Case to the Charter made to Queen *Katharine*. *Et (d) certum est quod certum reddi potest:* And they agreed that such general Grants had been often allowed in the Exchequer. The second Doubt was, That forasmuch as Queen *Mary* had granted an Inheritance in the Franchises, &c. such general (e) Grant, with such general Reference should not be applied to a Grant which the King made for Life only; and that was the greater Doubt: For it was objected, That if Queen *Mary* had referred it to the Charter made to Queen *Katharine*, yet without a special Grant, that they should have such Liberties in Tail, &c. which Queen *Katharine* had for Life, such Liberties which she only had for Life should not pass to them in Tail; for the Queen's Grant shall be taken to a common Intent: But here the Case is stronger, For the Charter of Queen *Mary* doth not refer to the Charter of Queen *Katharine*, but only by general Words, *prout aliquis seu aliqui, &c.* But it was resolved, That when a Charter has general (f) Reference to other Charters, it is as much in Law as if all the Charters had been recited, for they are of Record. And although Queen *Katharine*

(a) Moor 417, 418.

(b) 20 E. 3. Avowry 129. Cart. 148.

(c) Plow. 12. b. Cr. El. 794. Post. 47. a. 52. a. Hob. 174. Raym. 54. 10 Co. 46. b. 64. a.

(d) 2 Rol. 185, 201. 4 Co. 66. b. 5 Co. 5. a. Co. Lit. 45. b. 96. a. 142. a. Lane 51. Herl. 98.

(e) 6 Co. 6. a.

(f) 10 Co. 64. a. Raym. 54. Supra. in c.

had

Cr. El. 794.

had the Manor and Hundred but for Life, yet it is within the exprefs Words of the Reference, viz. *aliquis seu aliqui premissa ante tunc habentes, possidentes, aut seisciti inde existentes, unquam habuerunt, tenuerunt, seu gavisi fuerunt*, so that Queen Katharine was within this Word (*seisciti*) for she was seised of the Manor, &c. And I acquainted Popham Chief Justice with this Resolution, and he agreed with it: And it was well observed, That the Reference doth not extend to the Quantity of the Estate, but to the Quality of the Franchises, whereof they to whom the Reference was made were seised, be they seised for Life, in Tail, or in Fee.

(a) Ant. 24. b.
25. a. 1 Bullst. 130.
(b) Mo. 621, 622.
Dramf. Cor. 152.
153. Co Lit. 156.
b. 294. a. 3 Inst.
27, 28, 29. Fitz.
Cur. 34 Br. Cor.
153. Br. Trial
103, 142. B. N.
C. 221. Br. Ju-
rors 48. 1 H. 4.
1. a.
(c) 2 Co. 16. b
4 Co. 93. b.
Hard. 340.
Bngdm. 21.
(d) 2 E. 2. Fitz.
Trial 46. 2 Rol.
577. 4 Inst. 279.
Dyer 185. pl. 65.
Moor 14. 15.
1 And. 20. 21.
17 E. 3. 50. b. Br.
Trial 36, 88.
Doct. pl. 148.
Rast. Ent. 228.
a. 117. Trial 55.
(e) Br. Trial 50.
Br. Appeal 137.
2 Roi. 577.
(f) 1 Bullst. 130.
1 Kol. Rep. 305.
2 Roi. 572, 573.
Co. Lit. 380. b.
(g) 1 Bullst. 130.
1 Kol. 287, 796.
Cr. Jac. 420,
221, 442, 581.
Poph. 130.
2 Sord. 212, 213.
8 Co. 50. b.
C. El. 55. Pal.
221, 245, 252.
1 Kol. Rep. 305.
Yelv 53.
1 Sid. 321, 322.

As to the 4 Point, there are (a) diverse Manners of Trial allowed by the Com. Law, beside the said three mentioned in the Argument of this Case, that is to say, of Matters in Fact by Jurors; of Matters in Law by the Justices; and of Matters of Record by the Record it self. As in Treason the Trial of one who is a (b) Peer of the Realm, i. e. a Lord of the Parliament, shall be upon an Indictment of Treason or Felony, tried by his Peers, without any Oath, but upon their Honours and Allegiances; but in an Appeal at the Suit of a Subject they shall be tried *per probos & legales homines juratos*, &c. 10 E. 4. 6. b. &c. (c) Customs and Usages of every Court shall be tried by the Judges of the same Court, if they be pleaded in the same Court, 11 E. 4. 2. b. In (d) Dower or (e) Appeal brought of the Death of her Husband, or in Assise brought by a Woman who was the Wife of B. if the Tenant or Def. pleads that the Husband is living, the Trial shall not be by Jury, but by the Justices, upon Proofs made before them, for greater Expedition, 6 E. 3. 29. 17 E. 3. 30. 43 Ass. p. 26. 8 H. 6. 23. a. 33 H. 6. 8, 9, 10. Diversity of Courts 119. 36 Ass. 5. Vide 39 Ass. p. 9. 43 Ass. p. 4. In a Writ of Error to reverse a Fine for Nonage, or in an *Audita querela* to reverse a Recognisance or Statute for Nonage, there the Age shall be tried by the (f) Inspection of the Justices, and not by the Country; for that which Judges of Record do as Judges, shall not be tried by Jury. If an Infant appears by (g) Attorney, it is Error, but it shall be tried by Jury, and not by the Justices; for the Making of the Warrant of Attorney is the Act of the Party, without Examination of the Justices: And yet the Appearance by Attorney is recorded by the Court, and therefore if the Plaintiff makes Attorney in Court, and the Def. pleads that the Pl. is dead, and one appears and says he is the Plaintiff, which is denied by the other Party, The Justices shall adjudge if he who now appears be the same Person who before made an Attorney in Court; and therefore with agrees 34 H. 6. 43. If the Ten't in a real Action vouches

As heir within age, or if the ten't for life be impleaded, and he prays in aid of *A.* in rev'on within age, and prays that the parol may demur, &c. in both cases, if the demandant replies that he is of full age, it shall not be tried by the country for the great delay to the demand't; but a writ shall be awarded to the sheriff, commanding him *qd' ve. sa. tali die præd' A. ut per aspect' corporis sui constare poterit præfat' Justic' nostris si præd' A. sit plene atat', necne, &c. Vide 17 E. 2. Accompt (a)* 21. 33 E. 3. Accompt 130. &c. (b) *Maibem* may be tried by inspection of the Court, 28 Aff. 5. 21 H. 7. 33. b. 11 E. 4. 2. If question be made if these be the summoners or viewers which appear, it shall be tried by the examinat. of the justices, 33 H. 6. 10. a. Earl (c) or not Earl, Baron or not Baron shall not be tried by jury, nor by the justices, but by the K.'s writ, as appears in the *Countess of Rutland's case*, in the 6 part of my Rep. 35 H. 6. 46. a. &c. 19 E. 4. (d) in a plea of alien born, the league between the K. and the sovereign of the alien shall be tried by the record of the Chancery, for every league is of record. And generally all matters of record shall be tried by the record it self, and not by jury, or otherwise, 19 H. 6. 52. 9 H. 7. 2. a. 5 E. 4. 3. a. 6 H. 7. 3. 1 H. 7. 29. b. *Plow. Com.* 231. a. If antient demesne be pleaded of a manor and denied, it shall be tried by the record of the book of (e) *Domesday* in the Excheq. but if issue be taken, that certain acres are parcel of the manor, which is antient demesne, it shall be tried by jury; for it can't be tried by the said book, 22 Aff. 45. But *vide* 44 E. 3. 32. a. in (f) an attachment upon a prohibition they were at issue, if the suit in court-christian was for tithes, or for rent reserved, and it was tried by jury, and not by the rolls of the Bish. for they are not of record. The same law of all other courts, which are not of record, 34 H. 6. 49. a. 9 E. 4. 43. and therewith agrees 44 E. 3. 32. a. and (g) probate of a will shewed forth under the seal of the ordinary, yet the other party may plead, that he who is dead died intestate, as it is held 44 E. 3. 16. a. So if issue be taken upon the probate of a will, or if administration was committed (altho' they shew the Bp's let. testimonial) it shall be tried by jury; and therewith agrees 13 El. Dy. (h) 294. b. *ibid.* 21 H. 6. 24. a. When a man is found ideot from his birth by office, he who is so found ideot, (falsly as he supposes) may come in person into chancery before the chancellor, and pray that before him and such justices or sages of the law, which he shall call to him (and are called the K.'s counsel) he may be examined, if he be ideot or not; or his friends may sue a writ out of the chancery, returnable in the chancery, to bring him into the chancery, *ibid' cor' nob' & consilio nostro examinand'*; and if it be found upon such examination, that he is no ideot, the office found thereof, and the whole examination which has been made by force of the writ, or the K.'s commission, is utterly void, without any traverse, or

(a) 1 Bullst. 131:

1 Rol. 117.

(b) 2 Rol. 578.

Br. Trial 57, 60.

Br. App. 46, 70.

Fitz. Cor. 209.

Plow. 125. a.

(c) 6 Co. 53. a.

7 Co. 15. a.

Calvin's Case,

12 Co. 70, 94, 95.

Co. Lit. 16. b.

Stile 252, 253.

Fitz. Challenge

44. Br. Chal-

lenge 18.

22 Aff. 24.

Moor 767.

2 Inst. 50.

2 Rol. 575.

Poitca 49. a.

(d) 19 E. 4 6. b.

(e) Dy. 250.

pl. 87.

Hob. 188.

Salk. 57.

(f) Fitz. At-

tachment, sur

Prohibition 6.

Br. Attachment

sur Prohib. 3.

(g) Poitca 41. a.

Br. Averment

48. Fitz. Estop-

pel 9.

Plowd. 282. a.

Br. Estop. 36.

Doctr. pl. 353.

(h) Dyer 294.

pl. 7.

monstrans de droit, or other suit, as appears by the register & F. N. B. (a) 233. *vid.* 16 E. 3. *livery* 30. *Nota Lett'*, now by the Stat. made *an'* 32 H. 8. c. 46. Ideots and their lands are in the survey of the court of wards, &c. An (b) apostate shall be certified by the abbot, or other religious governor to whom he owes obedience, F. N. B. 233. register 267. a. In some cases, as in (c) general bastardy, (d) excommungement, loyalty of matrimony, profession, and divers other ecclesiastical matters shall be tried by the certificate of the Bp. In *appeal*, and upon approvement, the Def. in some cases may plead, not guilty, and try it with the Pl. by combat, or (e) battail in proper person before the justices, 17 *Aff. p. 1.* (f) 19 H. 4. 3. So in a writ of right the Ten't may join issue upon the meer right, and try it by combat or battle by his champion, with a freeman the champion of the demandant (and not in person) before the justices, 9 E. 4. 35. a. (g) 1 H. 6. 6. b. 3 H. 6. 55. b. If it be in question whether the Sheriff made such a return, it shall be tried by the Sher. 9 H. 4. 1. a. b. trial by certificate of the Sher. upon a writ directed to him in case of privilege, if one be citizen (i) or foreigner, 10 H. 6. 10. If a question be made if such a one be Sher. it shall be tried by the exam'on of the Sher. himself, 10 H. 4. 7. b. yet he is made by let. patent of record, and therefore it may likewise be tried by record, 32 H. 6. 26. b. A return made by the Under-sher. if it be denied, shall be tried by the Under-sher. and the Sher. can't disavow it, if he confesses him to be his Under-sher, 10 H. 4. 7. b. If an approver says that he commenced his appeal before the coroner by durefs, it shall be tried by the coroner, and if the coroner denies it, he shall be hanged, 12 *Aff.* 29. 12 E. 3. *Coro.* 118. Trial if the Stat. shewed forth be the true Stat. or not shall be by the exam'on of the mayor and clerk of the Stat. who took the Stat. and not by jury, F. N. B. 104. a. *Regist.* 27 E. 3. 42. (k) Cust. of *Lond.* shall be tried by the mayor and aldermen, and certified by the mouth of the recorder, 5 E. 4. 30. 21 E. 4. 16. In an assise the ten't says that the lands are seised in the K's hands, it shall be tried by the exam'on of the escheator, 9 H. 4. 1. 38 *Aff.* 16. If one in avoidance of an (l) utlagary alledge, that he was in prison at *Bourdeaux ultra mare*, in *servitio majoris de Bourdeaux*, it shall be tried by the certificate of the mayor, 4 E. 4. 10. And in like cases such trials shall be by the certificate of the marshal 'm' of the host, 21 E. 4. 10. *Lit.* 21. F. N. B. 85. and by the Capt. of (n) *Calice*, 21 E. 4. 11. 1 H. 7. 5. by a messenger of a thing done beyond sea, as in (o) *Bartie's* case, 2 *El.* 176. *vid.* 10 H. 3. 4. At the *petit cape*, the ten't said that he was imprisoned 3 days before the default, and 3 days after, it shall be tried by the exam'on of the attorney 13 R. 2. *Examinat.* 22. Not attached by 15 days in *assise*, shall not be tried by jury, but by exam'on of the Bailiff; so that the ten't was not summoned *secundum legem terre*, shall not be tried by jury, but by wager of law, and wager of law countervails a jury; for the tenant shall make his law *de duodecima manu*, i. e. eleven beside him

(a) F. N. B. 233. b.
 (b) F. N. B. 233. c.
 (c) Co. Lit. 74. a. 1 Rol. 361. Palm. 301. Hob. 179. 206. Plow. 12. b. 12 Co. 67.
 (d) Co. Lit. 134. a. 8 Co. 68.
 (e) Br. Appeal 55. Br. Battle 6.
 (f) 9 H. 4. 3. b. Fitz. Cor. 78. Br. Battle 1.
 (g) Fiz. Droit 1. Br. Droit. 20.
 (h) Fitz. Trial 35.
 (i) Co. Lit. 74. a.

(k) Co. Lit. 74. a. 2 Rol. 579. Hob. 85. Br. Trial 138. Cr. Car. 517.
 (l) Co. Lit. 74. a. Cr. Car. 365. 2 Rol. 583.

(m) 21 E. 4. 17. b. Co. Lit. 74. a.
 (n) 2 Rol. 83, 583.
 (o) Dyer 176. pl. 30. Jenk. Cent. 220. 3 Inst. 180. Moor. 329.

self (and that for to avoid delay) unless it be against a Corporation, as Mayor and Commonalty, for then it shall be tried by the Country for Necessity, because he can't wage Law. In a Writ of *Deceit*, upon a Recovery by Default, the Trial shall be, if the Judgment was given upon the *Petit Cape*, by the Summoners, if upon the *Grand Cape*, by Summoners, Pernors, or Viewers, and not by the Country, 48 E. 3. 11. b. So if a Recovery by Default in a real Action be pleaded, to which the other says, not comprised, it shall not be tried by Jury, but by the Summoners and Viewers, 10 H. 4. 7. and yet there is no Remedy if they say falsly; and therefore *ubi est majus periculum, ibi cautius est agendum*. The Cause of Challenge, shall be tried by two (a) Triers to be appointed by the Justices, 9 E. 4. 5. b. 15 E. 4. 24. a. 4 E. 4. 18. 18 E. 4. 18. a. 16 E. 4. 7. b. 14 H. 7. 1. b. 19 H. 6. 48. b. 20 Ass. 15. 7 H. 4. 46. a. But Trial of any of the Grand Jury shall be taken before four Knights. Also Trial may be in Debt upon a simple Contract, Detinue, &c. either by Wager of Law of the Defendant himself, or by Jury at the Defendant's Election, *Vide* 30 Ass. p. 19. Trial by Jury of Attornies of the Common Pleas, and the Exchequer. As to divers other Trials, as 1. *Per (b) medietatem linguæ*. 2. *Per primos Juratores & alios, & per primos only*, upon not comprised and Certificate of Assise. 3. By Jury with Witnesſes adjoined. 4. By Trial by Grand Assise, above the Number of 12. that is to say by 16. 5. By Trial in *Attaint* by 24. I have omitted these and divers other the Like, because they are Trials by Jurors, and for them, *vide* 22 E. 3. 14. the Statutes of 25 E. 3. *Stat. Staple*, c. 8. 27 E. 3. *Stat. Staple*, c. 8. 21 H. 6. 4. 28 E. 3. c. 14. 2 H. 5. c. 3. 8 H. 8. c. 28. *The Stat. of York, cap. 2.* 43 E. 3. 2. 44 E. 3. 34. 11 Ass. p. 19. 7 Ass. 20. 18 Ass. p. 11. 29 Ass. 57. 40 Ass. 34. 30 E. 3. 8. b. 7 H. 4. 4. 5 H. 7. 8. b. 4 Ass. 19. 22 Ass. 16. 29 Ass. 7. 31 Ass. p. 6. 38 Ass. 4. 40 Ass. 4. 48 Ass. 1. 5 H. 5. 1 H. 6. 5. 4 H. 6. 28. 12 H. 4. & *cætera patent*. Concerning Trials by particular Custom, I wholly omit them on purpose. It appears by antient Records, as well before the Conquest as since (for no Credit is to be given to Conjectures) that then there was another Manner of Trial in criminal Causes, and that was called *Ordalium*, and in the Saxon Language (c) *Ordal*, which is as much as to say, *expers Criminis*; for *or* in the said Language is privative, and *del* is part, *i. e. no Parry, or Not guilty*, and then the Defendant being arraigned, and pleading Not guilty, might chooſe whether he would put himself upon

(a) 2 Rol. 663; 664.

(b) 10 Co. 104. a. Poph. 35. Cr. El. 305. 818, 841. Dy. 144. pl 59, 60. Dall. 22. pl. 5. Jenk. Cent. 216. 3 Inst. 27. Stanf. Coron. 159. a. b.

(c) Seld. Janus 84, 85. Spelm. Gloss. Tit. Ordalium.

upon God and the Country, which is upon the Verdict of 12 Men (as they do to this Day) or upon God only, and therefore it was called *Judicium Dei*, presuming that God would deliver the Innocent, *sc.* if he was of free Estate, then *per Ignem, sc.* to pass over *novem vomeres ignitos nudis pedibus*, and if he escaped *illesus*, then he should be acquitted, and if not, he should be condemned, *& si pars rea fuit servilis conditionis*, then he might put himself upon the Trial of God, *sc. per aquam*, and that in diverse Manners: All which appear in *Lambard verbo Ordalium*, with all the superstitious Vanities appertaining to it: And thereof *Glanvil* wrote, who wrote in the Time of *H. 2. lib. 14. cap. 1 & 2. & 17 Regis Johannis in turri London' membr' 25. Rex Petro de Scudamor & aliis, &c. Mandamus vobis quod conveniatis una cum Vicecom' nostro Winton' ad diem & locum competentem, & tanquam Justiciarii nostri capi faciatis judicium ferri a Robin' fre' Petit pas, quod ei adjudicatum est per Justiciarios nostros itinerantes tempore interdicti, & tunc capi non potuit quia appellatus fuit de morte hominis, & contra legem inde ceperitis, faciatis inde quod judicium dederit, mandamus enim Vicecom' nostro Wintonia, &c.* This Manner of Trial was called *Vulgaris purgatio*, utterly forbid by the Cannons of the Church, as Temptations of God, and not lawful Trials, and that they were Invented *fabricante Diabolo: Et in Gloss. dicitur, Vulgaris purgatio prohibetur, quia fabricante Diabolo est inventa, cum sit contra preceptum Domini, Non tentabis Dominum Deum tuum.*

And afterwards the said Trial called *Ordel, viz. judicium ignis & aque*, was taken away by Parliament: And that appears *Rot. Pat. Anno 3 H. 3. membr. 5.* For the Record says, *Provisum fuit per Regem & Concilium, &c.*

And this was the true Manner of the said Trial of *Ordel*: And altho' it was first forbidden by the Canons, yet it remained in use within this Realm, till it was utterly taken away by Authority of Parliament. And *Monomachia, i. e. Duellum* is also forbid by the Canons, but yet forasmuch as it is not taken away by Parliament, it (in some Case as appears before) remains even to this Day. Of this Manner of Trial by Combate or Battle, not only *Glanvil* writes *lib. 2. cap. 3. 4 & 5.* as he writes also of *Ordel*, but *Bracton lib. 3. Tractat' 3. cap. 21. fol. 140.* And *Briton cap. 22.* writes only of

2 Inlt. 248.
3 Inlt. 157,
158, 159.

of the Trial by Battail, and not of *Ordel*, because that, when they wrote, was utterly taken away and condemned. *Vide Deuter. cap. 18. ver. 10.* All which (because many have erred in this Point of Antiquity) I thought worthy to be imparted to the studious Reader. 2 Inst. 248.

Bucknal's Case.

P. Ash. 42 Eliz. in *Bucknal's Case* in the *Common Pleas*, divers Points were resolved, 1. That there is a Difference when the Lord in his Avowry varies from the Truth of the Quality of the Services, by Colour of Seisin and Possession which he has got from his Tenant; and when he varies from the Truth of the Quantity of the Services, by Reason of Seisin which he has got of more than he ought to have of the same Nature; as the Case there was: *Bucknal* vowed, because the Plaintiff held of him certain Land, by Fealty, Rent, and Sute of Court, and alledged Seisin of the same, and for the Rent Arrear, &c. where the true Tenure was by Fealty and Rent only, in this Case the Seisin of the Land is not material, because it is of another Quality and Nature, and the Tenure originally was not charged with any Service of such Quality as Sute, and therefore in such Case the Tenure is traversable. But where the Rent was more *per an.* if the Lord has got quiet and voluntary Seisin of more Rent than he ought, as of 3 *s.* (without any Coercion of the Tenant) there because the Tenancy is charged with Service of such Nature and Quality, and it is not to be presumed that the Tenant would voluntarily pay more Rent than he ought, there the Seisin in an Avowry is traversable, and not the Tenure. And the Stat. of *Mag Char' c. 10 (b) Nullus dicitur ad faciendum majus servitium de feodo militis, nec de*

Cr. El. 799.
Winch. 18.
Doct. pl. 318.
F.N.B. 10.g.h.
Pl. Com. 94.b.

(a) Co. Lit.
153. a.

(b) 2 Inst. 21.
3 Co. 65 a.
F. N.B. 10 c.
de Plowd. 243. b.

de alio libero tenemento, quam inde debetur, by Construction extends to the Right, and not to the Possession: To which Purpose on that Act the Writ of *N^e (a) injuste vexes*, which is in the Right, is grounded; and therewith expressly agree *F. N. B. 10. c. 5 Regist' 4. a. Vide 10 E. 3. 25. 22 E. 3. 18. b.* and this also appears in the old Book of 18 E. 2. *Avowry* 217. In *Repl'* brought by R. the Def. avow'd upon the Pl. because one C. was seised of the Tenancy, and held of the Avowant by Fealty, and 20 d. *per ann.* of which Services he was seised by the Hands of C. &c. as by the Hands of his very Tenant, which C. enfeoffed the Pl. and for 20 d. Arrear for one Year he avowed upon the Pl. to which the Pl. said, that the said C. his Feoffor held of the Avowant by Fealty and 12 d. and as to that nothing arrear. To which bar of the Avowry Exception was taken, because he did not answer to the Seisin. To which *Shard* of Counsel with the Pl. answered, That the Pl. is a strange Purchaser, where he can't have a *b) No injuste vexes*, wherefore he ought to discharge himself by Plea. But Sir *William Bereford* Ch. Justice of the Common Pleas, gave the Rule; You may say that the Seisin was by outrageous Distress, and that you do not say; wherefore we hold the Seisin rightful, and you do not deny the Seisin; and therefore advise of it: For which Reason *Shard* by the Rule of the Court traversed the Seisin. In which was observed the great Regard the ancient Judges had of Seisin and Possession to maintain it against the said Statute of *(c) Magna Charta*, altho' the Act was in the Negative, and therefore the stronger. *Vide 34 E. 1. Disclaimer 30.* an Infant shall answer to the Seisin had by his own Hands: in 8 E. 3. 18. b. *Robert de Woodhouse* Archdeacon of *Richmond*, brought an *Affise of Dervain Presentment* against the Prior of *Pomfret*, and prayed that the *Affise* would inquire, who had presented the last Parson to the Church of *S. Sampson of York*; and afterwards *Robert* was Non-sute; wherefore it was awarded, that the Prior should have a Writ to the Bishop; but *cesset executio* till the Collusion was enquired of; and there Sir *William Herle* Chief Justice of the Bench charged the Recognitors of the *Affise*, first to enquire among themselves if the Writ was brought by Collusion, to make the Advowson come into Mortmain; and if they should find that the Writ was brought by Collusion, that they should not enquire of the Right of the Prior, but if they should not find Collusion, then they ought to enquire of the Right of the Prior, and if he had Right, which of his Predecessors present, and in the Time of what King. And in Evidence to prove the Prior's Right, a Charter of King *Stephen* was shewed, by which the said King gave the

(a) 2 Inst. 21.
8 Co. 65. a.
Plow. 273. b.

(b) F. N. B. 11. c.

(c) Mag. Clar.
c. 10.
Antea 33. 2.

the said Advowson to such a Prior, his Predecessor, and to his Successors; and the Enquest returned and said, That the Writ was not brought by Collusion, and further said, That the Prior nor his Successors had never presented within Time of Memory, but always the Archdeacon and his Predecessors: To which *Herle* Chief Justice said, We have no Warrant to enquire of the Right of the Archdeacon, but of the Prior's Right; wherefore you are to say, if the Prior has Right, or not; and when the Enquest were in doubt what to say, *Herle* said, altho' a Man had Right before Time of Memory, if he nor his Ancestors were never seised after Time of (a) Memory, he is ousted of his Right, and therefore according to your Intent, if you have said the Truth, that the Prior or any of his Predecessors were never in Possession after Time of Memory, you may safely say that the Prior has no Right. *Et ita dixerunt.* *Nota* Reader, I have put this Case at length, because it is notable for divers Points, and chiefly for the great Respect the Judges gave to the Possession, without regarding any ancient Charter of the King, or any Right by Colour thereof, altho' it was Matter of Record, and betwixt the Charter and the Case then in Question there were not above 176 Years, and that in the Case of a Prior, who in many Cases shall not be so prejudiced by the Latches of his Predecessor, as a private Man.

(a) Ant. 28. 8.

But in the Case of Seisin of more Rent than ought to be, that shall bind in an (b) Avowry. But in *Ne injuste vexes, Cessavit, Assise, Rescous* or *Trespas*, such Seisin of more Rent shall be avoided, for there the Tenure and not the Seisin is traversable: And for these Differences. *Vide* 10 E. 3. 25. 12 E. 3. *Avowry* 104. 22 *Aff.* 68. 28 *Aff.* 53. 5 H. 5. 4. 10 H. 6. 3. b. 30 H. 6. 5. 33 H. 6. 44, 45. 37 H. 6. 25. 12 E. 4. 7. b. 16 E. 4. 11. 21 E. 4. 64. F. N. B. 10. *Pl. Com.* *Woodland's Case*, 94, 95. 4 E. 2. *Avowry* 200. notwithstanding the Statute of *Magna Charta* the Lord shall avow for Relief according to the Seisin of the Quantity of the Knight's Fee that the Lord has encroached, for *relevium non est servitium*, but incident to Service.

(b) 4 Co. 11. b.
2 Init. 21.
Plowd. 94. b.
10 H. 7. 11. b.
8 Co. 65. a.
Doct. pl. 313.
F. N. B. 9. 102

But this Case of Seisin in case of Avowry receives certain Limitations: For 1. (c) the Issue in Tail shall avoid in an Avowry Seisin had by the Hands of Ten't in Tail, 20 E. 3. *Avowry* 131. F. N. B. 10. 2. The Successor of a Bishop or Prior, &c. shall avoid in an Avowry Seisin by the Hands of the Predecessor. 3. The very Tenant of the Land shall avoid such Encroachment of Rent in Avowry, if he has a Deed to shew the Contrary; but none shall have *Contra* (d) *formam Feoffamenti*, but the Feoffees or

(c) 10 Co. 108. 2.
4 Co. 11. b.
2 Init. 25, 118.(d) 4 Co. 121. b.
2 Init. 118.

his Heirs, 10 H. 7. 11. 22 H. 6. 50. F.N.B. 163. 18 E. 3. 18. 3 E. 3. 27, 28. 10 E. 3. 25. 22 E. 3. 18. 28 Aff. 33. 28 E. 3. 92. 22 H. 6. 3. 30 H. 6. 7. 33 H. 6. 22. 39 H. 6. 7. 7 E. 4. 24. 5 H. 5. 4. 14 H. 4. 5. 11 E. 3. *Avowry* 106. 4 E. 3. *Avowry* 201, 202. 12 R. 2. *Avowry* 266. that in *Avowry* the Heir of the Feoffee, upon a Deed shewed, shall avoid Seisin by his own Hands, 31 E. 1. *Avowry* 244, & 31 E. 1. *Avowry* 241. 6 E. 2. *Avowry* 216. 4 E. 2. *Avowry* 202. 32 E. 3. *Avowry* 114. & in 19 E. 3. *Avowry* 122. Willy said, that he had seen between Privy and Privy, Privy and Stranger, and Stranger and Stranger, the same Point to avoid Encroachment of Seisin in *Avowry* adjudged upon shewing of a Deed. And all this is grounded upon the Statute of Malbridge, c. (a) 9. *Qui autem per Cartam pro certo servitio tot solidorum annuatim pro omni servitio solvend' fecerunt sunt, ad sectam vel ad aliud, contra formam fecerunt de cetero non teneantur.* 4 Encroachment of Seisin is not material, where there is no Tenure, 20 E. 4. 2. b. 22 H. 6. 2. b. 5. Such Seisin shall be avoided by Coercion of Distress, 12 E. 4. 7. b. 8 H. 6. 17. a. b. 47 E. 3. 4. a. 6 If the Rent be payable at two Days, and the Lord encroaches Seisin at four Days of the Year, and at two Days, where he ought to pay it but at one, this Encroachment being voluntary shall be avoided in *Avowry*, because they agree in the Sum, 21 E. 4. 8.

And it is worthy Observation, Where and How Seisin in *Avowry* shall be traversed. 1. In (b) *Avowry* the Tenant shall not plead, Never seised of the Services generally, for thereby he leaves the Lord no Remedy, neither by *Avowry*, nor by Customs and Services; and therefore if he be (c) Tenant in Fee-simple, he ought to disclaim, or he ought to plead out of his Fee, and so traverse the Tenure; and therewith agree 22 H. 6. 3. & 30 H. 6. *Avowry* 15. by all the Justices. And where it is said in 5 E. 4. 2. that the very Tenant shall not plead out of his Fee, for if it should be found against him, it is not peremptory to him, but it shall be peremptory to the Lord, and so not equal, and therefore in such Case he shall disclaim, the contrary to that is adjudged in (d) 28 H. 6. 10. in the Point, and *Fortescue* there shewed two or three Judgments in Terms. *Vide* 15 E. 2. *Avowry* 214. 24 E. 3. 34. 11 H. 4. 10. 12 H. 4. 23. 8 H. 6. 17. a. b. & 21 H. 6. 22. 21 H. 7. 10, and *Brook* in abridging the Saying in 5 E. 4. 2. *Hors de son se* 15. says, *quod non est lex*; And the Abridgment of *Fitzherbert* of 35 H. 6. 19. *Hors de son se* 17. is not warranted by the Book at large. 2. He who denies Seisin after the Limitation, ought first to acknowledge a Tenure, to the End the Lord may have his Writ of *Customs and Services*; as if the Lord

(2) 2 Inst. 117.
113.

(b) Doct. pl.
132.

(c) Doct. pl.
132.

(d) 28 H. 6. 10. 2.

alleges the Tenure by Fealty, Rent, and Sute of Courts, and alleges Seisin within Time of Limiration, and avows for Sute arrear, the Ten't may confels the Tenure by Fealty and Rent; and to the Sute never seised after the Limitation. And therewith agree 15 E. 2. *Avowry* 214. (a) 18 E. (a) Fitz. Avow. 10. b. & 22 E. 3. 32. against the Opinion ill reported, in (b) 10 H. 6. 6. b. & 7. a. 3 If the Lord avows for Services, and alleges Seisin by the Hands of the Plaintiff, or any other in the *Replevin*, as by the Hands of his very Tenant, the Tenant may plead that the Avowant was never seised by his Hands, &c. and therewith agree 24 E. 3. 50. 19 E.

Avowry 224. (c) 22 H. 6. 2. b. & 3. a. 4 That Seisin is not traversable, but only of that for which the Avowry is made, unless Seisin be alleged of a Superior Service (for which the Avowry is not made) which in Law is a Seisin of the Inferior, as in (d) 26 H. 8. 1. a. where the Tenure is by Rent and divers other Services, and Seisin is alleged in all, and Avowry for the Rent only, there the Seisin of the Rent is only traversable: But if the Tenure be by Homage, Fealty, Escuage, and Rent of 2 s. and Seisin alleged in all, and he avows for Homage, he shall be received to traverse the Seisin of the Escuage, for that is Seisin of the Homage, 21 E. 3. 52. a. 15 E. 3. *Avowry* 103. 19 E. 2.

(e) *Avowry* 224. And where it is said, That when the Lord varies in the Nature and Quality of the Services, that the Tenure is traversable, that is true, when the Tenant confesses Tenure in Part, as is aforesaid; but he can't traverse the whole Tenure; as if the Defendant in *Replevin* avows upon the Plaintiff for Rent and Services as upon his very Tenant, the Plaintiff can't say that he holds the same Land of a Stranger, without that that he holds of the Avowant, but he ought to disclaim or plead out of his Fee; and therewith agree 10 H. 6. 6. b. & 7. a. 35 H. 6. *Avowry* (f) 37

H. 6. 25. a. 11 H. 4. 11. 19 E. 2. *Avowry* 222. 15 E. 2. *ibid.* 214. And at first, the Plaintiff in the Case at Bar would have pleaded, That he held the Land in the Avowry, and other Lands by Fealty and Rent, without that that he held the Land in the Avowry *modo & forma*; and the Court was moved, If the Plea in Bar of the Avowry was good? And the Plaintiff's Counsel conceived that the Plea was good, and they cited the Books in (g) 8 H. 7. 5. a. & H. 7. 25. b. where the Case was, That in *Replevin* the Defendant avowed, That the Plaintiff held of him one Acre of Land by Fealty, and 12 d. and for Rent Arrear; the Plaintiff said, That he held the said Acre, and another Acre of Land in the same Town by the Services of 6 d. *absq;* that he held the one Acre of Avowant *modo & forma*; and *Brian* there conceived the traverse good. But

(a) Fitz. Avow. 7

(b) Fitz. Avow. 199.
Br. Avow 116.
Keston 35. a.(c) Fitz. Avow. 14.
3. Avow. 56.

(d) Br. Avow. 1.

(e) 4 Co 8 b:

(f) Fitz. Avowry 28.
Br. Avow 76.(g) Gor'b. 24:
Br. Avowry 87.
B double
Plea 53.

(2) Fitz. Avow.
146.
Et Avow. 40.

the Court preferred the Book of 5 H. 5. 4. b. where the Case was, in (a) *Replevin* in this Court the Def. avowed, by reason the Pl. held of him 4 Yards of Land call'd *Crispinlond* by Fealty, and 10 s. Rent *per ann.* &c. of which Services he was seised, &c. and for Rent arrear. The Pl. said, that he held of him 2 Yards of Land by Fealty, and 5 s. Rent only, without that that he held 4 Yards in the Manner and Form as he had avowed; And *Hull*, who gave the Rule, held it no Plea, for as to discharge of 5 s. Rent, it went in Bar; and as to that, that he held but 2 Yards, it went in Abatement, and so contained double and different Matter: Also he answered not to the Seisin, &c. wherefore by the Rule of the Court, the Pl. pleaded in Abatement of the Avowry, and said that he held 2 Yards of the Defend. by the Services of Fealty, and 5 s. and the other 2 Yards by Fealty, and demanded Judgm. of that Avowry; the Conclusion of which Plea made it single enough: To which the Avowant replied, that he held of him in Manner and Form as he had avowed; and thereupon Issue was joined, and there-with agrees 18 E. 3. 18. a. where the Def. in *Replevin* avow'd upon the Pl. because he held of him a Carve of Land by Homage, Fealty, and 10 s. *per an.* &c. the Pl. said, That he held that Carve and another Carve by Homage, Fealty, and Rent of 10 s. as one entire Tenancy, and demanded Judgm. of the Avowry, which supposes the Parcel in gross by it self, (and a good Plea, for otherwise he might be double charged,) and the Avowant maintained that the Carve in the Avowry was an entire Tenancy, &c. But it was resolved, That if the Pl. agrees with the Avowant in the Services, and varies in the Quantity of Land, there a Traverse may be, without that, that he holds *modo & forma*, or with a *Tantum*. And therefore in 20 H. 6. 20, 21. if the Def. avows because the Pl. holds 16 Acres of him by certain Services, and the Pl. says that he holds those 16 Acres, and other 16 Acres, without that, that he holds 16 Acres *tantum*, the Avowry shall abate. Also if he makes several Avowries, supposing two Acres to be severally held, where they are held by entire Services, or *econtra*. Vide (b) 9 H. 6. 27. a. (c) 7 H. 4. 102. 4 E. 2. 34. 43 E. 3. 13. 47 E. 3. 5 E. 4. 2. temp. E. 1. Avowry 228. 2 E. 2. Avowry 184. 24 E. 3. 34. 32 E. 3. Avowry 114. 36 E. 3. 16. 41 E. 3. Avowry 77. And afterwards the Pl. in the principal Case agreed with the Avowant in the Quantity of the Tenancy, confessed the Tenure by Fealty and Rent, and as to the Rent, *Nihil* arrear, and traversed the Tenure *modo & forma*, sc. *absq; hoc*, that the Tenancy was held by Fealty, Rent, and Sute of Court, in Manner and Form, &c. And the Traverse was good by the Rule of the Court, although the Avowry was made for Rent only; whereupon Issue was joined, and 'twas found, that the Land was held by Fealty and Rent, and not by Sute of Court;

(b) Fitz. Re-
p. 146.
Et Avowry 9
(c) 7 H. 4. 102.
Et Avow. 37
Fitz. Avow. 50.

Court; and altho' the Avowry was made for Rent arrear, yet forasmuch as the Tenure alledged by the Avowant was traversed and found against him, it was adjudged *M. 42 & 43 El.* against the (a) Avowant, for it would be in vain to make it traversable, and yet if it be found against the Avowant, that he should have a Return. And *Lit. lib. 3. cap. Attornment 127.* says, That the Seigniorship is entire, altho' there are divers manner of Services, which the Tenant ought to do, and Tenure by Fealty and Rent is another Tenure than the Defendant has alledged in his Avowry, wherefore Judgment was given for the Plaintiff.

Nota Reader, altho' the Purview of the Act of (b) 21 H. 8. c. 19. be general, That the Lord may avow, &c. as in *Postea 136. 2. Co. L. 1. 268. D. 269. D.* Lands and Tenements within his Fee and Seigniorship, alledging the same Lands to be holden of him, without naming any Person certain, or upon any Person certain; yet all necessary Incidents are intended, and therefore the Avowant ought to alledge Seisin by some Hands, (c) 27 H. 8. 4. b. 2. agrees; but the ancient Form of alledging Seisin shall not be altered, and therefore the Avowry shall be made generally after the Stat. of 32 H. 8. c. 2. as it was used before; but the Plaintiff in Bar of the Avowry may plead never seised within 40 Years, &c. and therewith agree 1 Mar. (d) Brook 107. & 14 El. Dyer (c) 315. And if the Lord by the Stat. of 21 H. 8. alledges Seisin in his Avowry, and avows the Distress, as within his Fee and Seigniorship, and upon no Person in certain, in such Avowry every Plaintiff in the *Replevin*, be he Termor or other, may have every Answer to the Avowry, which is sufficient also have Aid and every other Advantage in Law; and it is not now an Exception that he is a Stranger to the Avowry; for in such Case, forasmuch as the Avowry is upon no Person in certain, either none is a Stranger to it, or every one is a Stranger to it: And therewith agree 34 H. 8. Br. Avowry, 113. 27 H. 8. 4. b. & 20. b.

(b) Ant. 23. b.
Postea 136. 2.
Co. L. 1. 268. D.
269. D.

(c) Br. Avowry
4.
Cr. Car. 83.

(d) 8 Co. 65. a.
Br. Avow. 107.
B. N. C. 444.
(e) Dy. 315. a
pl. 101.
Cr. Car. 83.
5 Co. 65. 2.

Trin. 42 Eliz. Reg.

Hensloe's Case.

Hensloe brought an Action of Debt against Gage and others, as Executors; the Defendants pleaded in Abatement of the Writ, that the Testator made one Hillesley Co-executor with them, who had administered, &c. not named in the Writ, Judgment of the Writ. To which the Plaintiff said, That before any Administration, &c. The said Hillesley being cited with the others to prove the will before the Ordinary, refused, and the Defendants only proved the said Will, &c. upon which the Defendants demurred in Law. And it was objected, That after this Refusal Hillesley could not administer for two Reasons. 1. Because Hillesley may waive the Executorship, and shall not be Executor against his Will; *jus Testamentorum pertinet ad Ordinarium*, as it is said in (a) 4 H. 7. 13. b. when Hillesley once refused before the Ordinary, who is lawful Judge of the Cause, and thereby waived the Executorship, and utterly discharged himself thereof, he can't resume it afterwards, as in all Cases of Interest and Authorities, when one waives and refuses to take the Interest or Authority, and especially before a lawful Judge in an ordinary Course of proceeding, he shall never after agree to it. And therefore suppose in this Case that Hillesley had been joined in the Writ, and he had pleaded, Never Executor, never administered as Executor, shall he be afterwards received to administer? It was said clearly no. 2. It was strongly urged, That

(a) *Plow 185. a.*
282. b.
Br. Detr 140
Fitz. Executor
41.

That if all the Executors are cited before the Ordinary to prove the Will, and all refuse, the Ordinary may accept this Refusal, and thereupon commit Administration, and after that Refusal they shall never take upon them the Charge of the Will, nor administer as Executors, because they have before a lawful Judge in an ordinary Course of Proceeding waived it before; and if they might all refuse before the Ordinary, and this Refusal shall bind them, what Reason is there if any of them refuse before him, that it shall not bind them?

And as when Executors, (agreeing to the Will) administer, they can't afterwards refuse, as it is held in (a) 9 E. 4. 33. a. 47. b. *Plow. Com. Greisbrook's Case* 280. So when any of the Executors once before a competent Judge, refuse, they shall not after agree. 2. It was objected that the Bar was not good, because the Defendants have not alledged, that the Will was proved, according to the Opinions in (b) 3 H. 7. 14. a.

But it was resolved without open Argument, That the Plaintiff's Replication to maintain his Writ was not sufficient; for notwithstanding the Refusal of *Hillesley* in this Case, he might administer after at his Pleasure. And the Court took this Difference, When many are named Executors, and some of them (c) refuse, and some of them prove the Will, those who refuse may afterwards at their Pleasure administer, notwithstanding this Refusal before the Ordinary: But if all refuse before the Ordinary, and the Ordinary commits Administration to another, there they can't afterwards administer: And this Difference is proved by our Books in 21 E. 4. 24. a. where it is resolved by the Justices,

That if (d) 20 are named Executors, and one proves the Will, it sufficeth for them all, and the Refusal before the Ordinary is not any Estoppel against them to administer after when they please in our Law, and we have no Regard in this Point to the Law of the Church: And the Executor who proves ought to (e) name them who refuse in every Action to recover the Testator's Debt, and they may (f) release the whole Debt: And it is clear that they who refuse shall have an Action by Survivor. But it is held in 36 H. 6. 8. a. That if a Man makes two Executors, and both refuse before the Ordinary, now they can never after administer as Executors by Force of the Will, for now the Testator dies (g) intestate: Otherwise when one proves and the other refuses before the Ordinary, the other may administer with him when he will; in (h) 41 E. 3. 22. a. One Executor brought an Action of Debt, and shewed forth the Will, which proved that he had another Executor, and the Def. pleaded to the Writ that he is alive: To which the Pl. said, That before the Ordry he was discharg'd of the Administration and that he

(a) Eitz. Executor 35.

Br. Execut. 90.

Br. Ordinary 13.

Poltea. 37. b.

(b) Postea 37. b.

(c) Dyer 160.

pl. 42.

Cr. El. 92.

Moor 273.

1 Leon. 135.

2 Brownl. 58, 59.

Wentw. 54, 59.

Owen. 44.

1 Rol. 907.

1 Anderf. 27.

Hardr. 111.

Swinb. 358.

2 R. 3. 20. b.

(e) Br. Execu-

tor 117.

(e) Went. 59,

60.

Plowd. 184. b.

2 R. 3. 20. b.

22. a.

Br. Execut. 168.

Perk. 8. 485.

Thelo. 58.

Salk. 307, 311.

1 Rol. Rep. 176.

(f) 5 Co. 28. a.

Br. Administer

20.

21 E. 4. 24. a.

Swinb. 281.

(g) Dyer 236.

pl. 27.

(h) 1 Rol. Rep.

176.

Eitz. Executors

463

Br. Executors

27.

Stattham Exe-

never 2015 4.

(a) 7 E. 4. 12. b.
 13. a. Fitz. Ad-
 ministrat. 8. Br.
 Executors 111.
 Plow. 281. b.
 (b) Fitz. Vari-
 ance 65. Fitz.
 Executors 93.
 (c) 15 E. 3. Fitz.
 Executors 80.
 (d) Fitz. Execu-
 tors 67. Br.
 Executors 31.
 Perk. Sect. 285.
 (e) 11 H. 4. 83. b.
 S. a. 2. B. Det. 65.
 Br. Administ. 20.
 (f) Fitz. Admi-
 nistrat. 19.
 Br. Administ. 15.
 Br. Execu-
 tors 39.
 (g) Poitea.
 (h) Decret. pl.
 170.
 Swinh. 358.
 (i) Fitz. Execu-
 tors 25. Br. Ex-
 ecutors 20.
 (k) Br. Execu-
 tors 166.
 (l) Fitz. Execu-
 tors 18. Br. Ex-
 ecutors 78. Br.
 Double Plea 53.
 (m) 1 Mod. Rep.
 213.
 (n) Fitz. Execu-
 tors 35. Br. Ex-
 ecut. 90. 9 E. 4.
 47. a. b. Br.
 Ordinary 13.
 (o) Ant. 37. a. sup.
 Plow. 281. b.
 Fitz. Admini-
 str. 11.
 (p) 2 Rol. 217.
 2 Inft. 231. 488.
 Perk. Sect. 486.
 11 H. 7. 12. b.
 Br. Testam. 27.
 5 Co. 16. a. b.
 Caudrey's Case
 1 Sid. 46.
 Vaugh. 207.
 Selden Jurisdic-
 tion de Testa-
 ments 9. 10.
 Vide S. a. 57.
 contra.

never administered, and because he might administer at his Pleasure, it was adjudged that the Writ should abate. But it is resolved by *Littleton, Newton, and Danby* in (a) 7 E. 4. 13. a. That if all the Executors refuse before the Ordinary, they may prove the Will afterwards. In 22 E. 3. 19 b. *Debt* by (b) two Executors, and Will shewed, the Def. said, that in the Will 3 are made Executors, the third not named, &c. Judgment of the Writ; the Pl. replied that the Third refused before the Ordinary, and would not administer, and was discharged by the Ordinary, &c. and it was adjudged that the Writ should abate. And therewith agree 15 E. 3. (c) *Executors* 8. (d) 42 E. 3. 26. a. b. (e) 11 H. 4. 83. b. 35 H. 6. 37. a. 21 H. 6. 23. b. 2 R. 3. 20. b. But it appears in (f) 50 E. 3. 9. a. (g) 3 H. 7. 14. a. That if all refuse before the Ordinary, he may grant Administration.

2. It was resolved, That in *Debt* against one as Executor, it is a good (k) Plea to say That the Testator made him and another Executor, who has administered, and is alive, without saying that the Will is proved; and therewith agree 33 (i) H. 6. 38. a. 32 (h) H. 6. 25. b. 22 (l) H. 6. 59. b. 3 H. 4. *Administration* 22. For after the Executors have administered, and so have once (m) taken upon them the Charge of the Executorship, they can't afterwards refuse, (n) 9 E. 4. 33. a. 37. *Plow. Com. Greisbrook's Case* 280. So that it was resolved, that the Plea in Bar was good: And so the Doubt conceived in (o) 3 H. 7. 14. *obiter* well explained. Also the Pl. in his Replication has shewed, that the Will was proved, &c. and so, if necessary, has made the Bar good. And I well agree that this Case was upon manifest and manifold Authorities and Judgments in Books adjudged according to Law, which was the Reason that in a Case so clear the Judges did not shew the Reason and Causes of the same Differences, nor made any answer to the said Objections, which some learned in the Law desired, for their Satisfaction to be done. As to that it is to be known, That it is held in 2 R. 3. *Testament* 4. That it is but of (p) late Years that the Church had the probate of Wills in this Land, until it was by an Act, &c. For the People have Probate of Wills in all other Places, except *Eng.* and in many Places in *Eng.* the Lords of Manors have probate of Wills at this Day in their temporal Courts. And *Tremail* there said, That he is Steward in his Country, and the free Tenants and Bondmen prove their Wills before him in the Court Baron, and so it has been used from Time whereof. &c. and therewith agreed *Fineux*, and all the Justices in 11 H. 7. 12. b. That the Probate of Testaments belonged not to the Spiritual Court, but of late, &c. and they have it not by the Spiritual Law. And *Lintwood* who was Dean of the Arches, and wrote *Anno Dom. 1422.*

in the Reign of K. H. 6. lib. 3. Tit. de Testamentis, fo. 124. l. confesses that Probate of Wills belongs to the Ordinaries, *de (a) consuetudine Angliæ & non de communi jure*, and that in other Realms the Ordinaries had it not: And in another Place he affirms, the Power of the Bishop in Probate of Wills, *per consensum regni & suorum procerum ab antiquo*. And I have a Book published in Latin, Anno Dom. 1573. by the most reverend Prelate Matthew Parker Archbishop of Canterbury, very expert in Matters of Antiquity, in which it is affirmed in these Words, *Rex Angliæ olim erat conciliorum Ecclesiasticæ præses, vindex temeritatis Romanæ, propugnator religionis, nec ullam habebant Episcopi auctoritatem præter eam quam a Rege acceptam referebant, jus testamenta probandi non habebant, administrationis potestatem cuique delegare non poterant*. Then forasmuch as probate of Wills is given to the Spiritual Court, whereof they had not Jurisdiction before, when they have proved the Will, their Authority is executed, and they have not Power to take the Refusal of any when any of the Executors prove the Will. And therefore the Refusal of any of the Executors before the Ordinary in such Case is void. The Executors have their Title by the Will, which is temporal, and to the Goods and Chattels also which are temporal, as it is agreed in *Plow. Com. in Griesbrook's Case* 28c. which Will is compleat as to all Goods and Chattels in Possession and Reversion; and as shall be after said, to (b) release Debts and Duties before any Probate. But as to bringing of Actions in the King's Courts, the Judges do not admit the Executors to sue for Things in Action, unless they shew the Will proved duly under the Seal of the Ordinary: But always the King's Courts have used to allow the Probate of any of the Executors, to enable them all to bring Actions: So that the Probate of the Will don't give them any Interest or Title either to the Things in Action or in Possession, for they have their Title and Interest by the Will and not by the Probate: But yet without the Probate, the Judges will not allow them to bring Actions, and therefore all the said Books in so many Successions of Ages, affirming clearly the Refusal before the Ordinary by one Executor, when another proves the Will, to be void, prove that the Ecclesiastical Judge has no Power to take the Refusal in such Case, for without Question the Executor has Power to refuse. And as to the Objection which has been made, That he has

(a) 2 Inst. 488
Carter 127.
Swinb. 351.

(b) Co Lit.
292. b.
Plow 277. b.
281. a.
5 Co. 28. a.
Hutr. 31.
1 Rol. 917.
Postea 39. a.
10 Co 52. a.
Raym. 481.
Sw: b. 281.
Moor 119.
Went. 51, 141,
151, 521.

once

once waived the Executorship, and therefore shall not afterwards take it upon him; to that it may be answered, Forasmuch as the Ecclesiastical Judge has no Power to receive that Refusal or Disagreement, it is upon the Matter made to a Stranger, and by Consequence void, and of no Force to bar the Plaintiff to take it afterwards, as in the like Case it is resolved in 14 H. 8. and this is also affirmed by all the other Books, which prove the Refusal void. And as to the second Reason, that is to say, That the Ecclesiastical Judge may take the Refusal of all, and by Consequence of any of them; to that it may be answered, That as originally the Ecclesiastical Judge had no Power to prove

(a) Azeca 37. b.

(b) Cr. Car. 106.

1 Jones 175

5 Co. 32. D.

Plow. 278 a. b

279. a.

Cr. E. 40.

2 In. 398.

Nov 53.

Selden's Juris-

diction de Te-

stamentis 24.

Carter 125, 128

150, 152, 153.

154, 156.

Co. Lit. 133. b.

Swinb. 351.

1 Keb. 854.

F. N. B. 120. d.

(c) Swinb. 351.

Carr. 129, 131.

(d) Carr 125,

131

1 Vent 203.

1 S. d. 46, 271.

Selden's Juris-

diction de Te-

stamentis 22.

Wills, but it was given him as appeareth (a) before; so originally the Ecclesiastical Judge could not commit Administration to any, who might sue or be sued as Administrator; but that also was given to the Ordinary by an Act, sc. by the Act of (b) 31 E. 3. cap. 11. by which it is enacted, That in Case a Man dies Intestate, The Ordinary shall depute the next and most faithful Friends of the Intestate, to administer his Goods, which Deputies shall have an Action to demand and recover the Debts due to the said Intestate in the King's Courts to administer, &c. and shall answer also in the King's Courts to others to whom the said Deceased was held and bound, in the same Manner as Executors shall answer, and shall be accountable to the Ordinaries, as Executors are in Case of a Will, as well in Time past, as in Time to come.

Now it is necessary to know 2 Things. 1. What the Law was before the Stat. and 2. What Alteration the Stat. of 31 E. 3. has made: And as to the first, three Points are to be observed. 1. That of (c) ancient Time, as appears by Record when a Man died Intestate, and had made no Disposition of his Goods, nor committed his Trust to any, in such Case the King, who is *Parvus Patriæ*, and has the supreme Care to provide for all his Subjects, that every one should enjoy that which he ought to have, used by his Ministers to seize the Goods of the Intestate, to the Intent they should be preserved and disposed for the Burial of the Deceased, for Payment of his Debts, to advance his Wife and Children, if he had any, and if not, those of his Blood. And this appears in *Rot Claus. de 7 H. 3. m. 16.*

(d) *Bona intestatorum capi solebant in manu Regis, &c.* And afterwards this Care and Trust was committed to Ordinaries, for none could be found more fit to have such

such

such Care and Charge of his transitory Goods after the Death of the Intestate, than the Ordinary, who all his Life had the Cure and Charge of his immortal (a) Soul, as (a) *Plow. 277. a.* it is said in *Plow. Com. 280. in Greisbrook's Case*. And therefore he was to this Purpose constituted in (b) *loco Parentis*: (b) *Swinb. 351. Polsea 40 b.* And that appears by what has been said before, and also by the Constitution of *John c) Stratford Archb. of Cant.* at a (c) *2 Inst. 488. Cart. 131, 132.* Synod in *London, Anno Dom. 1380.* where he confessed, That the Administration of the Goods of an Intestate was granted to Ordinaries, *consensu Regis & Magnatum Regni*. But no (d) Power was given to the Ordinary to sell or give (d) *Swinb. 351.* the Goods or dispose of any of 'em to his own Use, or any other. And yet it is true, as it is said in the Books, that he has a Property in the Goods of the Intestate, but that is *secundum quid*, and not *simpliciter*: And according thereunto it is resolved *per totam Curiam M. 8 & 9 El. Dyer. 255, 256.* That (e) the Ordinary himself had no Authority to (e) *Dy. 255, 256. pl. 8.* sell any of the Goods of the Intestate, altho' they are in danger of perishing. Also *18 H. 6. 23. b.* and other Books agree, That the Ordinary can't (f) release a Debt due to the Intestate, and yet if the absolute Interest of the Debt was in him, he might release it, altho' he could not have an Action. As Exec' before probate of the Will may (g) release a Debt due to the Deceased, because they have the absolute Interest of the Debt in them, altho' they can't have an Action before probate, as it was adjudged in *Communi Banco, Pasch. 1 Jacobi Regis* betwixt (b) *Middleton and Rymor*, against the Opinion of *Weston, Plow. Com. 277, 278. in Greisbrook's Case*. And that which the Ordinary himself might do before the said Act, he may, in respect of the Multitude of Causes within his Diocese, commit to another: But his Committees can't do more than he himself can; as it is also resolved *M. 8 & 9 El. Dy. ubi supra. 2.* It was not given to the Ordinary, nor to his Deputies or Committees, that they should have any Action to recover any Debt, or to take any Advantage of any Covenant, or of any other Thing in Action, before the said Act, which is also a manifest Proof, That the Com. Law gave him no absolute Power in the Goods, for then the Law would have given him Power also to recover the Debts and Things in Action of the Intestate. And therefore in *19 E. 3. Covenant (i) 24.* (which was before the Act of *31 E. 3.*) in an Action of Covenant brought by the Executors of *N.* who shewed forth Letters of Administration delivered by the Ordinary, *Sir Rich. Wilby* Chief Justice who gave the Rule, The Ordinary could not have such Action, wherefore, how can he give this Action to another? *Stone*, A Man has not seen, That the Ordinary shall have an

(i) *Selden Jurisdiction de Testaments 27 Fitz. Administrators 20. in fine.*

an Action but of Goods, whereof they were seized and ousted. *Wilby*, That's true: And afterwards it was awarded that they should take nothing by their Writ *quia non executores*, & *actio non datur per Statutum*. Vide 19 E. 3.

(*) 19 E. 3.
Fuz. Administrators 20
* 11 H. 2. 73 b
(b) 5 Co. 32. a
Dy. 232. pl. 5.
227. pl. 73.
1 Roi. 551.
Cr. El. 409, 410.
2 Inst. 397.
Br. Ordinaries 21.

(a) *Administration* 18. 35 E. 3. *Executors* 105. * 11 H. 4. 71. 10 H. 6. 22. 18 H. 6. 23. b. 10 E. 4. 1. a. F. N. B. 120. d. 92. m. 2. That an Action lies (b) against the Ordinary or his Deputies or Committees at the Common Law if they will intermeddle with the Goods, and not pay Debts. And the Stat. of *W. 2. cap. 19.* is but an Affirmance of the Law before, and therewith agree 9 E. 4. 33. a. 11 H. 7. 12. b. 24 E. 3. 54. b. Vide 22 R. 2. *Administrators* 21. and *Tit. Executors*, 17 E. 2. *Br:ef* 822. 11 H. 4. 73. b. 18 H. 6. 23. b. *Plow. Com.* 277. b. *Greisbrook's Case*. 8 *Eliz. Dyer* 247. But *Nora* Reader, an Action lay against the Deputies or Committees of the Ordinary, before the said Act, by the Name of Executors, as appears by 38 E. 3. 26. & 42 E. 3. 2 & a *multo fortiori*, an Action would lie by the Common Law against the Ordinary, who is the Principal, and from whom the Administrators derive their Authority.

(c) 31 E. 3. c. 11.
Antea 23. b.
Lit. Rep. 21.
Plowd. 278. a.

As to the second Point, the Stat. of (c) 31 E. 3. has made 6 Alterations, 3 as to the Ordinary, and 3 as to the Administrators: As to the Ordinary, 1. Whereas before the Stat. he was not compellable to grant Administration, now by the Act of Parliament he is commanded, and thereby

(d) Cr. Car. 62.
63.

compelled to (d) grant Administration; for the Words of the Act are; The Ordinaries shall make Deputies, &c. and the Refusal to do it is a Contempt to the King, and an Injury to the Party. 2. The Ordinaries are restrained from granting Administration to whom they please, because now the Administrator by this Act has a more absolute Interest in the Goods of the Intestate than the Ordinary had, and Ability to recover the Debts and other Things in Action due to the Testator, where no Remedy is given to the Ordinary himself, and therefore the Ordinary is bound by the Act to grant Administration to the next and most faithful Friends (the Ordinaries shall depute the next and most lawful Friends, i. the (e) next of Blood

(e) Cr. Car. 106.
2 Jones 175.

who are not attainted of Treason, Felony, or have other lawful Disability, but are lawful Friends.) But the Stat. of

(f) Cr. Car. 62, 63.

(f) 21 H. 8. cap. 5. gives Power to the Ordinary to commit Administration to the Wife of the Intestate, or to the next of Blood, or to both, and so as to the Wife has altered the Act of 31 E. 3. 3. The Ordinary himself has not greater Interest in the Goods by this Act, but has greater (g) Power than he had before, in this only that he may appoint Administrators, who shall have by this Act

(g) F. N. B.
120. d.

greater

greater Interest and Ability than they had before the Act. And where the Stat. says, That in Case a Man dies Intestate, it is to be known that a Man may die (a) Intestate 2 Ways, that is to say, either in fact, when he makes no Will; or in Law, when he makes a Will, and the Executors refuse before the Ordinary, or all die Intestate, in this Case he is in Law dead Intestate, and the said Act of 31 E. 3. extends to both the Intestates, as appears in *Plow. Com.* 279. a. b. and in 18 H. 6. 23. a. b. and in all the Books aforesaid, which prove that in such Case, The Ordinary may grant Administration; and the Reason why the Ordinary in such Case may upon Refusal of all, or Death of all intestate, grant Administration, is, because now the Testator dies Intestate, and then the said Act gives him Power to grant it according to the said Act, which the Ordinary can't do when one refuses, and the another proves. And so the second Objection upon full and pregnant Reason and Authority is answered. And where the Stat. says, In Case a Man dies Intestate that the Ordinary shall depute the next, &c. of the dead Intestate, this Word, (dead) is taken largely, for it extends as well to civil Death, *sc.* entry into Religion, as to natural Death; and therewith agrees *Litt. lib. 2. cap. Villenage* 44. a. That if a Man enters into Religion and doth not make his (c) Executors, the Ordinary may commit Administration of his Goods to another Man, as if he was dead in Fact; as to the Administrators, 1. They have now as absolute a Property in the Goods and Chattels, as Executors have, which they had not before this Act; 2. They shall recover the (d) Debts, (and by Equity shall have an Action of Covenant, Actions upon the Case, and all other Actions which Executors may have) which they could not do at the Common Law; 3. They shall answer to Actions, &c. in the same Manner as Executors; and in this Point also the Common Law is altered; for at the Common Law they were charged by the Name of Executors, and now they shall be charged by the Name of Administrators, and yet there was a Doubt after the making of this Act by what Name they should be charged, In 38 E. 3. (e) 20. Debt was brought against an Administrator, by the Name of Administrator; the Def. pleaded to the Writ, that he ought to be named Executor; for at the Com. Law before the Stat. of 31 E. 3. a Man should have an Action against an Administrator, and name him Executor, and that remains Law yet. *Tborp* Chief Just. who gave the Rule,

(a) 2 Inst. 397. Dy. 236. pl. 27.

(b) 31 E. 3. c. 11.

(c) 1 Inst. 132. a. 133. b. Sect. 200.

(d) Plow. 278 b. F. N. F. 120. d.

(e) 38 E. 3. 20. b. 21. a.

Rule, in the Case, the Statute gives Actions against Administrators, and that they may have Actions against others, wherefore the Writ was awarded good. And yet after that this Point was called in Question, for in 41 E. 3. 2. a. b. an Action of Debt was brought against an Administrator, and the Defendant demanded Judgment of the Writ, for it should be brought against him as (a) Executor, for the Stat. gives an Action for Administrators, but an Action is maintain'd against them as Executors at the Common Law, and yet is. *Thorp*, The Stat. gives Actions against Administrators, and afterwards the Writ was awarded good. So this Administrator constituted by the Ordinary (whom the Law has put in (b) *loco parentis*,) so advanced, enabled, and adorned, and in all (c) Points made equal to Executors constituted by the Party himself, is newly created by this Act; and no such Administrator was at the Common Law. And therefore the Ordinary was constituted in *loco parentis*, to see that the Debts and Duties of the Intestate should be paid, and to grant Administration according to the said Act, for the Benefit of his Children or others of his Blood, with his goods, as has been said. But because it would be too great a Trouble for the Ordinary himself to take such Charge in such Multitude of Cases in his Diocese, for his Ease the said Act of (d) 31 E. 3. has adorned and endowed his Deputies with greater Power than he himself had, to the Intent that the Administrators who might better intend it, should perform the Trust which was committed to them; and for this Reason the said Act has also provided, that Administrators to the said Intents and Purposes shall be accountable to Ordinaries, as Executors are.

It is worth Observation for the Reason of the principal Case, how probate of Wills, and granting of Administrations shall be tried, if they are traversed or denied in the King's Courts; and therefore, if Issue is joined in the King's Courts, That the Ordinary did not commit Administration to the Plaintiff, &c. or that the Will is not proved before the Ordinary, or that he whose Will is proved before the Ordinary, died Intestate, or that he of whose Goods Administration is granted, as of one who died Intestate, made a Will, &c. in none of these Cases it shall be tried or certified by the Ordinary, as in Case of (e) Excommungement, but it shall be tried by (f) Jury, because these two Cases of probate of Wills, and constituting Administrators, originally did not belong to the Conuifance of Ecclesiastical Judges, but were given them of later Times; and therefore nothing but the Probate, and granting of Administration, which were given them, belong to their Juris-

(a) Fitz. Ad-
ministrat. 14.
Er. Admini-
strators 10.

(b) Swinb. 351.
Antea 39. a.
(c) Moor 44.

(d) 31 E. 3. c. 11.

(e) Co. Lit. 2. 2.
Antea 31 b
(f) Doctum.
Antea 31 a.

Jurisdiction; but the Trial of them is not given them, but is left to the Trial of the Common Law; and therewith agrees (a) 21 E. 4. 50. a. Where it is held, That if Letters of Administration are denied, the Issue shall be, That the Ordinary did not commit to 'em Administration by his Letter, &c. For there it is said, That Letters of Administration may be forged, 12 E. 4. 16. a. 35 H. 6. 31. b. 22 (b) H. 6. 52. b. 13 El. Dy. (c) 294. Issue was joined in the Common Pleas, *si Episcopus London' commisit administrationem*, &c. and was tried by (d) Jury. *Vide* 34 H. 6. 14. b. & in 44 E. 3. 16. a. One brought Debt against one as Administrator; and declared that the Debtor died Intestate, and the Ordinary deputed the Def. to be Administrator; and the Def. said that the deceased made his Will, and made the Def. and another his Executors, &c. and demanded Judgment of the Writ, and shewed forth the Will proving his Plea, and the Plaintiff replied that he died Intestate, & hoc, &c. And the Def. said, to that He shall not be received against the Will which is proved before the Ordinary, and is under the (e) Seal of the Ordinary, & non allocatur; wherefore the Plaintiff had the Averment, and it was tried by the Country. *Vide* (f) 14 H. 6. 5. a. by *Paston* and against the Opinion of *Herle*, 4 H. 3. *Executor* 98. *obiter*. And for as much as it is to be tried by Jury, and not by the Certificate of the Ordinary, the Will or the Administration need not be (g) shewed to enable the Plaintiff to his Action, proved or granted by the Ordinary himself, as in the Case of Excommungement, which is meerly in the Spirituality, and originally belongs to the Jurisdiction of the Ordinary; but if the Will is proved, or Administration granted by the Official or Commissary of the Ordinary, or in some Cases by the Archdeacon, or other inferior Judges Ecclesiastical who have lawful Authority, in such Case, it is good and sufficient in Law; and altho' the Statute of 31 E. 3. says, The Ordinary shall deputy they are Ordinaries as to this purpose with the same Act; and therewith agrees (h) 1 H. 4. 64. a. 12 E. 4. 15. b. 7 E. 4. 14. a. 20 H. 6. 1. 3 E. 3. *Item North' Tit' Testament* 5. And so you have the Reasons and Causes of the Judgment in the principal Case, and of many Judgments and Resolutions before this Time in the same Point, with an answer to all the Objections made to the Contrary, which I have done for 4 Reasons; 1. That it should be manifest that the Ordinaries

G.

against

(a) B. Mon-
trans, &c.
125.

(b) Fitz. Exec.
17.
B1 Rccod 28.
r. Testam 4
(c) Dyer 294.
p. 7.
(d) Ant. 31. a.
B1. Averm. 48.
B1. E top. 36.
PLOW 282. a.
Fitz. E top. 9.

(e) Doct. pl.
152.

(f) Fitz. Va-
riance 10.

(g) 1 Sid. 98.
249.
Hob. 38, 233.
Cr Jac. 299,
499, 412.
3 Bulltr. 223.
Cr. El 551, 592.
2 Sand. 402.
16 & 17 Car.
2 c 8,
22 & 23 Car 2
c. 4.

(h) Fitz. Ad-
ministrato 12,
B. Admini-
strator 13.

(against all Objections made by them who impugn their Authority) have lawful Jurisdiction to prove Wills, and to grant Administrations. 2. That they have their Jurisdiction derived in these Cases from the Crown of *England*. 3. To reconcile all the Books and Authorities in the Law: And 4. To satisfy the said Doubts and Questions clearly by our Books, Authorities of Law, and Judicial Records.

Trin. 7 Jacobi Regis Rotulo Brownlow.
2612.

The Earl of Shrewsbury's Case.

Nottingb. **R**Obert' Spencer nuper de Maunsfield in comitatu
præd' armiger, & Thomas Woodward nuper
de Maunsfield in comitatu prædicto generosus, attachiati
fuerunt ad respondendum Rogero comiti Rotel' de placito,
Quare cum Domina Elizabeth. nuper Regina Angliæ, quar-
todecimo die Junii, anno regni sui quadragesimo secundo, a-
pud Westmonasterium in comitatu Middlef. per Literas suas
Parentes sub magno Sigillo suo Angliæ sigillatas, dedisser &
concessisset eidem comiti, a tempore plenæ ætatis ipsius co-
mitis viginti & unius annorum, ad terminum & pro & du-
rante toto termino vitæ natural' ipsius comitis, officia senef-
challi dominiorum sive maneriorum, ipsius nup' Reginae de
Maunsfield in comitatu præd' & Bolsover & Horfeley in comi-
tatu Derb. cum vad', & feod' eisd' officiis ab antiquo debitis
& consuetis, Habend' & annuatim recipiend' dict' vad' du-
rante termino prædicto de exitibus, proficuis, firmis & re-
ventionibus dictorum dominiorum sive maneriorum, per ma-
nus firmariorum, receptorum, sive aliorum occupator' eorund'
pro tempore existentium, ad festa Sancti Michael' Archangeli &

The Earl of Shrewsbury's Case. PART IX.

& Paschæ per æquales portiones, una cum omnibus aliis proficuis, juribus, commoditatibus, jurisdictionibus, privilegiis, præheminentiis & emolumentis dictis Officiis provenientius seu aliquo modo spectantibus: Cumque idem comes, ante consecutionem prædictarum literarum patentium, scilicet, decimo nono die Novembris, anno regni præd' nuper Reginae quadragesimo, ad suam plenam ætatem viginti & unius annorum pervenisset, & virtute literarum patentium prædict' fuisset seiscitus de prædicto officio Seneschalli prædicti manerii de Maunsfield ut de libero tenemento pro termino vite suæ, ac officium illud a prædicto quarto decimo die Junii, anno regni præd' nuper Reginae quadragesimo secundo supra prædicto, per unum annum integrum tunc prox' sequentem bene & fideliter exercuisset, ac vad', feod', & proficua prædicto officio Seneschalli præd' manerii de maunsfield ab antiquo debit' & consuet' per idem tempus habuisset & recepisset, præd' Robertus & Thomas machinan' ipsum comitem multipliciter prægavare, ac ipsum comitem de exercitio præd' officii Seneschalli prædict' manerii de Maunsfield magnopere disturbare, ac eundem comitem de vad', feodis & proficuis quæ ratione executionis officii illius de jure habere & percipere potuisset & debuisset totaliter frustrare & impedire, de injuria sua propria, absque aliquo jure sive legali autoritate sine licentia ipsius comitis, sextodecimo die Februarii, anno regni dictæ nuper Reginae quadragesimo quarto, apud Maunsfield præd', prædictum officium Seneschalli ejusdem Manerii de Maunsfield exercuerunt, & abinde hucusque exercent & occupant; ac omnia & singula vad', feoda, commoda & proficua eidem officio debita, & ratione exercitii officii præd' infra manerium de Maunsfield præd' de jure pertinentia ad suum proprium usum habuerunt & perceperunt, & eundem comitem ad exercendum officium illum infra præd' manerium de Maunsfield, & vadimonia, feoda, commoda & proficua eid' officio de jure pertinent' habere & percipere vi & arm' ad tunc & ibid' impediverunt & adhuc impediunt, & alia enormia ei intulerunt, ad grave dampnum ipsius comitis, & contra pacem dictæ nuper Reginae, & contra pacem dicti Domini Regis nunc, &c. Et unde idem comes per Johannem Muscot Attornatum suum queritur quare cum prædicta nuper Regina quartodecimo die Junii, anno regni sui quadragesimo secundo supra prædicto apud Westmonasterium prædictam, per prædictas literas suas Patentes, quas idem comes, sub magno sigillo ipsius nuper Reginae Angliæ sigillatas, hic in Curia profert, quarum dat' est

est eidem die & anno, dedisset & concessisset eidem comiti, a tempore plenæ ætatis ipsius comitis viginti & unius annorum, ad terminum & pro & durante toto termino vitæ naturalis ipsius comitis, prædicta officia Seneschalli prædictorum dominiorum five maneriorum ipsius nuper Reginae de Maunfield, Bolsover, & Horseley, cum vad' & feodis eisd' officiis ab antiquo debitis & consuetis, Habendum & annuatim recipiend' dict' vad' durante termino prædicto de exitibus, proficuis, firmis, & reventionibus dictorum dominiorum five maneriorum, per manus firmariorum, receptorum, five aliorum occupatorum eorundem pro tempore existentium, ad prædicta festa Sancti Michaelis Archangeli & Paschæ per equales portiones, una cum omnibus aliis proficuis, juribus, commoditatibus, jurisdictionibus, privilegiis, præheminentiis & emolumentis dictis officiis provenien', seu aliquo modo spectantibus: Cumque idem comes, ante consecutionem prædictarum literarum patentium, scil't, decimo nono die Nov' anno regni præd' nuper Reginae quadragesimo supradicto ad suam plenam ætatem viginti & unius annorum pervenisset, & virtute literarum patentium præd' fuisset seiscitus de prædicto officio Seneschal' præd' manerii de Maunfield, ut de libero tenemento, pro termino vitæ, ac officium illud, a prædicto quartodecimo die Junii anno regni præd' nuper Reginae quadragesimo secundo supradicto, per unum annum integrum tunc proxim' sequentem bene & fideliter exercuisset, ac vad', feod', & proficua prædicto officio Seneschalli præd' manerii de Maunfield ab antiquo debit' & consuet' per idem tempus habuisset & recepisset, prædicti Robertus & Thomas machinantes ipsum comitem de exercitio præd' officii Seneschalli prædicti manerii de Maunfield magnopere disturbare, ac eundem comitem de vad', feodis & proficuis, videl't, de centum solidis annuatim pro vad' suis pro exercitio præd' officii Seneschalli præd' manerii de Maunfield solvend', ac de antiquis feodis debit' pro intratione querelarum & placitorum, pro copiis rotulorum Cur', pro repleg', pro probatione testamentor', commissione administration' quarumcunq; personarum infra præd' manerium de Maunfield obien', pro intratione sursumredditionum, & admiffione quorumcunque tenentium prædicti manerii de Maunfield, pro intratione fidelitatis quorumcumque tenentium ejusd' manerii de Maunfield fidelitatem facientium, quæ de jure habere & percipere potuisset & debuisset, totaliter frustrare & impedire de injuria sua propria, absq; aliquo jure five legali auctoritate, sine licentiâ ipsius Comitis, prædicto sexto & decimo die Febr. anno regni dictæ nuper Reginae quadragesimo

The Earl of Shrewsbury's Case. PART IX.

mo quarto supradicto, apud Maunfield prædictam, prædict' officium Seneschalli eiusdem maner' de Maunfield exercuerunt, & abinde huculque exercent & occupant, ac omnia & singula vad', feoda, commoda, & proficua eidem Officio debita, & ratione exercitii ejusd' officii infra præd manerium de Maunfield præd' de jure pertin' ad suum proprium usum habuerunt & perceperunt, & eundem comitem ad exercendam officium illud infra præd' manerium de Maunfield, & vad', feoda, commoda & proficua eid' officio de jure pertin' & a toto tempore quo non extat memoria in contrarium habere & percipere vi & arm', &c. ad tunc & ibid' impediverunt & adhuc impediunt, & alia enormia, &c. ad grave dampnum, &c. & contra pacem, &c. unde dicit quod deterioratus est & dampnum habet ad valentiam centum librarum; & inde producit lectam, &c. Et præd' Robertus & Thomas per Will'm Cragge Attorn' suum ven' & defend' vim & injuriam quando, &c. & dic' quod ipsi in nullo sunt culpabiles de transgressione præd' prout præd' comes superius versus eos queritur; & de hoc pon' se super patriam; & præd' comes similiter. Ideo præceptum est vicecomiti qd' venire fact' hic a die Sancti Trinitatis in tres septimanas xii. &c. per quos, &c. Et qui nec, &c. Ad recogn', &c. Quia tam, &c. Postea die & loco infracontentis coram *Petro Warburton* milite, uno Justic' Dom' Regis de Banco, & *Thome Forster* milite altero Justic' dicti Dom' Regis de Banco, Justiciariis ejusdem Dom' Regis ad Assisas in comitatu Nott' capiend' assignatis per firmam Statuti, &c. ven' tam infranominatus Rogerus comes Rotel', quam infra scripti Rob' Spencer & Thomas Woodward per Attorn' suos infracontentos; & Juratores Jurata unde infra fit mentio exacti, quidam eorum, videl't, Edw' Bould de Halloughton armiger, Edw' Coppinger de Farnesfield armiger, Geo' Hutchinson de Basford generosus, Franciscus Hollingworth de Stapleford gener', Will'm Greifley de eadem, Nic' Hamond de Lounde generosus, Anthon' Whitewell de Wyefton generos. Johannes Sturtevant de Calverton generosus, Richardus Brigges de Gringley super montem, & Johannes Seywell de Normanton juxta Plumtree ven' & in Juratam prædictam Jurati existunt: Et quia resid' Juratorum Jurata illius non comparuerunt, ideo alii de circumstantibus per vicecomitem committatus præd' electi, ad requisition' Rogeri comitis Rotel', ac per mandatum Justiciarior' præd' de novo apponuntur, quorum nomina pannello infra scripto assilant' secund' formam Statuti in hujusmodi casu editi & provisi: Et Jurat' sic de novo apposit', videl't, Joh' Hutton & Ric'us Templeman similiter ven' qui ad veritat' de infracont' simul cum aliis Jurat' præd' prius impanellat' & jurat' dicer.

dicendam electi, triati, & jurati, dicunt super sacrament' suum. Qd' Dom' Eliz. nuper Regin' Angl' seisit' fuit in dominio suo ut de feod' in jur' coron' suæ Angl' de & in maner' de Maunsfield in com' Nott', ac de & in maner' de Bolsover & Horsley in com' Derb', & sic inde seisit' existen' quarto decim' die Jun' an' regni sui quadrag' scd' per liter' suas patent', sub magn' figil' suo Angl' figillat', ac Jurat' præd' in evidenc' ostensas, concessit præfat' com' Rotel, a temp' plen' ætatis ipsi' com' vigin' & unius annor', ad termin' & pro & durant' tot' termino vitæ natural' ipsi' com', offic' Senesc' dominiorum sive maner' præd' cum vad' & feod' eisd' offic' ab antiquo debit' & consuet', prout in eisdem lit' patent' continet', quarum tenor sequit' in hæc verba: Eliz. Dei grat' Angl', Fran' & Hibern' Reg', fidei defens. &c. Omnib' ad quas præsent' lit' pervener' salut': Sciat' qd' nos de grat' nostr' speciali ac ex cert' scient' & mero motu nostr' dedimus & concessim' ac per præsent' pro nobis hæred' & successor' nostr' damus & concedim' prædilect' consanguin' nostro Rog' com' Rutl' officium Constab' Castri nost' de Nott', ac janitor' sive custod' port' ejusd' castri, necnon offic' Senesc', Custod', Gardian', & Capital' Justic' Forestæ nost' de Sherwood & parcor' nostr' de Billove, Birkeland, Romwald, Owseland, Folwood, Beskwood, & Clipf. cum suis pertinent' in com' nost' Nott', Ipsumque Roger' comitem Rutl' Constabul' castri nost' præd' ac Janitor' sive Custod' port' ejusd' castri, necnon Senesc', Custod' & Justic' Itinerant' Forest' & Parcor' præd' facimus, ordinam', & constituimus per præsent', dant' & concedent' eid' Roger' comit' Rutl' tenore præsent' plen' potest' & autho' omnia & omnimod' plac', querel', & causas infr' Forest' & parcos præd' & eor' quodlibet emergent', secund' leg' & consuetud' Forest' audiend' & terminand', Habend', gaudend', occupand', & exercend' offic' præd' & eor' qd'libet præf. Rog' com' Rutl' per se vel per sufficient' deputat' suum sive deputat' suos sufficient' a tempore plenæ ætat' vigin' & unius annor' ejusd' comitis ad termin' & pro & durante toto termin' vitæ natural' prædict' Roger' comit' Rutl', una cum potestate in eisd. officiis faciend' & constituend' omnes officiar' ab antiquo debit' & consuet'; & pro exercitio & occupac' offic' præd' damus & concedim' per præsent' præfat' Rog' comiti Rutl' vad' & feod' quadrag' marcar' per ann' a tempore plen' ætat' vigin' & unius an' ejusd' comit' ad termin' & pro & durante toto termin' vitæ natural' ejusd' comit' Rutl', necnon annuit' sive annual' reddit' novem librar' a tempor' plenæ ætat' vigin' & unius an' ejudem comit' ad terminum & pro durante toto term' vitæ natural' ejusd' com' Rutl' pro vad' sive stipend' novem Forestarior' per ips. comit' ad custodiend' Forest' præd' assignat', percipiend', & annuat' recipiend' dist' vad' & feod' quadragint' marcar' de Thesauro nost' hæred' & successorum nostror' ad receptum Scaccarii nostri Westm' provenien'

per manus Theſaurar' & Camerar' noſtr' feu eor' alicui' ibid' pro tempore exiſten' ad feſt' Sanct' Mich' Archang' & Paſc. per equales portiones, ac etiam dict' annuitat' five annual' redditum novem librar' pro vad' five ſtipend' præd' præfat' Roger' comit' Rutl' a tempore plen' ætatis viginti unius ann' ejusd' comit' ad terminum & pro & durante toto termin' vitæ naturalis ipſius Roger' comit' Rutl' de Theſauro noſtro, hæred' & ſucceſſorum noſtror' ad receptum Scaccarii noſtri provenien' per man' Theſaurar' & camerar' noſtror' five eorum alicujus pro tempore exiſten', five de prat' noſtris juxta caſtr' noſtrum de Nor' prædict', vocat' *the King's Wardle*, ac de profic' pannag' & herbag' Parc' noſtri de Beſkwood, necnon de omnib' redditib' five profic' de Forreſt' præd' provenien' five creſcen', per manus Ballivorum, propoſitor', firmarior', receptor', five aliorum occupator' dict' prætorum, de redditib' five profic' præd', five eorum alicujus pro tempore exiſten' ad feſt' prædict' per equales portiones: Damus etiam & per præſent' pro nob', hæredibus & ſucceſſor' noſtris concedim' præfat' Roger' comiti Rutl' a tempore plenæ ætatis viginti unius annor' ejusd' comitis, ad termin' & pro & durante toto termin' vitæ naturalis dict' Roger' comit' Rutl' offic' Senecaſſall' dominiorum five manerior' noſtror' de Maunſfield, Boiſover, & Horſley, cum vad' & feod' eiſd' offic' ab antiquo debet' & conſuet' capiend', habend' & annuatim percipiet' dict' vad' duran' termin' prædict' de exitib', profic', firmis, & reventionib' dict' dominior' five manerior' noſtror' de Maunſfield, Boiſover, & Horſley præd', five eorum alicujus, per manus firmarior', receptor' five alior' occupator' eorum, five eor' alicujus pro tempore exiſten', ad dict' feſta San' Mic' Archang' & Paſchæ, per equales portiones, una cum omnib' aliis profic', iudicis, commodatib', juridiſtionib', privilegiis, præherementis, & emolument' dict' omnibus & ſingulis officiis cum cæteris præmiſſis, & eorum alicui, provenien' five aliquo modo ſpectan'; & ad eo, plene, libere, & integre, ac in tam amplis modo & forma prout Tho' Manners milites aut Joh' Manners ar', aut Johannes nup' comes Rutl', aut ante eum Edward' nuper rex Ang' & Franc' aut de'unct', five ante eos Thom' & Henric' nup' comes & baro Ang' ad dict' Anth' Frowne five Ric' Southwell milit' & alios, ac aliquis alius five aliqui alii offic' præd' vel eor' alicujus hæc tempora occupans five occupant' hab' & percepit, aut percipiunt five perceperunt, aut habere & percipere debet vel debent, & pro eiſd' vel eor' aliquo: Ac in ſup' de uberiori gratia ex certa ſcientia & mero motu noſtris dedimus & damus, ac per præſent' pro nob' hæredib' & ſucceſſoribus noſtris concedim' præfat' Reg' comiti Rutl' Offic' Curator' de Nor' cum omnib' & ſing' vad', feod', profic', redditib' & emolument' quibuſcunq; offic' præd' debent' & pertinent', in tam ampl' modo & form' prout

prout prædict' Thomas Manners miles, aut Johan' Manners
 armig', aut prædict' Johan' aut Edw' nuper comites Rutl'
 jam defuncti, aut ante eos quidam Rich' Manners aut Fran-
 cif. Leake milit' jam defunct', aut aliquis alius five aliqui alii
 offic' præd' exercen' five exercentes habuit five percepit, ha-
 buerunt five perceperunt, habend', occupand', & exercend'
 offic' præd' præfat' Roger' com' Rutl' per se vel per sufficien-
 tem deputatum suum five deputatos suos sufficien' a tempo-
 re plenæ ætat' viginti unius an' ejusd' com', ad tot' termin' & pro
 & durante tot' term' vitæ naturalis præd' Roger' com' Rutl' cum
 vad', feod', profic', commoditat' advantaglis & emolumentis
 quibus. eid' offic' ab antiquo debet' & consuet' five pertinen',
 aut ratione ejusd' per quamcunq; persona' præantea percept'
 & habit' per manus Recept', Firmarior', Præpositor', balli-
 vor', Occupator' five Offic' nostror' ejusd' pro temp' existen',
 de exitibus, reventionibus & profic' ejusd' ad festa Pasch. &
 S. Mich' Arch' equis portionib' solvend' quæ quid' offic' &
 feod' accæter' omnia & singula præmiss. superius per præsent'
 data & concess. per l'ras n'ras Patent' sub' mag' figill' n'ro
 Angl' confect', geren' dat' apud Westm' vicesimo tert' die Ju-
 lii an' reg' nostri tricesim' tert', cuida' Joh. Manners armig'
 durant' minore ætat' præd' Roger' com' Rutl' nuper dat'
 & concessa fuer', Qui quid' Roger' com' Rutl' modo plen'
 ætat' est, prout certam inde habem' notitia', volent' etiam &
 firmit' injungend' præcipient' per præsent'es, omnib' & singul'
 offic', ministris, & subdit' nost', tam infra libertat' quam extra,
 tenor' præsent', qd' eid' Roger' com' Rutl' & deputato suo five
 deputatis suis, in præmiss. omnib' faciend' & exequend' sint
 auxiliantes, assistent' & consulent' prout decet, eo qd' express.
 mentio de vero valore annuo, vel de certitudine præmissor' five
 eorum alicujus, aut de aliis donis five concession' per nos seu
 per aliq' progenitor' n'ror' præf. Rog. com' Rutl' ante hæc
 temp' fact' minime fact' existit, aut aliquo statut', actu, ordi-
 nation', provisione five restrict' in contrar' inde antehac habit',
 fact', edit', ordinat', five provis. aut aliqua alia re, causa, vel
 materia quacunq; in aliq' non obstant'. In cujus rei testimon'
 has lit' nost' fieri fecim' patent', Teste meipsa apud Westm',
 quartodecim' die Jun', an' reg' n'ri quadragesim' secundo.
 Quodq; præd' Rog' com' Rotel' ante consecion' præd' l'raru'
 patent', s. decimo nono die Nov. an' reg' præd' nuper Reginae
 quadrages. ad suam plena' ætat' viginti & unius an' pervenit.
 & virtute l'raru' patent' præd' fuisset seisit' de præd' officio
 Senescalli præd' maner' de Maunsfield præd' in narratione in-
 frascript' specificat' ut de libero tenemento pro termin' vitæ
 suæ; ac quod præd' Roger' com' Rotel', a tempore consec-
 tion' literarum patent' prædict', exercuit officium Senescalli
 manerii prædict' de Maunsfield in narratione prædicta men-
 tionat',

tionat', per deputat' suos & non per seip' in prop' pers. sua: Quodq; postea, sc. decimo septim' die Decemb. an' regni dictæ nup' reginæ Eliz. quadrages. quarto, ead' nup' reg' de præd' maner' de Maunsfield præd' sic ut præfert' seifita existen' per l'ras suas patent' sub mag' sigill' suo Angl' sigillat', gerent' dat' eisd' die & an', ac Jurat' præd' in evidenciis ostensas, concessisset præd' maner' de Maunsfield cu' pertinent', inter alia, quibusd. Will'mo Hamond & Ranulpho Catteral, Habend' & tenend' præd' maner' de Maunsfield cum pertinent' præfat, Will'mo Hamond & Ranulph' Catteral hæred' & assignat' suis imperpet'; Virtute cujus præd' Will'us Hamond & Ranulph' Catteral in præd' maner' de Maunsfield præd' cum pertinent' intraverunt, & fuerunt inde seifit' in dominico suo ut de feod', quodq; præd' Will'us Hamond & Ranulph' Catteral sic inde seifit' existent', postea, s. vicesimo tertio die Jan', an' reg' dom' Eliz. nup' Reg' Angl' quadrages. quart' per quanda' indent' sua' gerent' dat' eisd' die & an', & post', s. vicesimo septim' die ejusd' mensis Jan. an' quadrages. quarto supradict' coram dicta nup' regina in Canc' sua de recordo irrotulata, pro & in consideratione decem solidorum eisd' Will'mo & Ranulph' per prænobilem Gilbertum com' Salop' & Mariam uxorem ejus solutorum, concesserunt, alienaverunt, barganizaverunt, & vendiderunt præd' maner' de Maunsfield præd' cum pertinent' præf. com' Salop' & Mariæ uxor' ejus, habend' & tenend' maner' præd' cum pertinent' præf. com' Salop' & comitissæ & hæred' & assign' suis imperpet': Virtute cujus, necnon vigore actus in Parliam'to d'ni Henr' nup' regis Angl' octavi an' reg' sui vicesim' septim' tent' edit', præd' comes Salop' & comitissa fuerunt de præd' maner' de Maunsfield præd' cum pertinent' seifiti in dominico suo ut de feodo. Et jurator' præd' ulterius dicunt super sacram'tum suum præd', quod præd' comite Salop' & comitissa sic ut præfert' seifit' existen', post', s. præd' sextodec' die Febr' an' regni dicti nup' Reg' quadrages. quarto in narratione infrascript' specificat', quid' Simo' Sterne ad tunc existen' deputat' præd' com' Rotel' pro exercit' præd' offic' Senesc' præd' maner' de Maunsfield, accessit ad villa' de Maunsfield ad usual' locum ibid' ubi Cur' maner' de Maunsfield præd' communiter tenta & custod' fuit ad tenend', Anglice, *tr' h'cc'p'*, curiam Baronis ejusd' maner' de Maunsfield præd', & præd' Tho. Woodward illuc accessit ad custodiend' curiam ejusd' maner' ut Senesc' pro præd' Gilbert' comite Salop', quodq; prædict' Tho. Woodward ut Senescall' præd' comitis Salop' & præd' Simon Sterne ut deputat' prædict' comitis Rutl', ad locum prædict' pariter & infimul accesserunt, & prædict' Simon Sterne ut deputatum prædict'

comitis Rotel' mand' ballivo maner' illius qd' proclam' fac' pro tenend' cur' Baron' maner' illius per ipsum Simon' Sterne ut deputat' præd' comitis Rotel' ad tunc tenend', & prædict' Tho. Woodward ut Senescall' præd' comitis Salop' similiter mand' ballivo maner' ill', qd' proclam' faceret pro tenend', cur' Baron' maner' præd' per ipsum Tho. Woodward ut Senescall' præd' comitis Salop', sed nulla Cur' ad tunc tent' fuit, sed per eundem Tho. Woodward adjornat' fuit, & abinde usq; impretrationem præd' brevis originalis præd' Tho. Woodward ut senescall' præd' Gilberti comit' Salop' custodivit Curias maner' præd' & semper abinde ipse idem Tho. Woodward & præd' Robertus Spencer receperunt omnia feoda pertinent' Senescallo ibid. sicut debita deveniebant: Et si super tota materia præd' per Jur' præd' in forma præd' comperta videbitur Cur' hic quod præd' Robertus Spencer & Tho. Woodward sunt culpabiles de transgr' infra script', tunc Juratores præd' dicunt super sacrm' suum præd' quod prædict' Rob. Spencer & Tho. Woodward sunt culpabiles de transgr. infra script' prout præd' Roger' comes Rotel' interius versus eos queritur, & tunc assident dampna illius Roger' comit' Rotel' occasione transgr. infra script' ultra mis. & custag' sua per ipsum circa secta' suam in hac parte apposita, ad quadraginta libras, & pro mis. & custag. ill' duodecim denar'; & si super tota materia præd' per Jur' præd' in forma præd' compert' videbitur Cur' hic quod præd' Rob. Spencer & Tho. Woodward non sunt culpabil' de transgr' infra script' tunc Jurator' præd' dicunt super sacrm' suum præd', quod præd' Rob. Spencer & Tho. Woodward non sunt culpabil' de transgr' infra script', prout iidem Rob. & Tho. interius allegaverunt. Et quia, &c.

Trin. 8 Jacobi.

The Earl of Shrewsbury's Case.

Yelv. 203.
4 Leon. 243.
2 Brownl. 30.
The 1 Point.
2 Rol. 201.

(2) Doctrin.
pl. 182, 191.

(3) Br. Patent
52.

AND upon the several Parts of this Record, the Defendant's Counsel moved many Exceptions to every Part of it, 1. Against the Patent and the Validity of the Grant *ab initio*; 2. Admitting the Grant, that the Office is forfeited; 3. Against the Writ and Declaration; 4. Against the Gift of the Action; 5. Against the Verdict. As to the First it was said, That the Grant was utterly void for 3 Reasons: 1. Because the Grant is of the Office *Seneschalli Dominicorum sive Maneriarum nostrorum de Maunsweld, Bolsover, & Horsley*, and no (a) County mentioned where they lie, and so in the King's Case uncertain and void; for it was said, It may be, and so the Truth is, That the King has divers Manors of the same Name in several Counties, and of several Values, and Issue can't be taken what Manor the King intended to Grant, for his Intent ought to appear in his Grant, and not by collateral Averment: And so it appears in 21 E. 4. 48. a. b. the King's Patents ought to extend certainly to the Thing of which the Patentee will have Advantage. 2 R. 3. 7. a. If the King grants to me that I shall not be (b) Sheriff, without shewing of what County, it is void for the Incertainty, *quia Concessio per Regem fieri oportet de certitudine*: But if the Grant was, *quod non erit Vicecomes alicujus Comitatus*, there such Grant is good, as it is there held. And in Acts of Parliament of Confirmation of Letters Patent, the usual Purview is, that the Letters Patent shall be effectual, notwithstanding the *Misnaming*
or

or not true naming of the Counties where the Honours, Manors, &c. lien or been: Which proves (as 'twas urged) that if the County is omitted, the Grant is void. To which it was answered and resolved *per totam Curiam*, That the said Grant was certain enough altho' the (a) County was omitted: (a) Doctrin. pl. 189, 191.

And many ancient Grants are without mentioning any County, and God forbid that all of them should be now adjudged void. For *Maneria de M. B. & H.* import sufficient Certainty, and such Certainty of Name and Quality, that a Visne (which requires Certainty) may come out of it.

If the (b) King by his Letters Patent grants to another all Manors and Advowsons which were to the Prior of *A.* being a Prior Alien, or to *J. S.* who was attainted, &c. it is held in 30 *H. 6. 20. b. 21. a.* that the Grant is good, and yet it is not mentioned in what County the Manors, &c. or the Priory was, or in what County, the Manors, &c. were whereof *J. S.* was seised the Day of his Attainder; and the Reason is, *Quod (c) id certum est quod certum reddi potest, sed id magis certum est quod de semet ipso est certum*: And in this Case the Manors of *M. B.* and *H.* have more certainty in them than the said general Grants. So if the K. grants to an Abbot and his Successors, that the Monks during the Vacation shall have all the Temporalities of the Abbey, it is a good Grant without mentioning any County, as it is adjudged in 39 *E. 3. 21. a. b. & (d) F.N.B. 33. T.* And in 23 *E. 3. 21. b.* where the Case was, That a Barony escheated to the King, and the King granted to the (e) Queen all the Possessions of the Barony, till *John a Gaunt* could govern himself, and adjudged a good Grant, without mentioning in what County the Barony lay; and if the King has divers Manors of one and the same Name in divers Counties, yet there are many Clauses in the Letters Patent themselves to describe what Manor the King intended to pass, to distinguish it from the other, *s.* either by the Recital, or Reference in whose Tenure or Occupation it was, or by the Value of it, or of whose Possession it was, or by the Clause that the Patentee shall have and enjoy it in such ample Manner and Form as *J. S. &c.* or any other Owner of the same Manor had, or such like, or by the Particular. But in (f) Pleading it ought to be alledged in what County the Manors lie (as in the Case at Bar the Pl. did) And if the other Party had pleaded *Non concessit*, upon the Trial of the Issue the Circumstances aforesaid might be given in Evidence, to prove what Manor was granted: But if the other Party had demanded Oyer of the Let. Patent, and had demurred in Law, it should be adjudged against him, for it is Matter in Fact what Manor shall pass, to be proved in Evidence, as is aforesaid. And the Acts of Confirmations do not extend where the County is omitted, but where the County is misnamed,

(b) Br. Patent 87.

(c) Co. Lit. 45. b. 96. a. 142. a. 4 Co. 66. b. 2 Brownl. 336. Antea 30. a. 5 Co. 5. a. Lane 51. Heil. 98.

(d) F.N.B. 33. V.

(e) Co. Lit. 3. a. 133. a. 4 Co. 23. b. Seld. Tit. of Honour 86. 20 E. 3. Non-ability 9. Seld. Epinom. 11. Epist. ad 6 Rep. 3. Plowd. 231. a.

(f) Doctrin. pl. 33, 87.

The Earl of Shrewsbury's Case. PART IX.

or not truly named. And also for avoiding of all Questions, divers Imperfections are saved by the Acts of Confirmations, which are not of Force to avoid the Grant.

2. It was objected against the Grant, that the Grant was, *a tempore plenæ etat' 21. annorum ejusd' comitis, pro & durante toto termino vitæ naturalis dicti Rogeri comitis Rul' offic' Seneschal' Dominiorum sive Maner' nostrorum de M. B. & H. cum vadiis & feod' eisd' offic' ab antiquo debitis & consuet' capiend'*: And therein the K. was deceived, for he can't grant the Office from the Day which was past before. To which it was answered, and resolved by the Court, that the Intent of the Grant was, that the Patentee should have the Fees from the Time of the Accomplishment of his full Age; but without Question, altho' the K. can't grant the Office from a Day before, yet it shall be (a) good for the Life of the Patentee to begin by the Grant, and void for the Time past.

(a) Ley 73.

3. It was objected, 1. That by no Clause express in the Patent the Patentee can make a (b) Deputy. 2. That by Law the Patentee without special Words can't make a Deputy. As to the first, it was observed, That the said Let. Pat. consist of 3 several Grants: 1. Of the Office of Constable, &c. Steward, & capital' Justic' Forestæ, &c. which Grant has an *Habendum*, and Power to make Deputies. 2. Of the Office of Stewardship of the said 3 Manors, with Limitation of the Estate for Life, and with a Clause to receive the Fees, &c. but no Power to make a Deputy. 3. *Ac insuper de uberiori gratia, &c. dedimus, &c. præf. R. com' custod' Parci de Nott', &c. Habend' gaudend' & exercend' offic' præd' (written by such Contraction) per se, vel sufficient' deputat' suum seu deputat' suos sufficient' a tempore plenæ etat', &c. durante vita ipsius Rogeri comitis, cum vadiis, feod', &c. eidem officio, &c. pertin' aut ratione ejusd', &c.* And it was strongly urged, that this last *Habendum* should have relation only to the Premises of the last Grant, 1. Because there are, as is aforesaid 3 several Grants, of 3 several distinct Offices; 2. Every one has a distinct Limitation of Estate; 3. Every one has a distinct Grant of the Fees and Profits. And altho' the last *Habendum* is wrote *offic' præd'* which, as 'twas urged, may be intended *officia prædicta*, and then it refers to all the several Grants, yet it can't be so intended, for the 3 Reasons before; and also these Words in the same Sentence, *cum vadiis, feodis, &c. eidem officio* wrote at length; *aut ratione ejusdem*, explain the said Words wrote short *offic' præd'* to be in the singular Number, *officium prædictum*; and the (c) Office of the Premises is to express the Certainty of the Thing given, and need not limit any Estate, and the Office of the (d) *Habendum* is to limit the Certainty of the Estate, and need not

(c) 2 Rol. 65.
Co. Lit. 6. a.
2 Co. 55. a.
10 Co 107. b.
Plow. 196. b.
(d) 2 Rol. 65.
Co. Lit. 6. a.
2 Co. 55. a.
Plow. 195. b.

repeat the Thing given again, and therewith agrees *Wrottesly* and *Adams's Case*, *Plow. Com.* 196. b. So in the Case at Bar the *Habend'* shall be, by Construction of Law, referred to its proper Premises; and of that Opinion was the whole Court. *Nota* Reader, for Abbreviations and incongruous (a) Writing in Grants, these Rules, *Falsa orthographia non vitiat concessionem*; Also, *falsa (b) Grammatica non vitiat concessionem*: *Item, ille numerus & sensus abbreviationum accipiendus est ut concessio non sit inanis.* And therefore if the K. grants *tot' ill' maner' de D. & C.* if it is but one Manor in truth, then these Abbreviations of *tot' ill' maner'* shall be taken in the singular Number *totum illud manerium*: And if they are in Truth 2 distinct Manors, then these Abbreviations shall be taken in the plural Number, *tota illa maneria*, or otherwise the Grant will be void. *Vid.* 32 E. 3. *Brief* 293. A *Sci. fa.* recites, That a Fine was levied *de maneriis de B. & H.* and the Conclusion was, *Quare præd' (c) manerium de B. & H. ingressus est*; and good with Averment that in Truth *B. & H.* is but one Manor. And in 10 H. 4. *Brief* 497. Exception was taken to the Writ, because it was wrote with Abbreviation *Matil'* where it should be *Matild'*, and yet good, because it was usual to write this Name so, *quod nota* in a Writ which shall abate for false *Latin*, for he may purchase a new Writ at his Pleasure, but not a new Grant. *Vide* 17 *El. Dy.* 342. The 4 first Letters in the Name and Stile of K. H. 7. (d) *H. R. A. F.* were omitted in his Lett. Patent made to *Simon Digby*, yet adjudged a good Grant. And 38 H. 6. 33. a Declaration in which it was alledged that *W. T. resignavit, &c. in manus J. Episcopi & loci illius Ordinarii*, and Exception was taken, because it was not *in manus Johannis Episcopi*, for *litera J. nihil significat*, and yet the Declaration adjudged good. And in 4 (e) H. 6. 16. b. between the D. of *York* and the E. of *Warwick* the Writ was *Henr' Dei gratia Rex Angl', Rex Hiber'*, where it should be *Dominus*, and for this Incongruity the Writ shall abate, but a Grant by such Name shall be good enough. So in the Conufance of a Fine, false *Latin* or Incongruity shall not hurt the Fine, as in the Case before, where a Fine is levied *de maneriis de B. & H.* where it is but one Manor; and 9 E. 3. a Warranty was in the Fine, *eidem Galfrido & uxori suæ*, where it should be *eisdem*, and yet good; and 24 E. 3. 37. a. the Fine was *pro (f) omnibus servitiis, exactionibus, & ad'is pertinentibus*, where it should be *pertinentibus*, and therefore challenged, and notwithstanding allowed.

2. It was Objected, That by the Law without special Words a (g) Steward cannot make a Deputy, because it is an Office of Knowledge, Fidelity, and Discre-

(a) *Stile* 302.(b) 11 Co. 3. b.
Co. Lit. 146. b.
6 Co. 39. b.
10 Co. 133. a.

(c) 8 Co. 155. a.

(d) *Dy.* 342.
Pl. 53.
Godb. 415.
Stile 302.
2 Co. 17. a.(e) *Br. Brief*
212.
Br. Office del
Court 6.(f) *Fitz. Brief*
406.(g) Co Lit.
234. a. b.
Lit. Sect. 379?

Discretion; and therefore, *Fieta, lib. 2. cap. 72.* describes what Person a Steward ought to be, (a) *Provideat tunc sibi Dominus de Senescallo circumspetto & fideli, viro provido, discreto & gratioso, humili & pudico, & pacifico, & modesto, qui in legibus consuetudinibusq; Provinciæ, & officio Senescalciæ se cognoscat, & jura domini sui in omnibus tucri affectet, quique sub-ballivos Domini in suis erroribus & ambiguis sciat instruere, & docere, quique egenis parcere, & nec prece vel pretio velit a tramite justitiæ deviare, & perverſe judicare.* And therefore it was said, that this Office is appropriate to the Pl. 1. To his Person, for it is granted to him only during his Life: 2. To his Qualities of his Mind, *f. Science, Fidelity, and Diligence*, which are so individually annexed to him that he can't make a Deputy, nor Assignee, and therewith agree Sir *Hen. Nevil's Case, Plow. Com.* 384.* (b) *Litt. lib. 3. cap. Condit. 80. Vide 39 H. 6. 33. (c) 11 E. 4. 1. (d) 10 E. 4. 14. b. 17 H. 7. by Frowick (e) Kclw. 44. b.* and nothing of that was denied by the Court, and yet it was resolved and so adjudged, That the Pl. might (as this Case is) make a (f) Deputy. And it was observed, that this Word Steward is derived from 2 Words, *f. (g) Stede, and Ward*, and is as much as to say, my Place, or for me; and therefore he is commonly called a *Woodward*, who has the Custody and Charge of Wood, and so *Hayward* of my Hedges & *ſic de similibus.* And *Senescallus* in *Latin* has the same Signification, as appears in the History of *Ingulphus 463. inter Consuetudines Scaccarii*, where the Under-Sheriff because he exercised the Place of the Sheriff himself is called *Seneschallus Vicecomitis*, and therefore a great Officer within this Realm is called, the High Steward, because the King appoints him in divers Cases to exercise his Place, &c. There is a great Difference betwixt a Deputy *h)* and an Assignee of an Office; for an Assignee is a Person who has an Estate or Interest in the Office it self, and doth all Things in his own Name, for whom his Grantor shall not answer, unless it is in special Cases, but a Deputy has no Estate or Interest in the Office, but is but the Officer's Shadow, and doth all Things in the Name of the Officer himself, and nothing in his own Name, and for whom his Grantor shall (i) answer; and when an Officer has Power to make Assignees, he may *implicite* make Deputies, for (k) *cui licet quod major est, non debet quod minus est non licere;* and by Consequence, when an Office is granted to one and his Heirs, (l) thereby he may make an Assignee, and by Consequence a Deputy. And in the Case at Bar, the principal Parts of the Office of Steward of a Manor is *intrare querelas, plac', Surrenders, Admittances, and Fealties, probare testamenti, & comitti administrat' inframaner', &c.* and the Suits are

(a) Co. Lit. 61. b. Postea 50. a.

* Plow. 379. (b) Co. Lit. 234. a. b. Lit. Sect. 379. (c) Fitz. Grant de Roy 25. Br. Deputy 9. Br. Grant 108. Br. Patents 65, 66. Br. Officer 28. 2 Rol. 154. Postea 50. a. (d) Br. Deputy 8. Br. Patents 64. Perk. Sect. 101. (e) Kelw. 44. b. (f) Bridg. 31. Antea 27. b. (g) Co. Lit. 61. a.

(h) Perk. Sect. 180.

(i) Cawley 148. (k) 4 Co. 23. a. 5 Co. 7. a. Cawdry's Case. (l) Plow. 379. b.

are Judges of the Court-Baron, and the Steward for the most Part as Prothonotary or Register to the (a) Sutors, &c. for which Manual labour in Writing, &c. the Steward takes small Fees. Now when the Queen grants the Office of Steward of the said Manors to the Plaintiff, who is an Earl, so that in respect of the Smallness of the Office in a base Court, and of the Dignity of the Person being an Earl, it is implied in Law for Conveniency that he may make a Deputy, for whom the Earl ought to answer, so that it can't be any Prejudice to the Queen, and his Deputy *exercebit officium laboris*, as in holding of the Court-Baron, and in entring of the Pleas, Surrenders; and when need shall be in Cases of Difficulty, or concerning the Profit of the Queen, the Earl *exercebit officium fiduciæ, scientiæ, & ingenii. Comites dicuntur a comitando, quia comitantur Regem. Bracton lib. 1. cap. 8. Comites a comitatu, sive a societate nomen sumpsērunt; qui etiam dici possunt Consules, Reges enim tales sibi associant ad consulendum.* And this was the most eminent and supreme Dignity from the Conquest, until 11 E. 3. when the Black Prince was created Duke of Cornwall, and those who of ancient Times were created Earls were of the Blood Royal. And even to this Day, the King in all his Appellations styles them, *per nomen Charissimi Consanguinei nostri*, and for these Reasons the Law gives them high and great Privileges; and therefore their (b) Bodies shall not be arrested for Debt, Trespass, &c. because the Law intends that they assist the King with their Counsel for the Commonwealth; and keep the Realm in Safety by their Prowess and Valour. Also for the same Reason they shall not be put on (c) Juries, altho' it is for the Service of the Country. Also if Issue is taken, whether the Plaintiff or Defendant is an Earl or not, it shall not be tried by Jury, (d) but by the King's Writ. Also the Demandant shall not have Day of (e) Grace against a Lord of the Parliament, because he is intended to attend the Publick: And all these and many other (f) appear in our Books, 48 E. 3. 30. b. Register 179. b. F. N. B. 247. c. 48 Aff. p. 6. 22 Aff. p. 24. 32 H. 6. 27. 35 H. 6. 46. a. So that as when such Office descends to an Infant, or a Man *Non compos mentis*, or Ideot, &c. they of Necessity ought to exercise it by Deputy, so an Earl for the Necessity which the Law intends, of his Attendance upon the King and the Publick, this Stewardship of a base Court shall be exercised by his Deputy; and therefore it was agreed, That if a Parkeship is granted to an Earl, without Words to make a Deputy, he may keep it by his Servants. And in many Cases the

(a) 4 Co. 33. b.
6 Co. 11. b.
8 Co. 60. b.
Godb. 49.
1 Rol. 543.
Cr. El. 792.
Cro. Jac. 582.
4 Inst. 266,
268.
7 E. 4. 23. a.
21 E. 4. 66. b.
1 Mod. Rep. 171.
12 H. 7. 16. 17.
Co Lit. 58.
(b) 6 Co. 52. b.
Postea 60. a.
68. a.
Cr. Argum. 106.
2 Leon. 174.
Hob. 61. F. N. B.
427. c.
Stil. 222.
(c) 6 Co. 53. a.
27 H. 8. 22. b.
Br. Exempt. 3.
Co. Lit. 156. c.
F. N. B. 165. a.
Moor 767.
2 Rol. 646.
Dy. 314. pl. 98.
Doct. & Stud.
15. a. b.
1 Jones 153.
Br. Challenge
37, 209.
48 E. 3. 30. b.
48 Aff. pl. 6.
(d) 6 Co. 53. a.
12 Co. 70, 94,
95.
2 Inst. 50.
9 Co. 31. a.
2 Rol. 575.
22 Aff. pl. 24.
Co. Lit. 16. b.
Moor 767.
7 Co. 15. a.
Calvin's Case:
Br. Trial 119.
35 H. 6. 46. a.
Fitz. Challenge
44.
Br. Chall. 18.
(e) Co. Li. 135. a.
27 H. 8. 22. b.
Fitz. Jour. 8, 12.
27 E. 3. 88. a.
40 E. 3. 31. a.
(f) Cr. Car.
206.

The Earl of Shrewsbury's Case. PART IX.

Law allows diverse Acts for Conveniency in respect of the Dignity of the Person; as if Licence is given to a Duke to hunt in a Park, the Law for Conveniency gives him such Attendants as are requisite to the Dignity of his Estate. *Vide* (a) 12 H. 7. 25. b. & (b) 13 H. 7. 10. b. So when a Bishop is riding, it is not convenient to his Estate and Degree to be forced to examine the Ability of a Clerk, but he ought to attend his convenient Leisure, 14 H. 7. 21. 15 H. 7. 7. & 8. a. And of ancient Time the Earl was (c) *Præfectus, seu Præpositus Comitatus*, for so imports the Saxon Word, *s. Shire Reeve*, i. the *Reve* of the Shire, which is as much as to say *præpositus Comitatus*, and had the Charge and Custody of the County, and is called by the *Romans, Satrapas*, which Word they had from the *Persians*, and was applied to those who were, *Præfecti Provinciæ*. And *Viccomes est vicem gerens sive vicarius Comitatus*: And now the Sheriff has the whole Authority for Administration and Execution of Justice, which the E. had. And now the K. by his Letters Pat. commits to the Sheriff (d) *Custodiam Comitatus*, without express Words to make a Deputy, and yet he who comes in lieu of the E. may make one *Subviccomes*, i. his Deputy, who in ancient Time, as appears before, was called *Seneschall' Vicecom'*, and in the Stat. of *Westm. 2. c. 39.* he is called *Subviccomes*, and in 11 H. 7. c. 15. he is called *Shire-Clerk*; and if (e) *Viccomes qui gerit vicem comitis* may make a Deputy, *a fortiori* the Earl himself may do it; & *eo potius* in the Case at Bar, because it concerns private Causes in a base Court. Also when before the Statute of *Quia Emptores terrarum* the King or other Lord, &c. have given Lands to a Knight to hold of him by Knights Service, *s. to go with his Lord* (when the King makes a Voyage Royal to subdue his Enemies) for 40 Days, well and conveniently array'd for the War, in this Case the Law had so much regard to the Dignity of Knighthood (which is the inferior Degree of Dignity) that he might find another able Person, &c. to go for him with the King to the War; and therewith agrees (f) 7 E. 3. 29. a. b. which two Cases, one concerning the publick Administration and Execution of Justice in Time of Peace, and the other the publick Defence of the Realm in Time of War, were more strong Cases than the Case at Bar. And it appears in the said Letters Patent that it was the Intent of the Queen, That the Earl should make a Deputy by these Words, *Volentes & firmiter injungendo præcipientes per præsentis omnibus & singulis officiaris, ministris, & subditis nostris, tam infra libertat' quam extra, tenore præsentium, quod eidem Rogero Comiti Rutland & deputato, sive deputatis suis in præmissis omnibus faciend' & excquend' sint auxiliantes, assistentes, & consulentes prout decet*: By which it appears that the

(a) Br. Tr. sp. 237.
B. Licence 10.
(b) Br. Tresp. 431.

(c) Co. Lit. 168. a.
Poult. 97. b.

(d) Co. Lit. 168. a.
4 Co. 33. a.

(e) Co. Lit. 168. a.

(f) 8 Co. 105. a.
Co. Lit. 70. a.
Lit. sect. 96.

intended that the Earl should make a Deputy *in premissis omnibus*: And her grant ought to be taken and expounded, in respect of the Dignity of the Person *secundum intentionem suam*. And as to the Opinion of *Fleta*, (a) *ubi supra*, it is further said, (a) Ant. 48. b. *cujus officium est curias tenere manere & si per substitutum suum hoc plerumque fecerit, &c.* By which it seems, that then Stewards of Courts might make (b) Deputies.

As to 2. Admitting that the Pl. can't make a Deputy, then it was objected, That the Non-user thereof is a Cause of Forfeiture, and to prove that, 2 H. 7. 11. b. in the Case of the Clerk of a (c) Market, &c. was cited. To which it was answered and resolved, That by Non user, the Office in the Case at Bar can't be forfeited. And for the better Understanding of the true Reason of it, It is to be known, That there are three (d) Causes of Forfeiture or Seizure of Offices for Matter in Fact, as for abusing, not using, or refusing. Abusing or Misusing, as if the Marshal, or other Gaoler suffer voluntary Escapes, it is a Forfeiture of their Offices, 39 H. 6. 32. b. 5 *Nid. Dy.* (e) 151. *Vide in 22 Aff. p. 34. (f) 11 E. 4. 1. (g) 18 H. 4. 18. 20 E. 4. 5. b.* So if a Forester or (b) Parker fell and cut Wood, unless for necessary brush it is a Forfeiture of their Offices; for Destruction of Vert is (l) Destruction of Venison. As to Non-user, (which concerns the Case at Bar) there is a Difference when the (k) Office concerns the Administration of Justice or the Commonwealth, and the Officer *ex officio*, or of Necessity ought to attend without any Demand or Request; there the Non-user or Non-attendance in Court is a Forfeiture, as the Office of (l) Chamberlain in the Exchequer, Prothonotary, Clerk of the Warrants, Exigenter, (m) Philizer, &c. in the Com. Pleas, &c. for the Attendance of these and the like Officers is of Necessity for the Administration of Justice; so the Attendance of the Clerk of the Market is of Necessity for the Commonwealth. *Vide (n) 2 H. 7, 11. b.* So of holding the Sheriffs Torn, 1 *Ma. Dy.* 151. (o) But when the Officer ought not to attend or exercise his Office but on Demand or Request to be made by him to whom he is Officer, there Non-user or Non-attendance, is no Cause of Forfeiture without Demand or Request made; as in the Case at Bar, he was not bound to hold any Courts, but upon Request made, and so much is implied in his Grant, *f.* to hold his Courts when he shall be required; and so it was adjudged in *Walton's Case* in the Com. Pleas, *an'* 10 *El. & an'* 20 *El.* in the same Court in *Rand. Hurleston's Case*; as if a Man grants an Annuity *pro consilio impendendo*, he is not bound to give Counsel but upon Request made, 39 H. 6. 22. a. *John Bruin's Case*, & 22 *El. Dy.* 369. (p) *Plommer's Case*, 41 (q) *E. 3. Brendon's Case.*

But when the Office concerns any Man's (r) private, and the Officer ought *ex officio* to attend his Office without Request, there the Non-user or Non-attendance is no cause of Forf. unless the Non-user or Non-attend. is cause of Prejudice or Damage to him whose Officer he is in something which concerns his

(a) Ant. 48. b.

(b) Lit. sect. 379.
Co. Lit. 234. a. b.
The 2 Point.(c) Co. Lit.
233. a.
Haidres 48.(d) Sawyer's
Argument in
Quo Warranto
15.(e) Kelw. 194.
2. b. 195. a. b. & c.
2 Rol. 155.Poltea 96. b.
Dy. 151. pl. 4.
(f) 7 Co. 34. b.
2 Rol. 155.

(g) 8 H. 4. 18. a.

(h) Co. Lit.
233. a. b.
11 Co. 98. b.
Moor 9. 10. 787.(i) Cr. Car. 60.
(k) Co. Lit.
233. a.Cr. Car. 60,
492.Poltea 99. a.
1 Anderf 29.
4 Leon. 120.
N. Benl. 20.O. Benl. 16.
(l) 2 Rol. 155.
(m) 2 Rol. 155.
Dy. 114, 115.pl. 63, 64.
(n) Hardr. 48.

(o) Supra (e)

(p) Dy. 396.
pl. 53.(q) 41 E. 3. 19. b.
(r) Palm. 533.

Co. Lit. 233. a.

The Earl of Shrewsbury's Case. PART IX.

Charge: As if a Parker or *Custos parci* does not attend one or two Days, and within these Days no Prejudice or Damage happens, it is no Forfeiture; but if by Reason of his Absence Persons unknown kill any Deer, it is a Forfeiture of his Office; and therewith agrees 5 E. 4. 6.

As to Refusal it is to be known, That in all Cases when an Officer is bound upon (a) request to exercise his Office, if he do it not upon Request, it is a Forfeiture: As if the Steward of a Manor is requested by the Lord to hold a Court, which he doth not, it is a Forfeiture.

(a) Cr. Car. 56.
Co. Lit. 233. b.

The 3 Point .

(b) 2 Rol Rep.
159, 248.
Cr. Car. 325.
Hob. 180.

(c) Fitz. Action
sur le Cafe 33.

(d) Cr. Car.
355, 377, 378.

* Raym. 72

(e) 2 Rol Rep.
154, 223.
Fitz. Tr. p. 177.
Br. Action sur
le Cafe 46.

(f) Fitz. A. di.
sur le Cafe 34.
Er. Action sur
le Cafe 20.
Regist. 100. 2.

(g) Fitz. Recap-
tion 1.
Br. Recap. 1.

(h) Supra (e)

(i) 2 Rol. Rep.
246.

Against the Writ and Declaration it was objected, that they were (b) *vi & arm'* (where an Action on the Case ought to be without *vi & arm'*) for the Writ and Declart. are, that the Defendants *eund' Comit' ad exercenda' dict' Offic' infra dict' maner' de M. & vadia, feoda, commoda, & profic' eid' offic' de jure pertinent' habere & percipere vi & arm' ad tunc & ibid' impederunt, & adhuc impediunt.* And the Books in (c) 43 E. 3. 53. a. & 17 E. 4. 2. were cited, and F. N. B. 86. H. that an Action on the Case shall be without *vi & arm'*. And as to that it was resolved by the Court, that the Writ and Declart. were good enough. And a Difference was taken betwixt Non-feasance, and (d) Mis-feasance, for Non-feasance or Negligence, shall never be said *vi & armis*; for that would be *oppositum in obiecto*, neither for Negligence or * Non-feasance shall the Writ say, *contra pacem*, (e) 12 H. 4. 3. a. (f) 45 E. 3. 17. b. 43 E. 3. 53. a. But some Writs shall be *contra pacem*, which shall not be *vi & armis*, as 9 H. 6. 1. a. (g) Recaption shall be *contra pacem*, and against the Law and the Statute, but shall not be *vi & armis*. So in all Actions for a Thing done against any Stat. the Writ shall be *contra pacem*; vide 17 E. 3. 1. a. altho' it is for Non-feasance. But when there are 2 Causes of an Action on the Case, the one *causa causans*,* and the other *causa causata*; *Causa causans* may be alledged to be *vi & arm'*, for that is not the immediate Cause or Point of the Action, but *causa causata*, as in 12 H. 4. 3. a. the (b) putting of Dung into the River is *causa causans*, and therefore it may be *vi & armis*, but *causa causata*, s. the Point of the Action on the Case is the Drowning of the Pl's Land: So in 8 R. 2. *Hestler* 7. Register 105. a. the Breaking of the Inn may be alledged *vi & armis*; for *defectus custodiæ* is the Point of the Action on the Case against the Hostler, M. 29 E. 3. 18. b. The Abbot of *Evesham* brought an Action on the Case against certain Persons, and declared that he had a Fair in S. with all that belonged to a Fair, and that the Def. with Force and Arms disturbed the People coming to the Fair (which was *causa causans*) by which the Pl. lost his Toll (which was *causa causata*) the Point of the Action, and the Action maintainable. Vide 16 E. 4. 7. a. b. F. N. B. 89. m. 19 R. 2. Tit. Action sur le Cafe, 52. So in the Case at Bar, the Def. s might (i) *vi & armis* hinder or interrupt the Plaintiff in exercising the Office, and that is *causa causans*, by which he loses his Fees, &c. and that is *causa*

causata the Point of the Action, and 7 H. 4. 44. b. If an Action on the Case has (a) sufficient Matter, altho' it has Matter impertinent also, yet it shall be maintainable.

Against the Action it was objected, That no Action on the Case lies, because it appears by his own shewing that he may have (b) *Affise*, Vide 2 H. 4. 11. a. b. 13 H. 7. 26.

a. b. and many other Books. But it was answered and resolved by the Court, That of Things not manurable, *hereditamenta incorporea*, as Common, Corody, Office, Rent, &c. he who is seised of them is in Election to have *Affise*, and admit himself to be out of Possession; as if a Man seised of a Corody certain, is disturbed thereof by another, by which he can't take his Corody; yet he may grant it over; otherwise it is of Land. And therewith agrees 17 E. 2. (c)

Nuper obiit 12. So if another takes my Rent; yet I may grant it over, and therewith agree 24 E. 3. 4. 15 E. 4. 8.

1 E. 5. 5. a. 19 R. 2. *Action sur le Case* 51. J. F. brought an Action on the Case against certain Persons, and declared,

That he is Bedel of the Hundred of H. and ought to have of every Brewer, who sells 3 Gallons of the best c) Beer for 7 d. certain Beer; and says that he, and those whose Estate

he has in the same Hundred have been seised thereof: And *Hankford* took 3 Exceptions to the Declaration, 1. That he

has not shewed how he has his Estate, & *non allocatur*, 2. He claims by Prescription of every of these Brewers Beer by

Virtue of his Office; and he has joined sundry Brewers in his Action, where he ought to have several Actions, & *non*

allocatur, for all in Covin were accessary. 3. He has shewed he was disturbed, in which Case he ought to have *Affise*, &

non allocatur. But the Reason of the Rule of the Book is mistaken by the Reporter; for there the Reason which is

given is, because peradventure he has nothing in the Office but for a Time, as a Clark has nothing but the Occupation,

&c. the Contrary of which appears in the Declaration, where he prescribes in the said Office; but the true Reason is, That it is in his Election, as is aforesaid.

Against the Verdict 5 Exceptions were taken. 1. That there was no Disturbance found, and if any Disturbance

is found, the Disturbance alledged in the Declaration is not found: First the said (d) Words which passed betwixt them, was no Disturbance or Interruption of

the Plaintiff, as in 16 E. 4. 10. b. & 11. a. *David Malpas* was bound to another, that he should not interrupt him in exercising the Office of Parker, &c.

and they met in London, and *Malpas* said to the Parker, that if he would be so hardy to come to the said Park,

and use the Office aforesaid, that he would beat him, and it is there held that this verbal Threatning is not

any

(a) Br. Brief 114.
Br. Action sur le Case 37.
Br. Nugaton, & c9.
The 4 Point.
(b) Cr. El. 198, 199, 466, 520, 845.
Noy 37.
2 Leon. 184.
3 Leon. 13, 263.
4 Leon. 167, 158, 224.
Dy. 250. pl. 88.
N. Benl. 224.

(c) 1 Rol. 106. 3
6 Co. 61. a.

The 5 Point.

(d) 8 Co. 91. a. b.
1 Rol. 106.
1 Jones 169.
1 And. 171.

The Earl of Shrewsbury's Case. PART IX.

any Interruption. 2. There is no Disturbance found *vi & a. m'* which is alledg'd in the Declarat. To which it was answered and resolved by the Court; that there was an express Disturb. found, *f. the holding of Courts, and the Taking of Fees; for impedire est pedem imponere, & impediment' est quo quis impeditur ut non perficiat qd ad se pertinet;* and altho' the Disturbance with all the Circumstances be not found, which is alledg'd in the Declarat. yet if any Disturb. is found which is there alledg'd, it is sufficient, and that without Question is directly found. 3. The Verdict is, *Qd' quid' Si. Sterne adiunc existens deputat' p'ced' Comit' Rutland' pro exercitio p'ced' Officii Seneschalli p'ced' Maner' de M.* and it is not found that he made the said *Sterne* his Deputy by his Deed, as it ought to be, as it is agreed in 28 H. 8. (a) Deputy 17. for this Reason the Verdict was insufficient. To which it was answered and resolved; that it is true, that he who makes a Deputy ought to make him by Writing: But when the Jury find that *S. Sterne* was his Deputy, all necessary Incidents are thereby also found; and therefore upon the Matter they have found that it was by Deed. 4. The Verdict is, *Qd' (b) accessit ad villam de M. & ad usualia locum ibid' ubi Curia Maner' de M. communiter tenet' & custodit' fuit,* and it is not found that he came to any Part of the Manor, but only *ad villam de M.* and therefore it is insufficient; for the Court ought to be held either upon (c) Part of the Manor, or at least upon some Part of that which is holden of it, but it may well be that some Part of the Town is not within the Manor, but held of some other Manor, & *non allocatur.* 1. Because it shall be intended *prima facie* in this special Verdict, that the Manor includes the whole Town. 2. The other Words, *f. ad usualia locum ubi Cur' Maner' &c.* make in a special Verd. the Matter clear, that it shall be intended in some Place within the Manor, for such precise Form is not by Law requir'd in special (d) Verdicts, which are the finding of Lay People, as in pleading, which is made by Men learned in the Law. Lastly it was objected, That the Verdict has found, that *semper abinde,* (*s. from 16 Day of Feb. &c.*) *videm Th. Woodward & Rob. Spencer receperunt omnia feoda pertinen' Seneschal' ibid'* which ought to be intended till the Finding of the Verdict, and because they have given Damages entirely for all, whereas it ought to be only for the Taking of the Fees before the Original; for this Case the Verdict was insufficient. To which it was answered and resolved by the Court; 1. that the Beginning of this Sentence is, and (e) *abinde usque impetrationem predict' brevis original', &c.* which Words in this special Verdict shall guide and limit the 2 *abinde* also. 2. The Jurors, if, &c. find them guilty *de transgress. infrascript'* which was alledg'd in the Writ and Declaration from the 16th of February hucusque, which taking all the Words together ought to be intended *usque ad impetrationem brevis.* And afterwards in the End of this Term a Writ of Enquiry of

(*) Br. Deputy
17. in Fine.

(b) Hob. 56.

(c) Co. Lit. 58 a.
4 Co 27. a.
Owen 35.

(d) Cr. Jac. 64.
146.
Yelv. 61.
Cr. El. 167,
669.
Lit. Rep. 200.

(e) Hard. 347.

Damages was awarded by the Court, and upon the Return thereof Judgment was given for the Plaintiff. And the Ch. Justice in his Argument said, That in the said Letters Patent, there is a general Clause which refers to the Grant of the said Office of Steward last named, and the other Offices which were before granted, *s. una cum omnibus aliis profic', juribus, commodit', & emolument' dict' omnibus & singulis officiis cum cæteris præmissis provenient' seu aliquo modo spectant' & adeo plene & integre, & in tam amplis modo & forma, prout Tho. Manners Miles, &c. aut aliquis alius, sive aliqui alii offic' præd' vel eorum aliquis ante hæc tempora occupans sive occupantes, habuit & percepit, habuerunt sive perceperunt, &c.* And if in any former Patent of the said Office of Stewardship, the Patentee had express Power to make a Deputy, that then by these general Words *de omnibus juribus, &c. adeo plene, & integre, &c. prout aliquis, &c.* being applied to a particular Charter which has such express Authority, the Plaintiff may make a Deputy, and to this purpose 43 E. 3. 22. 18 Eliz. *Dyer* (a) 351. & *Hill* 40 Eliz. (b) *Ameredith's Case* in the Exchequer were cited.

(a) Dy. 351.
 pl. 22.
 (b) Ant. 29. b.
 2 Rol. Rep. 156.
 1 Vent. 409,
 412.
 2 brownl. 341.
 Hard. 456.
 Palm. 81.

Mich. 8 Jacobi Regis.

In Communi Banco.

Hickmot's Case.

IN an Action of Debt brought by *William Hickmot* against *Thomas Oxenbridge* on a Bond of 40 l. 1 Jan. 5 Jac. the Def. pleaded in Bar, That after the Making of the said Bond, sc. 10 Julii 1608. the Plaintiff released unto him, and pleaded Part of the Release, the Plaintiff demanded Oyer of the Release, which was read to him in these Words, *July the 13 Day in anno 1608. It is concluded and agreed, upon the Day and Year above written, between Wm. Hickmot of the one Party, and Tho. Oxenbridge of the other Party, That upon good Considerations, drawing the Parties thereunto, The said Will. Hickmot doth acknowledge himself fully satisfied and discharged of all Bonds, Debts or Demands whatsoever, from the Beginning of the World until this present Day, by the said Tho. Oxenbridge. And that he the said Will. Hickmot is to deliver all such Bonds as he hath yet undelivered to Tho. Oxenbridge, except one Bond of 40 l. yet unforfeit, which is for the Payment of 22 l. wherein the said Tho. Oxenbridge and Rog. Oxenbridge, his Brother, standeth bound to the said Will. Hickmot, In Witness whereof, &c.* And the Plaintiff said that he ought not to be barred of his Action, for he said that the Bond of 40 l. so excepted, and the said Bond *Cur' hic prolat'* are one and the same Bond, &c. upon which the Defendant demurred in Law. And in this Case three Points were resolved 1. That the said Acknowledgment by his Deed to be satisfied and discharged of all Bonds, is in Judgment of Law a Release or Discharge of the Bonds, for none ought to be satisfied but once, although the word Discharged is not properly said of the Part of the Obligee, but of the Obligor, for the Obligor is to be discharged; yet when the Obligee confesses himself to be

Winch. 92.

discharged of all Bonds by the said *Tho. Oxenbridge*, it amounts to as much as the Bonds themselves shall be discharged: So that as well this Word Discharged, as this Word Satisfied, is sufficient in Law to bar the Plaintiff of all Benefit of the said Bonds; For by what Words a Debt by a Deed may be created, by the contrary Words it may be discharged. *Vide (a) 22 E. 4. 22. a. (b) 8 E. 4. 5. a. 37 H. 6. 9. a.* what shall be good Words (c) Obligatory: *Et bis idem exigi bona fides non patitur, & in satisfactionibus non permittitur amplius fieri quam semel factum est.*

2. It was resolved, That the said Exception shall (d) extend to all the Premises, and not only to the Clause of Delivery, for 3 Reasons; 1. Because it is a Rule, *Quod (e) exceptio semper ultimo ponenda est. Vide Regist. 1. b. 2.* All the Words before make but one entire Sentence, and one depending upon the other, for it was Reason, when Bonds are satisfied and discharged, that they should be delivered.

3. There was Reason, that this Bond of 40 l. should be excepted, for it was not then due.

The 3 Point, That now it (f) appears by the Plaintiff's Confession in his Replication, That he can't have an Action against the Defendant only, but ought to have brought it against him and *Roger Oxenbridge*, for the Bond of 40 l. excepted was a joint Bond; and the Plaintiff avers in his Replication, that is the Bond upon which he has conceived this Action, and therefore he has abated his own Writ. But the Court gave Day to another Term, at which Day the Plaintiff was Nonsuit.

(a) Fitz. Oblig.
10
Bi Oblig. 63.
Wentw. 167.
2 Rol. 146, 147.
(b) Fitz. Oblig.
9.
Br. Oblig. 5.
(c) Dyer 22.
Pl. 139, 140.
19 R. 2.
Det. 166.
Kelw. 34. b.
37 H. 6. 9. a.
40 E. 3. 2. a.
Fitz. Oblig. 14.
Bi. Oblig. 8.
Strach. Oblig. 1.
2 Rol. 146, 147.
(d) Lit. Rep.
207, 210.
(e) Lit. Rep.
63.
(f) 1 Jones 304.
3 Co. 52. b.
Hob. 14, 199.
Sidle 354.
8 Co 133. b.