

Brook tit. Mortmaine 6.  
47. E. 3. 11. 21. E. 3. 17.

40. Aff. 13.

Also hec that hath a title to enter upon a mortmaine, shall not be barred by a discent, because then he should bee without all remedie. And so it is in case where a woman hath a title to enter *causâ matrimonii prælocuti*, no discent shall take away her entrie, because shee hath but a title, and no remedie by action. (1)

*ove le condition, et l'estate del tenant est conditionall, en queconque mains que le tenancie vient, &c.*

ged with the condition, and the state of the tenant is conditionall, in whose hands soever that the tenancie commeth, &c.

Sect. 392.

**I**F a man be seised of lands in fee, and by his last will in writing deviteth the same to another in fee, and dieth, after whose decease the freehold in law is cast upon the devisee, and the heire, before any entrie made by the devisee, entreth, and dieth seised, this discent shall not take away the entrie of the devisee; for if the discent, which is an act in law, should take away his entrie, the law should barre him of his right, and leave him utterly without remedie. (2) And so it is of him that entreth for consent to a ravishment; and so it was resolved in the case of *Martin Trotte* of London, [n] *Pascbæ 32. El. in Com. Banco*; and accordingly was the opinion of the court of common pleas, [o] *Pascb. 1. Jac. Reg.* To this may be added

*ITEM, si tiel tenant sur condition soit disseisie, et le disseisor devie ent seisie, et la terre descendist al heire le disseisor, ore le entrie le tenant sur condition, que fuist disseisie, est toll. Mes uncore si le condition soit enfreint\*, donque poit le feoffor ou le donor que fierent estate sur condition, ou lour heirs, enter, causâ quâ supra.*

**A**LSO, if such tenant upon condition be disseised, and the disseisor die thereof seised, and the land descend to the heire of the disseisor, now the entrie of the tenant upon condition, who was disseised, is taken away. Yet if the condition be broken, the feoffor or the donor which made the estate upon condition, or their heires, may enter, *causâ quâ supra*.

[n] *Pascb. 32. Eliz. in Communi Banco. 7. R. 2. Scir. Fac. 3. 41. E. 3. 14. per Finchden.*

[o] *Pascb. 1. Jac. Regis in Communi Banco.*

as a like case, the king's patentee before he enter, &c. Another reason wherefore a discent shall not take away the entrie of him that hath a title to enter by force of a condition, &c. is, for that the condition remains in the same essence that it was in at the time of the creation of it, and cannot be divested or put out of possession, as lands and tenements may (3).

Sect. 393.

**DEVIE** *seisie, &c.*  
viz. in fee simple or in fee taylor.

*Et son heire enter, &c.* So as he hath an actuall fee simple.

*De la 3. part de les tenements, &c.* id est, in severaltie.

By this section it appeareth, that an entrie being taken away by the discent, is revived by the endowment, albeit the tenant in dower shall have it but for her life. And the cause is, for that although the heire entred, yet

**ITEM, si un disseisor devie seisie, &c.**

*et son heire enter, &c. lequel endorra la feme le disseisor de la tierce part de les tenements, &c. en cest cas quant a cest tierce part que est assigne a la feme en dower, maintenant apres ceo que la feme enter, et ad le*

**A**LSO, if a disseisor die seised, &c. and his heire enter, &c. who endoweth the wife of the disseisor of the third part of the land, &c. in this case as to this part which is assigned to the wife in dower, presently after the wife entreth, and hath the possession of the same third

pos-

\* &c. added in L. and M. but not in Roh.

(1) The assertion, that a woman in this case has no remedy by action, may, perhaps, be disputed, as the writ *causâ matrimonii prælocuti* extends to all the degrees. See the writ in the Register. Booth's Real Actions 197. and Fitz. Nat. Br. 205.

(2) Acc. the case of *Mattheson v. Trott*, Owen 141. 1. Leo. 209. But the reason given in the Commentary, that the devisee, in this case, has no remedy by action, is not well founded, if what Sir Edward Coke observes in page 111 a. be true, that the devisee may either enter, or have his writ *ex gravi querela*. Upon this head, the judges Anderton and Walmesley seem to differ on the case above cited. Whatever may be the case with respect to a descent, a fine levied by the heir at law, is a bar to a devisee after five years non-claim. *Hulm v. Heylock*, Cro. Car. 200. It is also a bar to a title of entry for a condition broken, or a right or title of entry upon any other account. *Mayor of London v. Alford*, Cro. Car. 575. 1. Jones 452. See Mr. Cruise's Essay on Fines, 146. 147.

(3) *Hamsworth v. Pretty*, Cro. Eliz. 919. Thomas devised to Richard, his eldest son, in fee, upon condition that he should pay to his other children the sums appointed to them according to the intent of his will; and on refusal, that his younger sons and daughters should have it to them and their heirs. Thomas refused payment, and died; and William, his son, entered, and the younger sons and daughters entered upon him: it was contended, that the descent upon William took away the entry of the younger sons and daughters; but the court held the contrary. For it was not as a descent by a stranger after a devise, before the entry of the devisee, which, perhaps, might take away their entry, because it was not then an immediate devise; but it was *quasi* a devise upon a limitation, or upon a condition broken, which no descent should take away or prejudice.

*From it occurs that the writ ex gravi querela is only applicable to lands devised by will. See Hil 34. 1. R. 19. 1918.*

*possession de mesme la tierce part, le disseisee poit loyalment enter sur la possession le feme en mesme la tierce part. Et la cause est, pur ceo que quant la feme ad son dower, el serra adjudge eins immediate per son baron, et nemy per l'heire; et issint quant a le franktenement de mesme la tierce part, le discent est defeate\*. Et issint poies veir, que devant le endowment le disseisee ne poit enter en ascun part, &c. et apres le dowment il poit enter † sur la feme, &c. mes uncore il ne poit enter sur les auters deux parts que l'heire le disseisor ad per le discent ‡.*

part, the disseisee may lawfully enter upon the possession of the wife into the same third part. And the reason is, for that when the wife hath her dower, she shall be adjudged in immediately by her husband, & not by the heire (1); and so as to the freehold of the same third part, the discent is defeated. And so you may see, that before the endowment the disseisee could not enter into any part, &c. and after the endowment he may enter upon the wife, &c. but yet hee cannot enter upon the other two parts which the heire of the disseisor hath by the discent (2).

when the wife is endowed she shall not be in by the heire, [a] but immediately by her husband being the disseisor, who is in for her life by a title paramount the dying seised and discent, and therefore in judgement of law, the discent as to the freehold, and the possession which the heire had is taken away by the endowment; for that the law adjudgeth no meane seisin betwene the husband and the wife.

[a] 8. E. 2. Entric 75. 19. E. 2. Dower 171. 5. E. 2. Entric 66. 24. E. 3. 32. 40. 38. Aff. Pl. 26.

43. E. 3. 32. 45. E. 3. 9. b. 11. H. 4. 11. 7. H. 5. 3. 10. E. 3. 27. 28. 36. H. 6. Dower 30.

If there be lord, mesne and tenant, the mesne doth grant to the tenant to acquite him against the lord and his heires, the lord dies, his wife hath the seigniorie assigned to her for her dower, and distraines the tenant; albeit the grant was to acquite him against the lord and his heires only, yet because shee continued the estate of her husband, and the reversion remained in the heire, this grant of acquitall did extend to the wife, which is a notable case.

31. E. 1. Mesne 55. (F. N. B. 136.)

If after the dying seised of the disseisor, the disseisee abate, against whom the wife of the disseisor recover by confession in a writ of dower, in that case, though the discent be avoided as *Littleton*

here saith, yet the disseisee shall not enter upon the tenant in dower, because the recoverie was against himselfe; but if he had assigned dower to her *in pais*, some say hee should enter upon her (3).

A man makes a gift in taile reserving twentie shillings rent, and dies, the donee takes wife, and dieth without issue, the heire of the donor entreteth and endoweth the wife, shee is so in of the estate of her husband, that albeit the estate taile be spent, and the rent reserved thereupon determined, yet after she be endowed, she shall be attendant to the heire in respect of the said rent. And so it is of lord and tenant, the wife that is endowed shall be attendant for the due services; but if any services be encroached, albeit that encroachment shall binde the heire, yet the wife shall be contributorie but for the services of right due (4).

10. E. 3. 26. (7. Rep. 9. a.)

*Issint poies veir, que devant le dowment le disseisee ne poit enter, et apres l'endowment il poit enter, &c.* The like hath beene said before in this chapter, *Sect.* 386. where the entrie of the disseisee may be taken away for a time, and by matter *ex post facto* revived againe.

*Nota*, albeit the disseisor after a discent taketh to him but an estate for life, yet when the disseisee doth enter upon him, he shall thereby devert the reversion, for the estate of freehold is that whereupon a *præcipe* doth lye, and therefore the entrie of the disseisee is as available in law, as if he had recovered it in a *præcipe*. And so it is if a disseisor make a lease for life, and grant the reversion to the king, the entrie of the disseisee upon the tenant for life shall devert the reversion out of the king in the same manner as if the disseisee had recovered the lands against the tenant for life in a *præcipe*.

Vide *Sect.* 372. 388. 25. E. 3. 48. Pl. Com. 553. (Pott. 353. b. Dyer 21. b.) (1 Roll. Abr. 658. Ant. 55. b.)

Sect.

\* &c. added in L. and M. and Roh.

† *sur la feme*, not in L. and M. nor Roh.

‡ &c. added in L. and M. and Roh.

(1) The dowress holds of the heir; but by the institution of the law, she is in of the estate of her husband; so that after the heir's assignment, she holds by an infeudation from the immediate death of her husband. Hence it is that dower defeats descent, because the lands cannot be said to descend as demesne which are in tenure; and the assignment of dower being in the nature of infeudation, and taking place immediately from the death of the husband, there are only two-thirds which descended as demesne. *Gillb. on Dower* 39c.—See ant. 31. b.

(2) The doctrine contained in this section seems to apply to the cases of a recovery suffered by the heir, either before or after the assignment of dower.

(3) *So note, though the disseisee, being an abator, did an act to which he was compellable, yet it is not as good as if he had been actually compelled.* *Supra*, 35. Lord Nott. MS.

(4) Sir Edward Coke, in this passage, and in a former part of his Commentary, puts several cases on the continuance of the wife's dower after the fee charged with it is determined. Perhaps the following distinctions and observations will assist in clearing up the complex and abstruse points of learning in which this question is involved. I. In those cases where the fee is evicted by title paramount, the dower and courtsey necessarily cease upon the eviction. Such is the case put by *Littleton* in the section before us. II. When the donor enters for breach of condition; as his entry absolutely defeats the estate of the tenant on condition, so it defeats his wife's right of dower, and the husband's right of courtsey, and all other charges brought upon the estate, either by the donee's own act, or by act of law. See Note 2, fo. 202. b. III. If a person seised in fee tail, or any other determinable fee, conveys in fee, the wife's right of dower, and the husband's courtsey, can only be commensurate with the estate of the grantee, and must necessarily cease whenever that estate ceases. See 10 Rep. 97. b. 93. a. IV. As to estates in fee simple conditional at the common law, and estates tail under the statute *de donis*;—the wife was entitled to her dower, and the husband to his courtsey, out of them, after the failure of the issues in tail. But it may be observed, that though it now is difficult to avoid conveying estates in fee simple conditional in any other light than as estates originally granted to the donee, and to the heirs general, or to some particular heirs of his body; and the estate of the donor, as that of a reversioner expectant on the failure of these heirs; yet this restriction to particular heirs, and exclusion of others, is understood to be produced, not by any limitation of persons introduced into the grant, but by a condition supposed to be annexed to it, that if there were no such heirs, or being such, if they afterwards failed, and the donee did not alien the estate, it should be lawful for the donor and his heirs to enter.—This entry, therefore, was not an entry upon the natural expiration of a previous estate, but for a condition broken; in which case, as in all others where entry is made for breach of a condition, the right of the wife to her dower, and the husband to his courtsey, if the general rule were adhered to, would be defeated. But for reasons now rather to be guessed than demonstrated, this case was made an exception from the general rule. So with respect to the right of the wife of tenant in tail to her dower, and the husband to his courtsey, after the failure of the issues in tail; the statute *de donis* introduced no new estate, but only prescribed estates limited as conditional fees to the issues inheritable under them, by preventing the tenants of such conditional fees from alienating or disposing of them; and as they preserved the estates, so they preserved the incidents belonging to them, and, among others, the right of the wife to her dower, and the husband to his courtsey. V. If a person makes a gift in tail, reserving rent; after failure of the issues in tail, the rent will not be continued, either for the dower of the wife, or the courtsey of the husband. *Pl. Com.* 125. VI. As to limited fees;—by which, in this place, me to be understood those fees which are qualified, not because the estate of the grantor is limited—(such

*See note 30. a.*

*See note 30. a.*

## Sect. 394.

*EN cest case jeo poy enter sur le possession l'issue, &c.*

Vide 9. H. 7. 24. and 37. H. 6. 1.

See before the chapter of Homage.

(3. Rep. 34. a.)

For here was but a discent of a reversion at the time of the dying seised, for the estate of the tenant by the courtesie had commencement by the having of issue, and is consummate by the death of the wife, so as the fee & franktenement did not after the decease of the wife descend to the heire, and albeit the tenant by the courtesie dieth afterwards, and that the franktenement is cast upon the heire, so as now he hath the fee and franktenement by discent, yet because the heire came not to the fee and franktenement at once, immediately after the decease of the wife, such a mediate discent shall not take away the entrie of the disseisee. On the other side, an immediate discent may take away an entrie for a time, and mediately may be avoided by matter *ex post facto*, as hath beene said. But if a dying seised taketh not away the entrie of him that right hath at the time of the discent, it shall not by any matter *ex post facto* take away his entrie.

If a disseisor die without heire, his wife privement enseint with an issue, and after the issue is borne, who entreth into the land, he hath the land by discent, and yet thereby the entrie of the disseisee shall not be taken away, because, as *Littleton* here saith, the issue cometh not to the lands immediately by discent, after the decease of the father.

And so it is if a disseisor make a gift in taile, the remainder in fee, and the donee dieth without issue, leaving his wife privement enseint with a sonne, and he in the remainder enters, and after the sonne is borne, who entreth into the land, this discent shall not take away the entrie of the disseisee, *causa qua supra*.

*Contrarium tenetur, &c.* This is an addition, and therefore to be passed over. And at this day, this case of *Littleton* is holden for cleere law.

*ITEM, si un feme soit seise de terre en fee, dont jeo aye droit et tite d'entre, si la feme prent baron, et ont issue enter eux, et puis la feme devie seise, et apres le baron devie, et l'issue enter, &c. en cest case jeo \* poy enter sur le possession l'issue, pur ceo que l'issue ne vient a les tenements immediate per discent apres la mort sa mere, &c. † eins per le mort del pier. (1)*

*Contrarium tenetur P. 9. Hen. 7. per tout le court, & M. 37. H. 6.*

feised taketh not away the entrie of him that right hath at the time of the discent, it shall not by any matter *ex post facto* take away his entrie.

If a disseisor die without heire, his wife privement enseint with an issue, and after the issue is borne, who entreth into the land, he hath the land by discent, and yet thereby the entrie of the disseisee shall not be taken away, because, as *Littleton* here saith, the issue cometh not to the lands immediately by discent, after the decease of the father.

And so it is if a disseisor make a gift in taile, the remainder in fee, and the donee dieth without issue, leaving his wife privement enseint with a sonne, and he in the remainder enters, and after the sonne is borne, who entreth into the land, this discent shall not take away the entrie of the disseisee, *causa qua supra*.

*Contrarium tenetur, &c.* This is an addition, and therefore to be passed over. And at this day, this case of *Littleton* is holden for cleere law.

## Sect. 395.

*ITEM, si un disseisor enfeoffa son pier en fee, et le pier morust de tiel estate seise, per que les tenements descendont a le disseisor, † come fits et heire, &c. en cest case le disseisee bien poit enter sur le disseisor, nient obstant le discent,*

**ALSO**, if a disseisor enfeoffe his father in fee, and the father die seised of such estate, by which the land descend to the disseisor, as sonne and heire, &c. in this case the disseisee may well enter upon the disseisor, notwithstanding the discent,

\* *poy* not in L. and M. and Roll.  
† *ent* added in L. and M.

† *eins per le mort del pier*, and the note that follows, not in L. and M. nor Roll.

(1) Conformably to this it was held by lord Holt in the case of *Carter v. Tash*, 1. Salk. 241. that a discent which tolls entry ought to be an immediate discent; and therefore if a feme disseisores take husband, and hath issue and dies, and after the husband dies, the discent of the issue does not take away entry, because the interposition of tenant by the courtesie does impede it, and that coverture to avoid a discent ought to be continual from the time of the disseisin to the discent; for if a feme be sole at the time of the disseisin, or of the discent, or any time intermediate, her entry is not preserved, because she had an opportunity to enter and prevent the discent: as if a feme covert is a disseisee, and after her husband dies she takes a second husband, and then the discent happens, this discent shall take away the entry of the feme: and upon this last point the plaintiff in that case was nonsuited.

as those which are classed under the third distinction)—but those which being created by a person seised in fee simple, are by the original grant by which they are created, only to continue till a certain event; as a grant to A. and his heirs, tenants of the manor of Dale, or to A. and his heirs, while there shall be heirs of the body of B.—or those fees which are originally devised or limited in words importing a fee simple or fee tail absolute and unconditional, but which, by subsequent words, are made determinable upon some particular event (see Note 1. 203.):—as to fees of this description, it should seem by the case cited in the Note to F. N. B. 149. G. and the cases of *Flavell v. Ventrice*, Roll. Abr. 676. and *Sammes v. Payne*, 1. Leo. 167. 1. And. 184. 8. Rep. 24. Gouldf. 81. that where the fee, in its original creation, is only to continue to a certain period, the wife is to hold her dower, and the husband his courtesie, after the expiration of the period to which the fee charged with the dower or courtesie is to continue; but that where the fee is originally devised in words importing a fee simple, or fee tail absolute and unconditional, but by subsequent words is made determinable upon some particular event; there, if that particular event happens, the wife's dower and the husband's courtesie cease with the estate to which it is annexed. Such appears to be the distinction established by the foregoing cases. But a different doctrine as to cases of the latter description, seems to have been laid down in the case of *Buckworth v. Thinkell*, determined in last Trinity term in the court of king's bench. There Joseph Sutton the testator devised his estates to trustees upon trust to pay the rents and profits of them for the maintenance and education of Mary Barrs, till she arrived at twenty-one, or was married: "And from and after the said Mary Barrs should have attained her age of twenty-one years, or should be married, he gave and devised all the said lands and premises to the said Mary Barrs, her heirs and assigns for ever; but in case the said Mary Barrs should happen to die before she arrived at the age of twenty-one years, and without having issue of her body lawfully begotten; then, from and after the decease of the said Mary Barrs without issue, as aforesaid, he gave and devised all his said estates unto his grandson Walter for life," with several remainders over. Mary Barrs married Solomon Hansford, and had issue a son, who died in her life; and afterwards Mary Barrs died under twenty-one. In this case, the court were unanimously of opinion, that on the decease of Mary Barrs, her husband became intitled by the courtesie to the estates for his life, and that, subject thereto, the devisees over became intitled to them by way of executory devise. — By a manuscript report of this case, the ground upon which the court appears to have formed their opinion on it,

*pur ceo que quant al disseisin, le disseisor serra adjudge eins fors- que come disseisor, nient obstant le discent, \* quia particeps criminis.* discent, for that as to the disseisin, the disseisor shall bee adjudged in but as a disseisor, notwithstanding the discent, *quia particeps criminis.* (1) (Ant. 238. b.)

Of this sufficient hath beene said before in this chapter, Sect. 386. And regularly it is true, that albeit a discent be cast, and the entrie of the disseisee taken away, yet if the disseisor commeth to the land againe, either by discent or purchase, of any estate or freehold, which is implied in the (&c.) the disseisee may enter upon him, or have his assise against him, as if no discent or meane conveyance had beene, *quia particeps criminis.*

15. E. 4. 23. a. 11. E. 4. 2.  
18 E. 4. 25. a. 33. H. 6. 5. b.  
34. H. 6. 11. 12. H. 8. 9.  
24. H. 8. 3. 9. 18. H. 8. 5.  
5. H. 7. 29. Aff. 54. 39. E. 3.  
25. 26.  
(Poll. Sect. 409.)

Sect. 396.

*ITEM, si homo seise de certaine terre en fee ad issue deux fits, et morust seise, et le puisne fits entra per abatement en la terre, quel ad issue, et de ceo morust seise, et les tenements descendont al issue, et l'issue entra en la terre: en cest case le fits eigne, ou son heire, poit enter per la ley sur l'issue del fits puisne, nient contrisiant le discent, pur ceo que quant le fits puisne abatist en la terre apres le mort son pier devant aucun entrie per le fits eigne † fait, la ley intendra que il entra en claymant come heyre a son pier. Et pur ceo que l'eigne fits clayma per mesme le tite, c'esta-savoir, come heyre a son pier, il et ses heires poient enter sur l'issue de puisne ‡ fits, nient obstant le discent, &c. pur ceo que ils clay-*

**A**LSO, if a man seised of certaine land in fee have issue two sons, and die seised, and the younger sonne enter by abatement into the land, and hath issue, and dieth seised thereof, and the land descend to his issue, and the issue enters into the land: in this case the eldest sonne, or his heire, may enter by the law upon the issue of the younger son, notwithstanding the discent, because that when the younger son abated into the land after the death of his father before any entrie made by the eldest sonne, the law intend that hee entred claiming as heire to his father. And for that the eldest sonne claimes by the same title, that is to say, as heire to his father, hee and his heires may enter upon the issue of the younger son, notwithstanding the dis-

*EN cest case le fits eigne, &c. poit entrer sur l'issue del fits puisne, &c.*

And the reason hereof is, for that the law intendeth the youngest sonne entred claiming the land as heire to his father, and because the eldest sonne claimeth also by the same title, viz. as heire to his father, therefore hee and his heires may enter upon the second sonne and his heires, in respect of the privitie of the blood betweene them, and of the same claime by one title, albeit the youngest son gained a fee simple by his entrie: for *Littleton* here calleth it an abatement, which proveth the gaining of a fee simple.

And it is to be observed, that *assisa mortis antecessoris non tenet inter conjunctas personas sicut fratres & sorores, &c.* for these are privie in blood, but it lyeth against strangers, and then damages are to be recovered against a stranger, but not against his brother.

Lands were given to the husband and wife, and to the heires of their two bodies, they had issue a daughter, the wife died, the husband had issue by another wife foure sons and died, the eldest sonne abated

Bracl. lib. 4. fol. 261. 282. 283.  
Button, fol. 180. 181. Fleta,  
lib. 5. cap. 1. 2, &c. 20. E. 3.  
Dan. present 13. 12. H. 3.  
Mord. pl. ultim. 13. E. 1.  
Mord. 47. 29. Aff. 11.  
E. N. B. 196. b.  
(8. Rep. 42.)  
(Poll. 271. a.)

Pasch. 3. E. 7. Coram Rege  
Kauc. in thesaur.

\* &c. added: *quia particeps criminis*, not in L. and M. † *fait* not in L. and M. ‡ *fits—sicut*, L. and M. and Roh.

(1) For when the disseisor encloses the father, it is presumed to be done in order afterwards to come in by discent, and the act of law shall not give sanction to the wrong of the party; nor shall any man by his own wrong, however cunningly contrived, give to himself a right; for when the heir by the discent gains a *jus possessionis*, he is supposed innocent of the wrong of his ancestor, but here he is partner of his guilt. See Gilb. Ten. 27. 28.

is, an analogy they supposed it to bear to the case of estates in fee simple conditional, and estates tail; in both of which dower and courtesy continue after failure of the issue, and in both of which the wife's being seised of a fee, to which the issue might by possibility inherit, entitles the husband to courtesy. Some observations have been offered above to shew that the continuation of dower and courtesy in the cases of estates in fee simple conditional, was an exception to a general rule (dower and courtesy, in all other cases of conditions, being defeated by the entry for the condition broken); and that the same reasoning may be applied to the continuation of dower and courtesy out of an estate tail, after the failure of issue. It may therefore seem singular that the court, on this occasion, should prefer reasoning by way of analogy from the only admitted exception to the general rule, to reasoning by analogy from the *general rule* itself:—it is the more singular, as the general case of estates on condition approached nearer to the case then under consideration of the court, than the particular case of estates in fee simple conditional, or estates tail; for the distinguishing feature of the devise which gave rise to the case before the court (as of all devises of that description) is, that after the whole fee is first devised, it is made defeasible by a subsequent clause. Now neither an estate in fee simple conditional, nor an estate tail, has any such defeasible quality or incident annexed to it; but this quality forms the very essence of all other estates upon condition.—With respect to the application of the maxim, that where the issue may by possibility inherit, the husband shall have his courtesy (and so *vice versa* of dower); in every place in the books where that is mentioned, it is to introduce an enquiry whether the wife, being in the actual seisin of an estate, was in fact seised of an estate, the quality of which was such, that the issue of the husband might inherit it, but never with a view to shew that the quantity of the estate was such, that it might endure so long as to be inheritable by the issue. On the contrary, when the wife's estate is evicted by title paramount, or by an entry for the breach of a condition, in both cases the issue might have inherited; but the husband would be entitled to his courtesy in neither, after the eviction or entry. Another difference between the case of an estate in fee simple made defeasible by a subsequent executory limitation or devise, and that of an estate in fee simple conditional, or an estate tail, is, that an estate in fee simple, made defeasible by an executory limitation or devise, cannot, by any means whatever, be discharged by the first taking, or devise, from the operation of the subsequent limitation or devise; but an estate in fee simple conditional may immediately after the birth of a child, and an estate tail immediately after marriage, be destroyed, and a fee simple absolute acquired, by the husband and wife joining in a fine or common recovery.—The case is the same with respect to the wife's right of dower.—Besides, the quality we are speaking of is not sufficient of itself to entitle the husband to courtesy, or the wife to dower; it is only one of many incidents which the estate ought to have to give that title.

abated and died seised, this discent did take away the entrie of the daughters, because they claimed not by one title. And in ancient bookes the eldest sonne is called *hæres propinquus*, and the younger sonne *hæres remotus*. And albeit the eldest sonne hath issue and dieth, and that after his decease the youngest son or his heire entreth, and many discents be cast in his line, yet may the heires of the eldest sonne enter in respect of the privitie of the blood, and of the same claime by one title; but if the youngest sonne make a feoffment in fee, and the feoffee die seised, that discent shall take away the entrie of the eldest, in respect that the privitie of the blood faileth. And admit that the youngest sonne be of the halfe blood to his brother, yet he is of the whole blood to his father; and therefore if he entreth by abatement, and dieth seised, it shall not barre his elder brother of his entrie. But if the eldest sonne entreth, and gaineth an actuall possession and seisin, then the entrie of the youngest is a disseisin. And then a dying seised shall take away the entrie of the eldest, for *possessio terræ* must be *vacua* when the youngest sonne enters by abatement, as *Littleton* saith, because he hath more colour in that case to claime, as heire to his father, who last was actuall seised. Therefore if after the decease of the father, an estranger doth first enter and abate, upon whom the youngest sonne entreth and disseise him and die seised, this discent shall binde the eldest, for he entred by disseisin, and not by abatement.

If a man bee seised of lands of the nature of

*mont per un mesme title. Et en mesme le maner il serra, si fueront plusors discents de un issue a un autre issue del puisne fits.*

cent, &c. because they claime by the same title. And in the same manner it shall be, if there were more discents from one issue to another issue of the younger sonne (1).

8. E. 2. Aff. 380.

40. E. 3. 24. b. 19. Aff. 24.

Vid. Brooke tit. Entric 27.

(Roll. Abr. 628. 629.)

(4. Rep. 53.)

## Sect. 397.

*MES en tiel case, si le pier fuit seise de certaine terres en fee, et ad issue deux fits, et devie, et l'eigne \*fits enter, et est seise, &c. et puis le puisne frere luy disseisist, per quel disseisin il est seise en fee, et ad issue, et de tiel estate morust seise, donques l'eigne frere ne poit enter, mes est mis a son briefe d'entre sur disseisin, &c. † de recoverer la terre. Et la cause est, pur ceo que le puisne frere vient a les tenements per tortious disseisin fait a son eigne frere, et per cel tort la ley ne poit entendre que il claime come heire a son pier, nient plus que un estrange person que ust disseise l'eigne frere ‡ que n'avoit ascun title, &c. Et issint poyes veier la diversitie, lou le puisne frere enter apres le mort le pier devant ascun entrie fait per l'eigne frere en tiel cas, || et ou l'eigne frere*

**B**UT in this case, if the father were seised of certaine lands in fee, and hath issue two sons, and die, and the eldest sonne enter, and is seised, &c. and after the yonger brother disseiseth him, by which disseisin he is seised in fee, and hath issue, and of this estate dieth seised, then the elder brother cannot enter, but is put to his writ of *entrie sur disseisin*, &c. to recover the land. And the cause is, for that the youngest brother commeth to the lands by wrongfull disseisin done to his elder brother, and for this wrong the law cannot intend that he claime as heire to his father, no more than if a stranger had disseised the elder brother which had no title, &c. And so you may see the diversitie, where the younger brother entreth after the death of the father before any entrie made by the elder brother in this

\* *fits*—*frere*, L. and M. and Roh.  
|| *&c.* added in L. and M. and Roh.

† *&c.* not in L. and M. nor Roh.

‡ *frere* not in L. and M. nor Roh.

(1) When a younger brother enters in this case, he does not enter to get a possession distinct from that of the elder brother, but to preserve the possession in the family, that nobody else abates. *Gilb. Ten. 28.*

*frere enter apres la mort son pier, et puis est disseise per le puisne frere, lou le puisne frere puis morust seisie*  
\*.

case, and where the elder brother enters after the death of his father, and after is disseised by the younger brother, where the younger after dieth seised.

burgh English, and hath issue two sonnes and die, and the eldest sonne before any entrie made by the youngest, entreth into the land by abatement, and dieth seised, this shall not take away the entrie of the youngest brother. *Et sic de similibus.* And these and the like cases are all

within the reason and rule of our author. And where our author speaketh only of an abatement, so it is not an intrusion; for if the father make a lease for life, and hath issue two sonnes and dieth, and the tenant for life dieth, and the youngest sonne intrude, and die seised, this descent shall not take away the entrie of the eldest. But if the father had made a lease for yeares, it had beene otherwise, for that the possession of the lessee for yeares maketh an actuall freehold in the eldest sonne. And it is to be observed, that the reason of *Littleton* in this case (for that both the brethren hold by one title) holdeth also in many other cases.

If two coparceners make partition to present by turne, and one of them usurpe in the turne of the other, this usurpation shall not put the other out of possession, because they claime by one title.

If two coparceners be, and they severally present to the ordinarie, yet the church is not litigious, because they claime all by one title (1).

If upon a writ of *diem clausit extremum*, the youngest sonne be found heire, the eldest son had no remedy by the common law, because they claimed by one title; but otherwise it is, if they claime by severall titles, as it appeareth in our bookes (2). But this is now holpen by a statute \* made since *Littleton* wrote.

If two parsons be in debate for tithes, which amount to above the fourth part, and one man is patron of both churches, no *indicavit* doth lye, for that both incumbents claime by one and the same patron. *Et sic de similibus.*

And where *Littleton* saith, seised of lands in fee, the same law it is if a man bee seised of lands in taile, and hath issue two sonnes *mutatis mutandis*.

*Et est seisie, &c.* That is to say, actually seised, either by entrie, as *Littleton* here putteth it, or by possession of the lessee for yeares, or the like.

*N'avoit ascun title, &c.* That is to say, any pretence or semblance of title, as the younger brother here hath; and in many other cases, there is a great diversitie holden in our bookes [2] where one hath a colour or pretence of right, and when he hath none at all, whereof you may read plentifully in our bookes.

(1. Roll. Abr. 629. Ant. 15. 2.)

22. E. 4. 4.  
(F. N. B. 34. Ant. 186. b.)

Doctor & Stud. cap. 30. fol. 117.

12. E. 4. 18.

\* 2. E. 6. cap. 8.  
2. H. 7. 12. a.  
See the Section next following.

(Post. 245. 2.)

[2] 2. E. 2. bastardie 19. 21.  
E. 3. 34. 22. Aff. 85. 39. E. 3. 26.  
17. E. 3. 59. 11. E. 3. Aff. 88.  
21. H. 6. 14. 11. E. 3. age 3.  
Vide Sect. 400. & cap. Gariab.

## Sect. 398.

*EN mesme le maner est, si home seisie de certaine terre en fee ad issue deux files et devie, l'eigne file entra en la terre claymant tout la terre a luy, et ent solement prist les profits, et ad issue et morust seisie, per que son issue enter, quel issue ad issue et devie seisie, et le second issue enter, & sic ultra, uncore le puisne file ou son issue, quant a le moitie poit enter sur quecunque issue de l'eigne file, nient obstant tiel*

**I**N the same manner it is, if a man seised of certaine land in fee, hath issue two daughters and dieth, the eldest daughter entreth into the land claiming all to her, and thereof onely taketh the profits, and hath issue and dieth seised, by which her issue enter, which issue hath issue and dieth seised, and the second issue enter, & sic ultra, yet the younger daughter or her issue as to the moitie may enter upon any issue whatsoever of the elder  
*discent,*

\* &c. added in L. and M. and Roh.

† &c. added L. and M. and Roh.

(1) Acc. Dig. p. 1. c. 3.—See 7th Ann. c. 18.

(2) At the common law, if the youngest son were found heir, the eldest might have an office; the doubt was, whether it should be tried which of them was heir by immediate interpleader, or at the full age of him that was first found heir: but the 2d and 3d Ed. 6. ch. 8. hath remedied it, and given an interpleader immediately, on traversing the first office, which cannot be, unless the party who traversed had an office found for himself. 7. Co. 44. a. b. Kenn's case.

*discent, pur ceo que ils claimont per un mesme title, &c. Mes en tiel case si ambideux soers avoyant enter apres la mort leur pier, et ent fueront seises, et puis l'eigne soer ust disseisie la puisne soer de ceo que a luy affiert, et ent fuit seisie en fee, et ad issue, et de tiel estate morust seisie, per que les tenements descendont al issue del eigne soer, donque le puisne soer ne ses heires ne poi-ent enter, &c. causâ quâ supra, &c.*

daughter notwithstanding such discent, for that they claime by one same title, &c. But in such case where both sisters have entred after the death of their father, and were thereof seised, and after the eldest sister had disseised the younger of her part, and was thereof seised in fee, and hath issue, and of such estate dieth seiled, whereby the lands descend to the issue of the elder sister, then the younger sister nor her heires cannot enter, &c. *causâ quâ supra, &c.*

(Hob. 120. Post. 373. b. Ant. 198.)  
21. Aff. 19. 21. E. 3. 7. 27. 32.  
26. Aff. 2. 27. Aff. 68. 36. Aff.  
p. 1. 43. E. 3. 19. 4. H. 7. 10.  
16. H. 7. 4.  
(Mo. 60.)  
See more of this in the chapter  
of Warrantie. Sect. 710. 28. Aff.  
30. Vide Sect. 710.  
(4. Leo. 52. Ant. 174. a.)

**Claimont tout la terre.** Here it appeareth, that when the one coparcener doth specially enter, claiming the whole land, and taking the whole profits, that she gaires the one moitie, viz. of her sister by abatement, and yet her dying seised shall not take away the entrie of her sister; whereas when one coparcener enters generally, and taketh the profits, this shall be accounted in law the entrie of them both, and no divesting of the moitie of her sister (1).

If one coparcener enter claiming the whole, and make a feoffment in fee, and take backe an estate to her and her heires, and hath issue and die seised, this discent shall take away the entrie of the other sister, because by the feoffment the privitie of the coparcenarie was destroyed.

**Claimont per un mesme title, &c.** Of this sufficient hath bene said in the next precedent Section.

**Ne poi-ent enter, &c.** Of this there hath bene also spoken in the same Section.

## Sect. 399.

Pl. Com 57. 39. E. 3.  
Le darcine caile.

Lib. 8. fol. 101. 102. Sir Rich.  
Lechford's case.  
(2. Roll. Abr. 584. 586. Doctor  
& Stud. 68. 69.)

Glanvil. lib. 7. cap. 2. Bracl.  
lib. 5. cap. 19. Brit. cap. 70.

Vide Sect. 188.

**Seisie en fee.** For this holds not in case of an estate taile.

**Mulier, seu filius mulieratus.** *Mulier* hath three significations. First, *Sub nomine mulieris continetur quælibet femina.* Secondly, *Propriè sub nomine mulieris, continetur virgo.* Thirdly, *Appellatione mulieris, in legibus Angliæ, continetur uxor. Et sic filius natus vel filia nata ex justâ uxore, appellatur in legibus Angliæ filius mulieratus, seu filia mulierato,* a sonne mulier, or a daughter mulier. Sicut *bastardus* (2) dicitur à *Græco verbo Bassaris, i.e. meretrix, seu concubina, quia procreatur ex meretrice seu*

**ITEM, si homo est seisie de certaine terre en fee, et ad issue deux fits, et l'eigne fits est bastard, et le puisne frere est mulier, et le pier devie, et le bastard enter enclaimant come heire a son pier, et occupia la terre tout sa vie, sans ascun entre fait sur luy per le mulier, et le bastard ad issue, et morust seisie de tiel estate en fee,**

**ALSO,** if a man be seised of certain lands in fee, and hath issue two sonnes, and the elder is a bastard, and the younger mulier, and the father die, and the bastard entreteth claiming as heire to his father, and occupieth the land all his life, without any entrie made upon him by the mulier, and the bastard hath issue, and dieth seised

et

(1) Hob. 120. *Smale v. Dales.* The contrary is held, that one coparcener cannot be disseised without actual ouster, and claim shall not alter the possession. Lord Nott. MS. *See last page 568.*

(2) Sir Henry Spelman verbo *Bastard* rejects this derivation, and holds it to be a pure Saxon word *Bastart*, viz. *impurè natus, ut apud nos, Upstait dicitur homo novus.* Lord Nott. MS. In Germany, and with us, (who derive many of our customs and political opinions from the Germans) bastardy was always a circumstance of ignominy. But in Spain, Italy, and France, bastards were in many respects on an equal footing with legitimate children. During the first and second races of the kings of France no difference appears to have been made between their legitimate and illegitimate offspring. The same seems to have been the case of the offspring of all the sovereign princes and higher ranks of nobility in France. Their acknowledging a natural child to be their child, was considered as tantamount to any formal act of legitimation. But the natural children of all other persons were considered as villeins. After the accession of the Capetian line, the condition of bastards was altered for the worse in many respects. Those of royal parentage were excluded from the throne, and were no longer held to be of blood royal. They were only permitted to bear the arms of France, with a bar. A similar change took place with regard to the bastards of the princes and nobility. By an ordinance of the year 1600, it was declared, that the children of nobility should not be considered even as gentlemen, unless they obtained letters of nobility. On the other hand, the bastards whose parents were of a lower order, instead of being considered villeins, as before, began about the commencement of the 16th century to be considered as free men, and except as to the right of receiving and transmitting succession, they are now, in France, on an equal footing with their fellow-subjects.—See *Oeuvres du Chancelier D'Aguesseau*, t. 7. p. 881. *Dissertation dans laquelle on discute les principes du droit Romain et du droit François par rapport aux Bastards.*

et la terre descen- dist a son issue, et son issue enter, &c. en cest case le mulier est sans remedie, car il ne poit enter, ne aver ascun action pur recoverer la terre, pur ceo que est un antient ley en tiel case use, &c.\*

of such estate in fee, and the land descend to his issue, and his issue entreth, &c. in this case the mulier is without remedie, for he may not enter, nor have any action to recover the land, because there is an antient law in this case used, &c.

concupina. In English, hee is called base borne, and thereupon some say, that a bastard is as much to say, as one that is a base naturall, for aerd signifieth nature. I read in Fleta. [p] that there be three kindes of bastards, viz. manser, nothus. & spurius, which are described in two old verses :

Manseribus sortum, notho mæchus dedit ortum. Ut seges è spicâ, sic spurius est ab amicâ. (1)

[p] Flet. lib. 1. cap. 5. Vide Sect. 380. (1. Roll. Abr. 356, 357. 358. 359. Cro. Jac. 541. Godb. 281. Paim. 9. 4. Intt. 36.)

But we terme them all by the name of bastards, that be borne out of lawfull marriage. By the common law, [r] if the husband be within the foure seas, that is, within the jurisdiction of the king of England, if the wife hath issue, no proove is to be admitted to prove the childe a bastard, (for in that case filiatio non potest probari) unless the husband hath an apparent impossibilitie of procreation; as if the husband be but eight yeares old, or under the age of procreation, such issue is bastard, albeit he be borne within marriage. (2) [f] But if the issue be borne within a moneth or a day after marriage, betweene parties of full lawfull age, the childe is legitimate. (3)

Discendist a son issue. For if the bastard dieth seised without issue, and the lord by escheat entreth, this dying seised shall not barre the mulier, because there is no descent. If the bastard enter, and the mulier dieth, his wife privement enseint with a sonne, the bastard hath issue and dieth seised, the sonne is borne, his right is bound for ever. But if the bastard dieth seised, his wife enseint with a sonne, the mulier enter, the sonne is borne, the issue of the bastard is barred: for Littleton putteth his case, that there must not only be a dying seised, but also a descent to his issue.

Et son issue enter, &c. And so it is to be understood, albeit the mulier, after the decease of the bastard, doth enter before the heire of the bastard; for the descent bindeth, and not the entrie of the heire.

Le mulier est sans remedie. Hereby it appeareth that this descent differeth from other descents, for this descent barreth the right of the mulier, whereas other descents doe take away the entrie only of him that right hath, and leaveth him to his action, but here by the dying seised of the bastard, his issue is become lawfull heire [a] It is holden, that if the mulier bee within age at the time of the dying seised, that neverthelesse hee shall bee barred, because the issue of the bastard is in judgement of law become lawfull heire, and the law doth preferre legitimation, before the privilege of infancie.

And the reason of this case is, for that Justum non est aliquem post mortem facere bastardum, qui toto tempore vite sue pro legitimo habebatur. And so it seemeth to be, that if a man hath issue a sonne being bastard eigne, and a daughter, and the daughter is married, the father dieth, the sonne entreth and dieth seised, this shall barre the feme covert. And the descent in this case of services, rents, reversions, expectant upon estates taile, or for life, whereupon rents are reserved, &c. shall binde the right of the mulier, but a descent of these shall not drive them, that right have, to an action.

So if the bastard dieth seised, and his issue endoweth the wife of the bastard, yet is not the entrie of the mulier lawfull upon the tenant in dower, for his right was barred by the descent.

If the bastard eigne entreth into the land, and hath issue, and entreth into religion, this descent shall barre the right of the mulier.

Ad issue deux fits. If a man hath issue such a bastard as is aforesaid, and dieth, and the bastard entreth and dieth seised, and the land descendeth to his issue, the collateral heire of the father is bound as well as where there be two sonnes.

And where our author speaketh of sonnes, so it is if a man hath issue two daughters, the eldest being a bastard, and they enter and occupie peaceably as heires; now the law in favour of legitimation shall not adjudge the whole possession in the mulier, (who then had the only right) but in both, so as if the bastard hath issue and dieth, her issue shall inherit.

[7] Braet. lib. 4. fol. 278. 279. 7.H. 4. 9. 43. E. 3. 19. 41. E. 3. 7. 41. E. 3. 10. 29. Aff. 54. 68. Aff. 14. 1. H. 6. 7. 19. H. 6. 17. 39. E. 3. 13. [f] 18. E. 4. 28. (1. Salk. 120.)

Handwritten notes: "Hence, the 4. 5. 2. 3. 19. 4. & 20. in next author... it is not quite with him, as if... (Post. 260. 273. 1. Roll. Abr. 624. 8. Rep. 101. b. Ant. 15. 2. 7. Rep. 42.)"

Lib. 8. 101. 102. Sir Rich. Lechford's case.

[1] 5. E. 2. Descent. Br. 49. 31. Aff. 18. 22. 33. E. 3. Verdict. 48. 36. Aff. 2. Pl. Com. Stowel's case. 10. E. 3. 2. 13. E. 1. ff. Bastardie 28. (Post. 246. a. 5. Rep. 98.)

Hil. 18. E. 3. cor. Reg. Rot. 144. Elcor. 17. E. 3. 59. Fitt. Ballard. 32. Sir Rich. Lechford's case, ubi supra. See afterwards in the Charter of Warranties. (Post. 368. a.)

\* &c. not in L. and M. nor Roh.

(1) Filius naturalis à vulgo barbarorum opponitur legitimo. Sed revera opponitur filio adoptivo, in quo sensu Tiberius vocat Druum filium suum naturalem. Cal. Lex. verb. nat. filius. Spuri Latini et Graeci sine patre. Ib.—Lord Nott. MS. Jure pontificio nostro dicuntur qui ex adulterino concubitu, manseres qui ex scorto, spurii exitus qui sacris initiati sunt, aut religionem professi sunt.—Ib.

(2) It is now held that the husband's being within the four seas, is not conclusive evidence of the legitimacy of the child, and it is left to a jury to consider whether the husband had access to his wife. See 3d P. W. 275, 276. Pendrell v. Pendrell, 2. Stra. 925. So evidence may be given, that the husband's habit of body was such, as to make his having children an impossibility. Lomax v. Houlden, 2. Stra. 940. See also 1. Roll. Abr. 358. 1. Salk. 123. But the rule laid down by lord Coke, was once generally received. In Jenk. c. 10. pl. 18. it is said, "that if the husband be in Ireland for a year, and the wife in England during that time has issue, it is a bastard; but it seems otherwise now for Scotland, both being under one king, and make but one continent of land."—See ant. note 2. to p. 126.

(3) See note 1. to page 261. a.

Handwritten notes: "I have the... generally... my... note... above... printed... notes... of... 126... matter... the... in... 4. 5. &... material to be... if..."



[b] 2. E. 3. tit. bastardie 15.  
21. E. 3. 34. b. 30. Aff. p. 7.  
Sir Rich. Lechford's case, ubi  
sup.  
[c] Britt. cap. 73. 20. E. 3.  
Vouch. 129. 11. E. 3. Age 3.  
5. H. 7. a. Sir Rich. Lechford's  
case, ubi sup.  
(Ant. 170. b.)

[b] And in the same case, if both daughters enter and make partition, this partition shall binde the mulier for ever.

[c] And an affise of mortd'ancestor lieth not betweene the bastard and the mulier in respect of the proximitie of bloud.

And the bastard being impleaded or vouched shall have his age.

Et le bastard enter come heire a son pier. If a man hath issue bastard eigne and mulier puisne, and the bastard in the life of the father hath issue and dieth, and then the father dieth seised, and the sonne of the bastard entreth, as heire to his grandfather, and dieth seised, this discent shall binde the mulier.

See Salk. 120. cited  
below in the note 1.  
1. Broom's 12. 5. 10.  
53. b. 4. Broom 132.  
7. 10. 1. Broom. Aln.  
21. Bastard (Pl) 6 (O).  
Lechford's case 21. E. 3. 101.  
Sir Anthony Brown's Right  
of Succession to the Crown 30.

Pur ceo que est antient ley en tiel case use, &c. As hereafter in our Commentarie upon the two next Sections shall appeare, by our antient bookes, and the antient statutes of the realme. And here is implied how necessarie it is, after the example of our author, to looke into the antiquities, than which nothing is more venerable, profitable, and pleasant. (1)

Sect. 400.

**M**E S il ad estre l'opinion d'ascuns, que ceo serra intendue lou le pier ad un fits bastard per un feme, et puis espousa mesme la feme, et apres le espousels il ad issue per mesme la feme un fits, ou un file mulier, et puis le pier morust, &c. si tiel bastard enter, &c. et ad issue et devie seise, &c. donque avera l'issue de tiel bastard le terre cleerement a luy, come avant est dit, &c. et ne my ascun auter bastard la mere que ne fuit unque espouse a son pier. Et ceo semble bone et reasonable opinion: car tiel bastard nee devant espousels celebres penter son pier et sa mere, per la ley de saint esglise est mulier, comment que per la ley del terre il est bastard, et issint il ad un colour d'entrer come heire a son pier, pur ceo que il est per un ley mulier, &c. scilicet, per la ley de saint esglise. Mes auterment est de bastard que n'ad ascun \* maner colour d'entre come heire, entant que il ne soit per nul ley estre dit mulier, car tiel bastard est dit en la ley, quasi nullius filius, &c.

**B**UT it hath beene the opinion of some, that this shall be intended where the father hath a sonne bastard by a woman, and after marrieth the same woman, and after the espousels he hath issue by the same woman a son or a daughter, and after the father dieth, &c. if such bastard entreth, &c. and hath issue and die seised, &c. then shall the issue of such bastard have the land cleerely to him, as it is said before, &c. and not any other bastard of the mother which was never married to his father. And this seemeth to be a good and reasonable opinion: for such a bastard borne before marriage celebrated betweene his father and his mother, by the law of holy church is mulier, albeit by the law of the land he is a bastard, and so he hath a colour to enter as heire to his father, for that he is by one law mulier, scilicet, by law of holy church. But otherwise it is of a bastard which hath no manner of colour to enter as heire, in so much as hee can by no law bee said to be mulier, for such a bastard is said in the law to be quasi nullius filius, &c. (2)

Mes

\* maner not in L. and M. but in Rob.

(1) In the case of Pride v. the earls of Bath and Montague, it was held, that the rule that a person shall not be bastardized after his death, is only good in the case of bastard eigne and mulier puisne. 1. Salk. 120.

(2) Nota. 2. Infl. 96. 97. On the statute of Merton, Pope Alexander III. (ann 1160. 6. H. 2.) ordained, that children born before matrimony, whose matrimony follows, should be as legitimate as those born after marriage, qui ecclesia tales habet pro legitimis.—Constitutio pontifica, est intelligenda solummodo de filiis natis ex coitu, qui poterunt esse conjugales; qui verò ex damnato coitu nascuntur, scilicet ex coitu incestuoso vel adulterino, ejusmodi coitus non poterat esse uxorius, tamen nunquam legitimari possunt per subsequens matrimonium. Ratio est quia matrimonium subsequens ex fictione legis retrahitur ad tempus susceptionis liberorum, ut legitimari habeantur legitime suscepti (i. e.) post contractum matrimonium. Felio autem juris nunquam admittitur contra naturam et bonos mores. Quapropter lex non potest fingere matrimonium fuisse cum eis, cum quibus nuptie non potuerunt esse per leges; quia in fictionibus translationis requiritur habitus extremorum à quo et ad quem. Ideoque leges civiles et decretales olim matrimonium inter adulteros prohibebant, contractumque ducebant. Jam verò ista prohibitio locum non habet, nisi in mortem prioris conjugis alteruter fuerit machinatus, vel prematuro, dum adhuc viveret, de contrahendo post mortem ejus connubio pacta fuerit fides. Secundò, notandum est quòd subsequens matrimonium legitimos facit quoad spiritualia, non quoad temporalia, quia Papa non potest legitimare, quoad temporalia, extra sui ipsius dominica, scilicet extra terras que sunt de patrimonio sancti Petri, quod Papa Innocentius III. contestabatur (ergo Anglia non est ex patrimonio sancti Petri quicquid fecerit Rex Johannes). Et Sanchez quem Clemens III. valde laudavit, aperte dicit si proles habita sit ex concubitu omnino fornicario, cum non posse pontificem, quoad temporalia et secularia, legitimare. All this was said and proved out of antient authors by a learned advocate, whose discourse is printed at large in the modern arrais collected by Mons. de Maisson, Arrais 20. And there the principal case was, the uncle, in the life of his wife, had a child by his niece and god-daughter, on promise of marriage when time should serve: the wife dies, and then the uncle had other children, and ten years after, by dispensation from the pope, containing a clause of legitimation of the children born before, marries her. Ref. The pope's dispensation was void as to any legitimation, which, whether it were because the marriage were within the Levitical degrees, or because of spiritual kinshed, or because against the council of Trent, a general council being held by the Sorbonne to be above the pope, appears not; but may be for all these reasons, or for none of them, but only because the pope cannot legitimize in temporalia. 2dly, That the children of this marriage should have pensions to live on, which may seem to approve the dispensation as to the marriage. 3dly, That no such be granted for the future. Ibid. 360. Romani filios naturales tantum non alio jure habuerunt quàm peregrinos. Theodosii & Arcadii principatu temperata sunt legum severitas, ac deinde Zenonis lege obtinuit, ut naturales liberi consequentibus cum matre nuptis justis ac legitimi haberentur. Bodinus de Repub. lib. 1. cap. 4. p. 29. Sed nota, quòd ante Zenonis tempora, viz. per legem Divi Constantini, nati ante matrimonium, fiebant legitimi per matrimonium subsequens; quod tamen explicatur in eodem codice, viz. per matrimonium legitimantur liberi naturales modò procreanti sint muliere liberis, & cujus matrimonium non est legibus interdictum. Vide Mons. de Maisson, Arrais 20. page 359—Lord Nott. MS.

See my opinion  
in Salk. 120. cited  
above. 10. 1. 1006.

*MES ad este l'opinion d'ascuns, &c.* And our author here saith, that this opinion is good and reasonable, for that such a bastard, by the law of holy church (\*) is a mulier.

*Matrimonium subsequens legitimos facit quoad sacerdotium non quoad successionem. propter consuetudinem regni quod se habet in contrarium.* Yet the canon law holdeth them legitimate quoad successionem. At a parliament holden [q] anno 20. H. 3. for that to certify upon the king's writ, that the sonne borne before marriage as a bastard, was *contra communem formam ecclesie, rogaverunt omnes episcopi magnates ut consentirent, quod nati ante matrimonium essent legitimi, sicut illi qui nati sunt post matrimonium quantum ad successionem hereditariam, quia ecclesia tales habet pro legitimis: et omnes comites & barones una voce responderunt, quod nolunt leges Anglie mutare, que hucusque usitate sunt & approbate.*

*Issint que il ad un colour d'entre, &c.* Here it is to be observed, that the law more respecteth him that hath a colourable title, though it be not perfect in law, than him that hath no title at all, as hath beene said (r) before. (1)

\* Vid. Britton fol. 128. b. 166. 203. And the statute of Merton 20. H. 3. cap. 19. confirmeth this opinion. Hil. 18. F. 3. coram rege in Theaur. Eborum. Bracton lib. 2. fol. 63.

[q] Statut. de Merton. 20. H. 3. cap. 9. Vid. Bract. l. 5. f. 416. 417. 10. Aff. Pl. 20.

[r] Vide Sect. 397. & cap. garr. Sect.

## Sect. 401.

*MES en le case avant dit, lou le bastard enter apres la mort le pier, et le mulier luy ousta, et puis le bastard disseisist le mulier, et ad issue, et devie seisie, et l'issue enter, donne que le mulier poit aver briefe d'entre sur disseisin envers l'issue del bastard, et recoversa la terre, &c. Et issint poies veir le diversite lou tiel bastard continue la possession tout sa vie sans interruption, et lou le mulier enter et interrupt le possession de tiel bastard, &c.*

**BUT** in the case aforesaid, where the bastard enter after the death of the father, and the mulier oust him, and after the bastard disseise the mulier, and hath issue and dieth seised, and the issue enter, then the mulier may have a writ of *entrie sur disseisin* against the issue of the bastard, and shall recover the land, &c. And so you may see a diversity where such bastard continues the possession all his life without interruption, and where the mulier entreth and interrupts the possession of such bastard, &c.

**ET** le mulier luy ousta. An estranger in the name of the mulier without his commandement cannot enter upon the bastard, for that the bastard may gaine the estate and barre the mulier. And therefore regularly none shall enter but the mulier, or some other by his commandement. And therefore *Littleton* saith (and the mulier put him out) no more than in the case [a] of the lord *Avdley*: for there an estranger of his owne head could not enter in the name of him that right had to enter within the five yeares to avoid the fine. But in both those cases, first, if the mulier agree thereunto before the descent of the bastard: or secondly, if he that right hath before the five yeares be past do assent thereunto, the claime is good, and shall avoid the estate both of the bastard and of the conusee. as it was holden in the lord *Avdley's* case, *quia omnis ratihabitio retrotrahitur, & mandato equiparatur*, and it standeth well

[a] Mich. 38. & 39. Eliz. in the king's bench upon evidence by the whole court. Vide 31. H. 8. entr. conge. Br. 123.

4. H. 7. cap.

with the words of the statute, so that they pursue their title, &c. by way of action or entry; and so is the booke in [b] 31. H. 8. to be intended.

But in the case of the *bastard cigne*, which is *Littleton's* case, gardein in focage, or gardein in chivalrie, may enter, for they are no strangers, as in another place is plainly shewed. If an infant make a feoffment in fee, an estranger of his owne head cannot enter [c] to the use of the infant, for the estate is voidable. But where an infant or a man of full age is disseised, an entrie by a stranger of his owne head is good, and vesteth presently the estate in the infant, or other disseisee. So it is if tenant for life make a feoffment in fee, an estranger may enter for a forfeiture in the name of him in the reversion, and thereby the estate shall be vested in him, *et sic de similibus.*

Vide Sect. 334.

[b] 31. H. 8. entr. conge. Br. 123.

[c] Pasch. 39. Eliz. in communi banco per curiam. 10. H. 7. 16. 7. E. 3. 69. 26. E. 3. 62. per Thorp. 45. E. 3. 12 case 28. 11. Aff. 11.

## Lou

(1) Both by the civil and canon law, children born before marriage are made legitimate by the subsequent marriage of their parents. This was established in the civil law by the emperor Constantine, and confirmed by the emperor Justinian. It was established in the canon law by a constitution of pope Alexander the Third, in 1160. This legitimation is a privilege or incident inseparably annexed to the marriage; so that tho' both the parents and the children should waive or refuse it, the children nevertheless would be legitimate. But it holds in these cases only where, at the time of the birth of the children, it was lawful for both parents to intermarry; for if the father were married to another woman at the time of the birth of the children, and afterwards his wife died and he married the mother of the child, the child would not be legitimated by this subsequent marriage. Children thus legitimated are on an equal footing with the legitimate children; and if they die before the marriage of their parents, still they are considered as legitimate, and transmit their legitimacy to their issue; but whether they are considered legitimate from the time of the marriage of their parents, or whether their legitimacy by their parents' marriage has a relation back only to the time of their birth, is a point warmly disputed by the civilians and canonists. The prevailing opinion seems to be, that they are to be considered as legitimate from the time of their birth to all purposes but those in which to consider them as such would operate to the detriment of a third person. Thus, if there be a natural-born child, and the father afterwards marries and has sons; his wife dies, and he marries the woman by whom he had the natural child; it seems to be the better opinion, that the child legitimated by the subsequent marriage does not acquire the right of progeniture over the sons of the first marriage. The doctrine of legitimacy by a subsequent marriage was never admitted into the English law; and the refusal of the noblemen of our nation to admit it, on the occasion mentioned in sir Edward Coke's Commentaries, is spoken of by sir William Blackstone and other writers as a memorable instance of their jealousy of the civil law, and their firmness in opposing foreign innovations. The doctrine of legitimation prevails, with different modifications, in France, Germany, and Holland. By an arret d'audience of the 21st of June, 1668, it was adjudged, that if a person marries in England a woman by whom he had children previous to the marriage, the children born in France are legitimated by it, and acquire all the rights of legitimacy under the French law. See c. 10. C. de Natur. lib. Nov. 39. c. 8. Vinn. in Inst. l. 1. t. 10. f. 13. Hein. Elem. Jur. de Legitimatione. Traité des Successions par le Brun, ed. 1776. lib. 1. c. 2. f. 1. D. 1. l. 2. c. 2. f. 1. n. 13. and sir John Fortescue, c. 39. Till the statute of Merton, the question whether born before or after marriage, was examined before the ecclesiastical judge, and his judgment was certified to the king or his justices, and the king's court either abided by it or rejected it at pleasure. But after the solemn protest made by the barons at Merton against the introduction of the doctrine of the civil and canon law in this respect, *special bastardy* has been always triable at common law; and *general bastardy* alone has been left to the judgment of the ecclesiastical judge, who in this case agrees with the temporal. 2. Ingg. Reeve's Hist. of the English Law, 85. 201. and see ant. note 2. to page 126. a.

*Lou tiel bastard continue tiel possession sans interruption.* If the *mulier* entreth upon the bastard, and the bastard recovereth the land in an assise against the *mulier*, now is the interruption avoided; and if the bastard dieth seised, this shall barre the *mulier*.

2. Aff. 9.

If the bastard eigne after the decease of the father entreth, and the king seifeth the land for some contempt supposed to be committed by the bastard, for which no freehold or inheritance is lost, but only the profits of the land by way of seifure, and the bastard die, and his issue is upon his petition restored to the possession, for that the seifure was without cause, the *mulier* is barred for ever; for the possession of the king when he hath no cause of seifure shall be adjudged the possession of him for whose cause he seifed. But if after the death of the father the *mulier* be found heire and within age, and the king seifeth, in that case the possession of the king is in right of the *mulier*, and vesteth the actual possession in the *mulier*, and consequently the bastard eigne is fore-closed of any right for ever.

And so it is when the king seifeth for a contempt, or other offence of the father, or of any other ancestor; in that case, if the issue of the bastard eigne upon a petition be restored, for that the seifure was without cause, the *mulier* is not barred, for the bastard could never enter, and consequently could gain no estate in the land, but the possession of the king in that case shall be adjudged in the right of the *mulier*. And it is to be observed, that the bastard must enter *in vacuam possessionem*, and continue during his life, without interruption made by the *mulier*.

Pl. Com. Parson de Honylane's case, 91.

35. H. 6. 24. 21. H. 6. 9.

1. E. 4. 3. 21. E. 4. 5.

5. E. 4. 60.

*Interrupt le possession del bastard, &c.* If the bastard invite the *mulier* to see his house, and to see pictures, &c. or to dine with him, or to hawk, hunt, or sport with him, or such like upon the land descended, and the *mulier* commeth upon the land accordingly, this is no interruption, because he came in by the consent of the bastard, and therefore the coming upon the land can be no trespass; but if the *mulier* commeth upon the ground of his own head, and cutteth downe a tree, or diggeth the soile, or take any profit, these shall be interruptions; for rather than the bastard shall punish him in an action of trespass, the act shall amount in law to an entry, because he hath a right of entry. So it is if the *mulier* put any of his beasts into the ground, or command a stranger to put on his beasts, these doe amount to an entry; for albeit in these cases the *mulier* doth not use any express words of entry, yet these, and such like acts, doe without any words amount in law to an entry; for acts without words may make an entry, but words without an act (*viz.* entry into the land, &c.) cannot make an entry, (all which interruptions are implied in the said *&c.*) More shall be said hereafter of interruptions, in the chapter of Continuall Claime.

Sect. 402.

Rooke tit. discent. 40.

*SI un enfant deins age ad cause d'entrer.*

If a man seised of lands in fee die, his wife *privement enseint* with a son, and a stranger abate and die seised, and after the sonne is borne, hee shall bee bound by the discent, because hee at the time of the discent had no right to enter, and this is to be gathered upon these words of *J. titleton, ad cause d'entrer*, which at the time of the discent he hath not.

20. H. 6. 28. b. 2. E. 4. 25. 26. 15. E. 4. discent. 30.

*Est eins per discent, &c.* Here is implied any other heire, collateral or lineall.

An infant is accounted in law (as hath beene often said,) [d] untill he passeth the

[d] Vide Sect. 259. 402.

*ITEM, si un enfant deins age ad tiel cause de entry*

*en ascuns terres ou tenements sur un autre, que est seisie en fee, ou en fee taile de mesme les terres ou tenements, si tiel home que est tielment seisie, morust de tiel estate seisie, et les terres descendont a son issue durant le temps que l'enfant est deins age, tiel discent ne tollera*

*ALSO, if an infant within age hath*

*such cause to enter into any lands or tenements upon another, which is seised in fee, or in fee taile of the same lands or tenements, if such man who is so seised, dieth of such estate seised, and the lands descend to his issue during the time that the infant is within age, such discent shall not take away the entry of the*

*l'entry*

*l'entry l'enfant, mes que il poit enter sur le issue que est eins per discent, &c. pur ceo que nul laches serra adjudge en un enfant deins age en tiel case.*

infant, but that hee may enter upon the issue which is in by discent, for that no laches shall be adjudged in an infant within age in such a case.

an infant. As laches shall be adjudged in an infant, if he present not to a church within six moneths, for the law respecteth more the privilege of the church (that the cure bee served) than the privilege of infancy. And so the publike repose of the realme, concerning mens freeholds and inheritances, shall be preferred before the privilege of infancy, in case of a fine, where the time begins in the time of the ancestor. So non-claim of a villaine of an infant by a yeare and a day, who hath sied into ancient demesne, shall take away the seifure of the infant. And if an infant bring not an appeale of the death of his ancestor within a yeare and a day, he is barred of his appeale for ever, for the law respects more liberty and life than the privilege of infancy. And here it is to be observed, that *Littleton* putteth his case, that an infant shall enter upon a discent, when a stranger dieth seifed, but hee put it not so before, in the case of the bastard cigne. *B.* tenant in taile infeoffeth *A.* in fee, *A.* hath issue within age and dieth, *B.* abateth and dieth seifed; the issue of *A.* being still within age, this discent shall binde [e] the infant, for the issue in taile is remitted: and the law doth more respect an ancient right in this case, than the privilege of an infant that had but a defeasible estate. And it is said [f] if the king die seifed of lands, and the land descend to his successor, that this shall bind an infant, for that the privilege of an infant in this case holds not against the king (1).

age of 21 yeares, and certaine privileges hee hath in respect of his infancy.

*Nul laches serra adjudge en le enfant deins age en tiel case.*

And *Littleton* well added (in tiel case) that is, in case of discent, for in some other cases, laches shall prejudice

33. E. 3. quar. imp. 46.  
(Ant. 171 a. Post. 337. b. 350. b. 380.)

Pl. Com. 372.  
(F. N. B. 33 b. 6. Rep. 48. b. 3. Rep. 84.)

(Post. 348. a. 357. a.)  
[e] 11. E. 4. 1. 2.  
F. N. B. 35. m.

[f] 35. H. 6. 60.

## Sect. 403.

*ITEM, si le baron et sa feme, come en droit la feme, ont tite et droit d'enter en tenements que un auter ad en fee, ou en fee taile, et tiel tenant morust seifse, &c. en tiel case l'entrie le baron est tolle sur l'heire que est eins per discent. Mes si le baron devie, donque la feme bien poit enter sur le issue que est eins per discent, pur ceo que laches le baron ne turnera la feme ne ses heires en prejudice ne en damage*

ALSO, if husband and wife, as in right of the wife, have title and right to enter into lands which another hath in fee, or in fee taile, and such tenant dieth seifed, &c. in such case the entry of the husband is taken away upon the heire which is in by discent. But if the husband die, then the wife may well enter upon the issue which is in by discent, for that no laches of the husband shall turn the wife or her heires to any prejudice nor losse

*SI baron et feme, come en droit sa feme, ont tite et droit d'enter, &c. et tiel tenant morust seifse, &c.*

These words are general, but are particularly to be understood, viz. when the wrong was done to the wife during the coverture; for if a feme sole be seifed of lands in fee, and is disseifed, and then taketh husband; in this case the husband and wife, as in the right of the wife, have right to enter, and yet the dying seifed of the disseisor in that case shall take away the entry of the wife after the death of her husband; and the reason is aswell for that shee herselfe when shee was sole, might have entred and recontinued the possession, as also it shall be accounted her folly that shee would take such a husband which would not enter before the discent.

9 H. 7. 24. a. 2. E. 4. 25.  
7 E. 3. 47. b. 20. H. 6. 28. b.  
42. E. 3. 12.

15. E. 4. discent. 30.

But

(1) This and many other passages in this work, respecting the operation and force of the acts of infants, were fully considered in the cases of *Zouch v. Parsons*, 3. Burr. 1794; and *May v. Hook*, heard before lord chancellor Bathurst, in 1773. — There being no printed account of the last case, it may not, perhaps, be unacceptable to the reader to find an account of it here. — Ann May and her two sisters were, under their father's will, seifed of a considerable freehold estate; and possessed of a considerable leasehold estate, as joint-tenants. Previous to the marriage of Ann May with John Hook the defendant, she being then an infant, by articles of agreement dated the 28th of October 1761, and made between her of the first part, John Hook of the second part, and trustees of the third part, it was covenanted and agreed, that the leasehold estates should be assigned to John Hook for his own use and benefit; and that the freehold estates should be settled on him for life; and then on her for her life; remainder to their first and other sons successively in tail male; — remainder to their daughters, as tenants in common in tail; remainder to John Hook in fee. And he covenanted to pay 100 l. to the trustees upon trust to pay Ann Hook, if she survived him, the interest of it for her life, and after her decease to divide it among the children. — Afterwards Ann May died under age. The question was, Whether these articles were in equity a severance of the joint-tenancy? Lord chancellor Bathurst, when he made his decree in this cause, observed, that the first point attempted to be established by the counsel was, that had Ann May been of full age when she entered into the articles, they would have amounted to a severance; but that no determination to that effect had ever been made: — That the co-joint-tenants were not, in this case, to be considered as volunteers, as they claimed by title paramount; and that their situation approached nearer to that of issue in tail, who claimed *per formam doni*, than to that of an heir at law, who claims only under his ancestor: — That the utmost which the infant could do would be an avoidable act; and that, of course, it would be in the discretion of the court either to give or refuse their assistance to it; and, by a parity of reason, it must always be in their power to model his contracts at their pleasure: — That the contract, in the present case, was not such as the court would uphold. Had the infant lived to come of age, and a bill been filed against her for a performance of the articles, the court would have set them aside, and referred it to a master to draw new proposals for a proper settlement: — That as the contract was not such as would have bound the infant herself, *a fortiori* it should not bind the co-joint-tenants: — That it would be a strange doctrine, that any act of an infant, which is by its nature avoidable, should sever the joint-tenancy, as if that were allowed, it would always be in the power of the infant to say, whether the joint-tenancy should be severed or not; then, if any of the co-joint-tenants should die under age, the infant might avoid his own act, by pleading *infra etatem*, and resort to his title of survivorship, which would be a great injustice and hardship on the co-joint-tenants. — On these grounds his lordship was of opinion, that the articles did not amount in equity to a severance of the joint-tenancy.

9. H. 7. 24.

But there if the woman were within age at the time of her taking of husband, then the dying seised shall not after the decease of her husband take away her entry; because no folly can be accounted in her, for that shee was within age when shee tooke husband, and after coverture she cannot enter without her husband; all which is implied in the said (Etc.)

Vid. Sect. 492.  
(Hob. 96. Ant. 233. b.  
1. Lev. 266. 8. Rep. 100.  
1. Roll. 421. Pl. 236.)

20. H. 6. 28. b.  
[u] 31. All. p. 17. 42. F. 3. 1.  
Pl. Com. 55. 10. H. 7. 13. H. 7.  
35. H. 6. 41. Pl. Com. 136. b.  
Ficta lib. 2. cap. 50.

[\*] Le statute de Merton ca. 5.

*Laches le baron ne turnera la fem, &c. al prejudice, &c.* Laches signifieth in the common law, retchlesnesse, or negligence, *et negligentia semper habet infortunium commitem.* Here is a diversity to be observed, that albeit regularly no laches shall be accounted in infants, or feme coverts, as is aforesaid, for not entry or clayme to avoid discents, yet laches shall be accounted in them for no performance of a condition annexed to the state of the land. For if a feme be infeoffed either before or after marriage, reserving a rent, and for default of payment a re-entrie; in that case, the laches of the baron shall disherit the wife for ever. And so it is [u] of an infant; his laches, for not performing of a condition annexed to a state, either made to his ancestor or to himselfe, shall barre him of the right of the land for ever.

If a man make a feoffment in fee to another reserving a rent, and if he pay not the rent within a moneth, that he shall double the rent, and the feoffee dieth, his heire within age, the infant payeth not the rent, he shall not by this laches forfeit any thing. But otherwise it is of a feme covert; and the reason and cause of this diversity is, for that the infant is provided for by the statute, [o] *non current usurae contra aliquem infra aetatem existen' &c.* But that statute doth not extend to a feme covert, neither doth that statute extend to a condition of a re-entrie; which an infant ought to performe, for the forfeiture thereof cannot be called *usura*.

\* Sect 404.

*MES la court tient, lou tiel title est done al feme sole, que puis prent baron que n'entra pas, eins suffer un discent, &c. la auter est, car serra dit la folly le feme de prendre tiel baron que n'entre en temps, &c.* BUT the court holdeth, where such title is given to a fem sole, who after taketh husband which doth not enter, but suffer a discent, &c. there otherwise it is, for it shall be said the folly of the wife to take such a husband which entered not in time, &c.

9. H. 7. 24.

THIS is added, and therefore, as formerly I have done, I meddle not withall; howbeit the opinion is holden for law, as it appeareth in the section next precedent.

Sect. 405.

*Lord Erskine in  
the 12. Ves. Jun.  
A 56. in Chancery  
- mori case.*

Pl. Com. fo. 368. b. per Sanders.  
lib. 4. fo. 127. 182. Beverley's  
case. Mirror cap. 1. sect. 9. ca. 5.  
sect. 1. Bract. fo. 165. and 420.  
Britton fo. 167. b. 217. 66. Fleta  
li. 6. c. 39. Fitz. N. B. 222. b.  
Stanf. Prer. 23. 34.  
(Hob. 96. Sid. 112.)

HERE Littleton explaineth a man of no sound memorie to be *non compos mentis*. Many times (as here it appeareth) the Latin word explaineth the true sense, and calleth him not *amens, demens, furiosus, lunaticus, fatuus, stultus*, or the like, for *non compos mentis* is most sure and legall. (1)  
*Non compos mentis* is *ITEM, si bome que est de non sane memorie, que est a dire en Latin, qui non est compos mentis, ad cause d'entre en ascuns tiels tenements, si tiel discent, ut supra, soit ewe en sa vie durant le temps que il fuit de* ALSO, if a man which is of non sane memorie, that is to say in Latine, *qui non est compos mentis*, hath cause to enter into any such tenements, if such discent, *ut supra*, be had in his life during the time that hee was not of sound

non

\* This Section is not in L. and M. nor Roh.

(1) *Scotch Pleading, anno 61. case 5. pages 69 and 70, and Sir Thomas Stewart's case.* *Fatui five idiotae sunt illi tantum, qui omni ratiocinatione et judicio carent, tardi, bardi, moriones, macerones, qui inopiae caloris et spirituum laborant. Furor est dementia cum ferocia, et horrenda actionum vehementia. Fromanus de jure furiosorum, p. 6. Furor dividitur in continuum; ubi anonus continua mentis agitatione semper accenditur; et interpolatum seu intervallatum; qui dilucida habent intervalla; quorum furor habet inducias, et quos morbus non sine laxamento aggreditur; qui testamentum facere possunt; & quos furor stimulis suis variatis vicibus accendit. In these fury and madness is but an ague or a disease; in the others, it is temperment and complexion. Again, among those who have lucid intervals, it may be fit to distinguish between those who have only remissionem seu adumbratam quietem, and those who have intermissionem seu resipiscientiam integram. Two witnesses deposing sanae menti, are preferred and believed before an hundred touching fury and madness. Melancholy and hypochondriac vapours are like storms at sea, which, though they disturb for a while, yet they do not hinder the returning to the former calm; semel furibundus, semper furibundus praesumitur; and therefore where the question is of a fact done lucido intervallo, which may be either by remission or intermission, it is not enough to shew the act was actus sapienti conveniens, for that may happen many ways; but it must be proved to be actus sapientis, and to proceed from judgment and deliberation, else the presumption continues.* Lord Nott. MS.

*non sane memorie, et puis devia, son heire bien poit enter sur luy que est eins per discent. Et en cest case poyes veier un cas, que l'heire poit enter, et uncore son ancesler que avoit mesme le tittle ne puissoit enter. Car celuy que fuit hors de sa memorie al temps de tiel discent, s'il voile enter apres tiel discent, si action sur ceo soit sue envers luy, il n'ad riens pur luy a pleder, ou de luy ayder, mes a dire, que il fuit de non sane memorie al temps de tiel discent, &c. Et a ceo ne ferra il recevoir a dire, pur ceo que nul bome de pleine age serrera recevoir en aucun plee per la ley a \* disabler le person demesne, mes le heire bien poit disabler le person son ancesler pur son advantage † demesne en tiel cas, pur ceo que nul laches poit estre adjudge per la ley en celuy que ad nul discretion en tiel case.*

memorie, and after dieth, his heire may well enter upon him, which is in by discent. And in this case you may see a case, where the heire may enter, and yet his ancestor which had the same title could not enter. For hee which was out of his memorie at the time of such discent, if hee will enter after such a discent, if an action upon this be sued against him, he hath nothing to plead for himselfe, or to helpe him, but to say, that hee was not of sane memorie at the time of such discent, &c. And he shall not bee received to say this, for that no man of full age shall bee received in any plea by the law to disable his owne person, but the heire may well disable the person of his ancestor for his owne advantage in such case, for that no laches may bee adjudged by the law in him which hath no discretion in such case.

of foure sorts: 1. *Idiota*, which from his nativite, by a perpetuall infirmitie, is *non compos mentis*. (2. Inst. 14.)

2. Hee that by sicknesse, grieffe, or other accident, wholly loseth his memorie and understanding.

Lib. 4. 124. 125. Beverleye's case.

3. A lunatique that hath sometime his understanding and sometime not, *aliquando gaudet lucidis intervallis*, and therefore he is called *non compos mentis*, so long as he hath not understanding. Lastly, hee that by his owne vicious act for a time depriveth himselfe of his memorie and understanding, as he that is drunken. But that kinde of *non compos mentis* shall give no privilege or benefit to him or to his heires. And a discent shall (1) take away the entrie of an idiot, albeit the want of understanding was perpetuall; for *Littleton* speaketh generally of a man of non sane memorie. So likewise if a man that becomes *non compos mentis* by accident, as is aforesaid, be diseised and suffer a discent, albeit he recover his memorie and understanding againe, yet hee shall never avoid the discent; and so it is *a fortiori* of one that hath *lucida intervalla*. As for a drunkard, who is *voluntarius demon*, he hath (as hath beene said) no privilege thereby, but what hurt or ill to ever he doth, his drunkennesse doth aggravate it: *Omne crimen ebrietas & incendit, & detegit*.

(8. Rep. 170.)

(Pl. Com. 19.)

(4. Rep. 123. b. F. N. B. 227.)  
39. H. 6. 42. b. Abb. Aff. 89. b.  
F. N. B. 202. 5 E. 3. 70. Britton, cap. 28. fol. 66. 25. Aff. pl. 4. 35. Aff. pl. 10.

32. E. 3. tit. Scire fac. 160.  
Stat. Pr. 34. F. N. B. 202. 2.  
Beverleye's case, lib. 4. 126.  
127. 128.

man

\* *destitit et*, added L. and M. and Rob.

† *demesne*— *del heire*, L. and M. and Rob.

(1) In all the editions except the first, the word *not* is here erroneously inserted.

(2) Lord Hobart observes in the case of *Needler v. Bishop of Winchester*, that in these cases "the law finds these persons not so disabled, nor admits the averment of such disablement, because it is certified by invincible and indisputable credit of the judge, that they were perfect and able persons. And so here is a law of policy that doth not cancel the law of nature, but doth only bound it in point of form and circumstance; it being better to admit a mischief in particular, even against the law of nature, than an inconvenience in general: and it is not the law of nature to admit any improbable surmise against authentic record or evidence." *Hob. 224.*—Sir Ed. Coke observes, *post. 350. b.* that the only mode by which an infant can reverse a fine levied by him, is by appearance in court during his infancy, and being inspected by the judges; *non testium testimonio, aut juratorum & sedibus, sed iudicis inspectioe solummodo*; the judges may, however, inform themselves in cases of this kind by means of witnesses, church books, or any other kind of evidence. It appears a great hardship that infants should not be permitted to reverse their fines after they attain their full age; and it seems unaccountable that the law, which will not permit them to do it after they attain their full age, should permit them to do it before that age. The objection, that no averment can be made against any fact which is now upon record, applies as much to them before their attaining their full age as after. But the contrary has been too often established to be now called in question. See *Ann Hungate's case*, 12 Rep. 122. *Warcomb v. Carrell*, *ib. 124.* *Herbert Parrat's case*, 2 Vent. 30. *Hatchinson's case*, 3 Lev. 36. *Requisite v. Requisite*, *Bull. p. 2. 320.* *Sarah Gufforth's case*, 12 Mod. 444. With respect to the fines levied by idiots and lunatics, see 12 Rep. 124. *Hugh Lewis's case*, 10 Rep. 42. b. But infant trustees within the stat. 7. Ann. c. 19. may both levy fines, and suffer common recoveries. See 3 Atk. 479. 559. *Com. Rep. 615.* *Barnes's Case of Pract.* 217. See also *Fitz. Nat. Bre. 202.* where much argument is used to shew, that a *non compos* may plead his disability to avoid his own acts as well as an infant; and 2 Blac. Com. ed. 5. p. 291. But in *Stroud v. Marshall*, *Cro. Eliz. 398.* debt upon obligation, the defendant pleaded, that at the time of the obligation made, he was *de non sane memory*; and it was thereupon demurred, and adjudged to be no plea; for he cannot save himself by such a plea, and the opinion of *Fitzherbert* was held to be no law.

Vide Br. tit. Dum fuit infra ætatem 5.

[r] Lib. 4. fol. 126, 127.  
(Flo. 19. a. F. N. B. 232.)

26. Aff. 27. 21. H. 7. 31. Stanford 16. b. 8. E. 2. Coron. 412. 414. 351. 22. E. 3. ibid. 224. Beverley's case, ubi supra. F. N. B. 202. D. 3. H. 7. 2. Vide 3. E. 3. tit. Entric Cong. Statham. 12. E. 4. 8. 39. H. 6. 4. Abbr. Aff. 89. 39. H. 6. 43. (Post. 265.)

15. E. 4. tit. Discent 30.

(Aut. 53. b. 200. b.)

man that is *non compos mentis*, &c. For, first, some are of opinion, that hee may avoid his owne act by entrie, or plea. Secondly, others are of opinion, that he may avoid it by writ, and not by plea. Thirdly, others, that he may avoid it either by plea, or by writ, and of this opinion is *Fitzberbert* in his *Natura Brevium, ubi supra*. And *Littleton* here is of opinion, that neither by plea, nor by writ, nor otherwise, he himselfe shall avoid it, but his heire (in respect his ancestor was *non compos mentis*) shall avoid it by entrie, plea, or writ. And herewith the greatest authorities of our bookes agree; and so was it resolved with *Littleton* in *Beverleye's* case; [r] where it is said, that it is a maxim of the common law, that the partie shall not disable himselfe. But this holdeth only in civil causes; for in criminall causes, as felonie, &c. the act and wrong of a madman shall not bee imputed to him, for that in those causes, *actus non facit reum, nisi mens sit rea*, and he is *amens (id est) sine mente*, without his minde or discretion; and *furiosus solo furore punitur*, a madman is only punished by his madnesse. And so it is of an infant, untill he be of the age of fourteene, which in law is accounted the age of discretion.

*Et en cest case poyes veir un case, &c.* And though *Littleton* saith (one case), yet other cases may be found to the same end. For if there be grandfather, father, and son, and the father disceise the grandfather, and make a feoffment in fee, without warrantie, the grandfather dieth, albeit the right descend to the father, he cannot by this right descended, enter against his owne feoffment; but if he die, the sonne shall enter, and avoid the estate of the feoffee.

So if the grandfather be tenant in taile, and the father disceise him, *ut supra, mutatis mutandis*.

If lands be given to two and to the heires of one of them, he that hath the fee simple shall not have an action of waste upon the statute of *Gloucester*, against the joyntenant for life, but his heire shall maintaine an action of waste against him, upon the statute of *Gloucester*; so the heire shall maintaine that action, which the ancestor could not.

## Sect. 406.

*ET si tiel home de non sane memorie fait feoffment, &c. il \* mesme ne poit enter, ne aver brieve appell' Dum non fuit compos mentis, &c. causâ quâ supra: mes apres † la mort son heire bien poit enter, ou aver le dit brieve Dum non fuit compos mentis a son election. ‡ Mesme la ley est lou enfant deins age fait feoffment, et devie, son heire poit enter, ou aver un brieve de Dum fuit infra ætatem, &c.*

AND if such a man of non sane memorie make a feoffment, &c. hee himselfe cannot enter, nor have a writ called *Dum non fuit compos mentis, &c. causâ quâ supra*: but after his death his heire may well enter, or have the said writ of *Dum non fuit compos mentis* at his choice. The same law is where an infant within age maketh a feoffment, and dieth, his heire may enter, or have a writ of *Dum fuit infra ætatem, &c.*

*FAIT feoffment, &c.* Or any other like conveyance *in pais*; but fines and other assurances of record are not implied in this (*&c.*)

*Mesme la ley d'un enfant.* This is true, as to the bringing of a *Dum fuit infra ætatem, &c.* but without question the infant in that case might have entred, as it appeareth in the next Section.

*Brieve Dum non fuit compos mentis.* This writ (as it appeareth by our author) lieth for the heire of him that was *non compos mentis*, and not for himselfe; but a *Dum fuit infra ætatem* lieth, as well for the ancestor himself after his full age, as for his heire.

Sect.

→ *mesme* not in L. and M. nor Roh.  
of this Section not in L. and M. nor Roh.

† *la—su* L. and M. and Roh.

‡ *&c.* added L. and M. and Roh. The rest

Sect. 407.

**ITEM**, si jeo sue \* disseise per un enfant deins age, lequel aliena a un auter en fee, et l'alienee devie seise, et les tenements discent ont a son heire, †, esteant l'enfant deins age, mon entry est tolle &c ‡.

**ALSO**, if I be disseised by an infant within age, who alieneth to another in fee, and the alienee dieth seised, and the lands descend to his heire, being an infant within age, my entrie is taken away, &c.

*et in L. & M. & Roh. dicitur quod si infans alienaverit tenementa sua in fee, et postea moriatur, et heres suus non sit in aetate, tunc tenementa sua non revertuntur ad ipsum, sed ad heredes suos, si fuerint in aetate.*

Sect. 408.

**MES** si l'enfant deins age enter sur l'heire que est § eins per discent, come il bien poit, pur ceo que || mesme le discent fuit durant son nonage, donque jeo bien puisse enter sur le disseisor, pur ceo que per son entrie il ad defeat et anient le discent.

**BUT** if the infant within age enter upon the heire which is in by discent, as he well may, for that the same discent was during his nonage, then I may well enter upon the disseisor, because by his entrie hee hath defeated and taken away the discent.

**HERE** it appeareth, that the entrie of the infant is lawfull, and giveth advantage to the disseisee to enter also, because the discent, which was the impediment, is avoided. And it is to be observed, that if the discent be cast, the infant being within age, he may enter at any time, either within age, or after his full age. And so it is if an infant make a feoffment &c. he may enter either within age, or at any time after his full age, and so in both cases may his heire.

Vide the next Sect. following.

43. E. 3. tit. Entr. Cong. Vet. N. B. 126. b. F. N. B. 192. 45. E. 3. 21.

Sect. 409.

**EN** mesme le manner est, lou jeo sue disseise, et le disseisor fait feoffment en fee sur condition, et le feoffee morust de tiel estate seise, ¶ jeo ne purroy \*\* my enter sur †† l'heire le feoffee: mes si le condition soit enfreint, issint que pur cel cause le feoffor enter sur l'heire, ore jeo bien puisse enter, pur ceo que quant le feoffor ou ses heires entrent pur le condition enfreint, le discent est ousterment defeat, &c. ††

**IN** the same manner it is, where I am disseised, and the disseisor make a feoffment in fee upon condition, and the feoffee die of such estate seised, I may not enter upon the heire of the feoffee: but if the condition bee broken, so as for this cause the feoffor enter upon the heire, now I may well enter, for that when the feoffor or his heires enter for the condition broken, the discent is utterly defeated, &c.

**THE** reason hereof is apparent, for cessante causa, cessat causatum. Tenant in capite maketh a feoffment in fee to the use of the feoffee and his heires, untill the feoffor pay an hundred pounds to him or his heires, the feoffee dieth his heire within age, now hath the king the wardship of the bodie, and is intituled to the gard of the land. But if the feoffor pay the hundred pounds according to the limitation, the wardship is devested, both for the body and the land, and so it is in case of a condition: for, as Littleton here saith, the discent, which is the cause of wardship, is utterly defeated. And by these two last cases which Littleton hath here put, it appeareth, that there is no difference, where the discent is disaffirmed by a right paramount, as where the state was never law-

Vide the Sect. next precedent. Dyer 13. El. fol. 298. 299. (Ant. 5. 395.)

(Ant. 76. b.)

\* disseise not in Roh. but in L. and M. § eins—heire, L. and M. and Roh. \*\* my not in L. and M. nor Roh.

† et added L. and M. and Roh. || mesme not in L. and M. but in Roh. †† l'heire—the terre, L. and M. and Roh.

‡ &c. not in L. and M. nor Roh. ¶ &c. added L. and M. and Roh. †† &c. not in L. and M. nor Roh.



lawfull, (as in the case of an infant,) and where the discent is affirmed for a time, the estate being lawfull, and being after defeated by matter *ex post facto*, by a title of re-entry.

Sect. 410.

Vid. Sect. 200.  
(Ant. 132)

*Entre religion, &c.*

Here is implied profession. This discent shall not barre the entry of the disseisee, for that the discent commeth by the deed of the father, because he entred into religion, wherein there is an excellent point worthy of observation: for albeit the entry into religion make not the discent, but the profession, whereof you have read before, Sect. 200. yet here you may learne by *Littleton*, that the law respects the originall act, and that is, his entry into religion, which is his owne act, whereupon the profession followed: whereby the discent hapned; for, *Cujusque rei potissima pars, principium est.* And againe, *Origo rei inspicit debet*, whereof you shall make great use in reading of our bookes. \* Here *Littleton* attributeth the cause of the discent to his entry into religion, which was his owne act, whereas a discent doth not take away an entry unlesse it commeth by death, which, as *Littleton* saith, is the act of God, and no glorious pretext of an act (no, though it bee of religion) shall work a wrong to a stranger, that hath right, to barre him of his entrie. But it is said, that in the case of the bastard eigne, and *mulier puisne*, such a discent shall bind the *mulier*, as before hath beene said, and such an heire that commeth in by such a discent, shall have his age.

(Ant. 126. b. 238. b. 3. Rep. 61.)

\* Vid. Pl. Com. Dame Halc's Case.  
6. E. 3. 41. &c.

10. E. 3. 55.  
(Ant. 144)

*Car si jeo arraigne un assise, &c.*

*Nota*, if a man be tenant or defendant in a reall or personall action, and hanging the suit the tenant or defendant entred into religion, by this the writ is not abated, because it is by his owne act. And so it is of a resignation; but otherwise it is of a deposition, or deprivation, because he is expelled by judgment, and yet his offence, &c. was the cause thereof, *sed in presumptione legis, iudicium redditur in iuratum.*

2. H. 6. 41. 10. H. 6. 10. b.  
18. E. 4. 19. 9. E. 4. 25. 62.  
7. E. 4. 15. 18. E. 3. 24. 25. E.  
3. 39. 46. E. 3. 25. 30. E. 1.  
Briese 885. Bracton lib. 4. fo.  
180. & lib. 5. fo. 414. 22. R. 2.  
Briese 936. 15. All. pl. 8.

*Moy de mon entry, &c.* Here is implied, or any of my heires.

*ITEM, si jeo soy disseisee, et le disseisor ad issue et enter en religion, per force de quel les tenements descendent a son issue, en cest case jeo bien puisse enter sur l'issue, et uncore la suit un discent. Mes pur ceo que tiel discent vient al issue per fait le pier, scilicet, pur ceo que il enter en religion, &c. et le discent ne vient a luy per fait de Dieu, scilicet, per mort, &c. mon entre est congeable. Car si jeo arraigne un assise de novel disseisin envers mon disseisor, comment que il puit enter en religion, ceo ne abatera my mon briefe, mes mon briefe (ceo non obstant) esloyera en sa force, et mon recovere vers luy serra bonne. † Et per mesme le reason le discent que aveigne a son issue per son fait demesne, ne tollera moy de mon entrie, &c.*

ALSO, if I be disseised, and the disseisor hath issue and entred into religion, by force whereof the lands descend to his issue, in this case I may well enter upon the issue, and yet there was a discent. But for that such discent commeth to the issue by the act of the father, *scilicet*, for that he entred into religion, &c. and the discent came not unto him by the act of God, (*scilicet*) by death, &c. my entry is congeable. For if I arraigne an assise of novel disseisin against my disseisor, albeit he after enter into religion, this shall not abate my writ, but my writ (notwithstanding this) shall stand in his force, and my recovery against him shall be good. And by the same reason the discent which commeth to his issue by his own act, shall not take from me my entry, &c.

Sect.

\* *mon recovere* not in L. and M. nor Roh.

† *et* not in L. and M. nor Roh.

Sect. 411.

**ITEM**, si jeo leſſe a un home certaine terres pur terme de 20 ans, et un autre moy diſſeiſiſt, et ouſta le termor, et devie ſeiſie, et les tenements diſcendent a ſon heire, jeo ne purroy enter; et uncore le leſſee pur terme d'ans bien puit enter, pur ceo que il per ſon entry ne ouſta l'heire que eſt eins per diſcent de le franktenement que eſt a luy diſcendus, mes ſolement \* claime d'aver les tenements pur terme d'ans, lequel n'eſt † pas expulſement de le franktenement del heire que eſt eins per diſcent. Mes autrement eſt ou mon tenant a terme de vie eſt ‡ diſſeiſie, cauſa patet, &c. ||

**ALSO**, if I let unto a man certain lands for the terme of twenty yeares, and another diſſeiſeth me, and ouſt the termor, and die ſeiſed, and the lands deſcend to his heire, I may not enter; and yet the leſſee for yeares may well enter, becauſe that by his entry hee doth not ouſte the heire who is in by diſcent of the freehold which is deſcended unto him, but only claymeth to have the lands for terme of yeares, which is no expulſion from the freehold of the heire who is in by diſcent. But otherwiſe it is where my tenant for terme of life is diſſeiſed, *cauſa patet, &c.* (1)

**PUR** terme de 20 ans. It is cleere that a diſcent ſhall not take away the entry of a leſſee for yeares, as our author here ſaith, nor of a tenant by *elegit*, or tenant by ſtatute merchant, or ſuch like, as have but a chattle and no freehold; and the reaſon is, for that by their entry upon the heire by diſcent, they take no freehold (which, as oſten hath bin obſerved, is ſo much reſpected in law) from him; but otherwiſe it is of an eſtate for life, or any higher eſtate. And as a diſcent of a freehold and inheritance ſhall take away the entry of him that hath to a freehold, or inheritance, ſo a diſcent of a freehold and inheritance cannot take away the entry of him that hath but a chattle, for that no diſcent or dying ſeiſed can be of the ſame.

(2) A man ſeiſed of an advowſon in fee, grants three avoydances one after another, and after the church becommeth void, and the grantor preſents, and his clarke is admitted and inſtituted, and after the church becomes void againe, the grantee may preſent to the ſecond avoydance, for that he was not put out of

(See 2. Roll. Abr. 371. Hob. 322. 323. 5. Rep. 57. 102.)

the poſſeſſion thereof; for as the leſſor having the freehold and inheritance cannot diſſeiſe his leſſee for yeares, having but a chattle, that any diſcent may be caſt, to take away his entry (as *Littleton* here ſaith); ſo in the ſaid caſe, the grantor hath the franktenement and fee of the advowſon rightfully, ſo as he cannot make any uſurpation, to gaine any eſtate, or to put the grantee ſo out of poſſeſſion as that he ſhould not preſent, no more than the leſſee for yeares in this caſe, to enter. Alſo in reſpect of the privitie that is betweene them, the uſurpation of the grantor ſhall not put the grantee out of poſſeſſion for the two latter avoydances. And this was reſolved [a] by all the judges of the court of common pleas, which I myſelfe heard and obſerved.

[a] Hill. 18. Eliz. in communi banco.

Sect. 412.

**ITEM**, il eſt dit, que ſi home eſt ſeiſie de tenements en fee per occupation en temps de guerre, et ent moruſt ſeiſie en

**ALSO**, it is ſaid, that if a man be ſeiſed of lands in fee by occupation in time of warre, and thereof dieth ſeiſed in the

**PER** occupation en temps de guerre. Firſt, it is neceſſarie to be knowne, what ſhall bee ſaid time of peace, *tempus pacis*; and what ſhall be ſaid *tempus belli, ſive guerra*, time of warre. *Tempus pacis eſt quando*

*See Hobart's Rep. on a writ of the Crown 323. (1. Inſt. 125.)*

\* claime not in L. and M. nor Rob. || &c. not in L. and M. nor Rob.

† pas not in L. and M. nor Rob.

‡ diſſeiſie—ſeiſie, &c. L. and M. and Rob.

(1) A leaſe is conſidered as a covenant real, that binds the poſſeſſion of lands into whoſe hands ſoever it comes, if the lands be not eſtated by a ſuperior title; yet the termor has not the freehold in him, but holds the poſſeſſion as bailiff of the freeholder, *nomine alieno*, by virtue of the obligation of the covenant. Now then, if the termor enters before the deſcent, he reverts the freehold in the diſſeiſee, who has the right of poſſeſſion; but if he enters after the deſcent, then he can only hold in the name of the freeholder, who has the preſent right of poſſeſſion, which is the heir of the diſſeiſor. *Gilb. Ten. 35.*

(2) *Hob. 322. 323. ſir William Elviſ's caſe.* This very caſe was the principal point; and there, by *Hobart, Warburton, and Winch*, it was adjudged contra, that uſurpation by the grantor puts the grantee out of poſſeſſion, and gets all that was granted out, *Hutton diſſentiente*. But it appears that this place is good law, and that *Hobart* errowit. *Hil. 12. Car. C. B. Legge v. Archer.* A man leaſed an advowſon for yeares, and then preſented; this was ruled to be no uſurpation, but plenarily *pro hinc vice*: this place is cited l. 8. uſurpation 3. idque ratione priority, ut inter coparceners, and ſo on; it is againſt his own act. *8. Rep. Dampont's caſe.* Lord Nott. MS.

Inter brevia de anno 1. E. 3. parte 1. & pasch. 28. E. 3. inter adjudicata coram rege. lib. 2. fol. 37. in thesaur. Pasch. 39. E. 3. inter adjudicata coram rege in thesaur. lib. 2. fol. 92. (Cro. Car. 71.)

14. E. 3. tit. seire facias 122. but more fully in the record at large.

Bracon lib. 4. fol. 240.

Ingham cap. d<sup>c</sup> novel disseisin.

Lib. 4. fol. 49. 50. Ognel's case.

6. E. 3. 47. 7. E. 3. darr. pref. 2. 28. E. 2. quar. imp. 175. F. N. B. 31.

do cancellaria, & alie curie regis sunt aperte, quibus lex fiebat cuicunque prout fieri consuevit. And so it was adjudged in the case of Roger Mortimer, and of Thomas carle of Lancaster. Utrum terra sit guerrina necne, naturaliter debet judicari per recorda regis, & eorum, qui curias regis per legem terre custodiunt, & gubernant, sed non alio modo.

temps de guerre, et les tenements descendent a son heire, tiel discent ne oustera ascun home de son entry; et de ceo home poit vier en un plea sur un brieve de aiel, an. 7. E. 2.

time of warre, and the tenements descend to his heire, such discent shall not oust any man of his entry; and of this a man may see in a plea upon a writ of aiel, 7. E. 2.

And therefore when the courts of justice be open, and the judges and ministers of the same may by law protect men from wrong and violence, and distribute justice to all, it is said to be time of peace. So, when by invasion, insurrection, rebellions, or such like, the peaceable course of justice is disturbed and stopped, so as the courts of justice be as it were shut up, et silent leges inter arma, then it is said to be time of warre. And the triall hereof is by the records, and judges of the court of justice; for by them it will appeare, whether justice had her equall course of proceeding at that time or no, and this shall not be tried by jury.

If a man be disseised in time of peace, and the discent is cast in time of warre, this shall not take away the entry of the disseisee.

Item tempore pacis, quod dicitur ad differentiam eorum que fuerunt tempore belli, quod idem est, quod tempore guerrino, quod nihil differt a tempore juris. & injuria; est enim tempus injurie, cum fuerunt oppressiones violentae, quibus resisti non potest. & disseisinae injuste.

So as hereby it also appeareth, that time of peace is the time of law and right, and time of warre is the time of violent oppression, which cannot be resisted by the equall course of law. And therefore in all reall actions, the expleas, or taking of the profits, are layed tempore pacis, for if they were taken tempore belli, they are not accounted of in law. (1)

Per occupation. Occupation is a word of art, and signifieth a putting out of a man's frechold in time of warre; and it is all one with a disseisin in time of peace, saving that it is not so dangerous as it appeareth here by Littleton; and therefore the law gave a writ in that case of occupavit, so called, by reason of that word in the writ, in stead of disseisivit, in the assise of novel disseisin, if the disseisin had beene done in time of peace; whereby it appeareth, how aptly both in this, and in all other places, Littleton thorow his whole booke speaketh. But albeit occupatio, whereof Littleton here speaketh, is used only in the said writ, and in none other, (that I can finde or remember) yet hath it beene used commonly in conveyances and leases, to limit, or make certaine precedent words, as ad tunc in tenua & occupatione. But occupatio is applyed to the possession, be it lawfull or unlawfull; it hath also crept into some acts of parliament, as 4. H. 7. cap. 19. 39. Eliz. cap. 1. and others; and occupare is sometimes taken to conquer.

Et de ceo home poit vier en un plea sur brieve de aiel, anno 7. E. 2.

Hereby it appeares, that ancient termes or yeares, after the example of Littleton, are to be cited and vouched, for confirmation of the law, albeit they were never printed; and that of those yeares, those especially of E. 1. H. 3. &c. are worthy of the reading and observation; a great number of which I have seene and observed, which in mine opinion doe give a great light, not onely to the understanding and reason of the common law, (which Fitzherbert either saw not, or were by him omitted) but also to the true exposition of the ancient statutes made in those times. Yet mine advice is, that they be read in their time. For after our student is enabled and armed to set on our yeare bookes, or reports of the law, let him reade first the latter reports, for two causes. First, for that for the most part the latter judgments and resolutions are the surest, and therefore it is best to season him with them in the beginning, both for the setting of his judgment, and for the retaining of them in memorie. Secondly, for that the latter are more facile and easier to be understood, than the more ancient: but after the reading of them, then to reade these others before mentioned, and all the ancient authors that have written of our law; for I would wish our student to be a compleat lawyer. But now to returne. As it is in case of discent, so it is in case of presentation, for no usurpation in time of warre putteth the right patron out of possession, albeit the incumbent come in by institution and induction; and time of warre doth not onely give privilege to them that be in warre, but to all others within the kingdome; and although the admission and institution be in time of peace, yet if the presentment were in time of warre, it putteth not the right patron out of possession.

Sec.

(1) If tenant by elegit is interrupted in taking the profits of the land, by reason of war, he shall not hold over, but it shall be in disadvantage of the tenant by elegit. 19. E. 1. Execution 246. 4. Rep. 82. b. — In Lib. Rub. Scacc. fol. 241. tempus guerre duravit a quarto die Apl. 48. H. 3. usque ad 17. Sep. an. 49. apud Winter post bellum de Evesham pax proclamata fuit. Nota H. 3. 19. die Oct. anno ejusdem 16. fuit apud Wall. An. 40. fuit apud Oxon. 48. apud Dudl. 14. Maij, an. 49. fuit apud Evesham. Et tamen sunt placita de Rich. 16. H. 3. de banco. Tr. 16. H. 3. Et assisa magna 40. H. 3. 48. H. 3. M. 40. H. 3. P. 48. H. 3. P. 49. H. 3. Et placita coram rege a 32. usque 40. H. 3. Tr. 4. H. 3. 26. et 27. E. 1. Ent. R. T. Rot. 5. Goods were seized for debt to the king; the Sheriff returned, that the Scots entered hostiliter, by reason of which they could not be taken: Rule, fiat inde inquisitio, & interim pacem habeat de demandâ. Noy MS. 384. — 3. Inst. 52. See the case of the earl of Lancaster put at large. Nota, that in 14. E. 3. F. seire facias 122. there is no intimation at all of the matter; but on the record of this case, as is to be seen in the manuscript Rep. of Coke 428. the case was thus: Henry Lancaster granted to the abbot of Ruffey and his successors, quod si ex tunc aliquo tempore vel aliqua occasione guerra in regno Anglia feriam suam amitterent, ita quod nihil inde percipere possunt, quieti essent ejusdem anno et tempore de founa sua predicta 50l. And upon seire facias for the arrears of that rent, which was in court for three years, viz. 11. 12. and 13. E. 3. the abbot pleaded these charters, and said, that guerra fuit tam super mare quam super terram inter dominum regem et illos de Francia, ita quod mercatores ad diuersas mundinas nec venerunt ut solebant, nec ipse abbas aliquod proficuum de istis mundinis per idem tempus percipit nec percipere possit, quod paratus fuit verificare juxta tenorem charte predicta; and it was resolved, quod utrum terra sit guerrina, &c. prout hic notatur. Then it follows in the said manuscript: Nota, quod guerra dicitur in hoc regno esse, quando exercitum justitie in curiis et placis regis impeditur. And Coke adds a short note: Ceo tryal de guerre in cest realm; et ex hoc semble que ne fuit guerre inter E. 4. et H. 6. ex exercitum justitie non impeditum fuit, come appiert per les reports de 10. E. 3. et 49. H. 6. nec temps H. 3. hic supra, nec temps Car. 1. Lord Nott. MS.

Sec. 49. H. 3. p. 1. The Editor has not been able to discover what is the manuscript to which lord Nottingham alludes in this place. note 9. p. 1. H. 3. p. 1. The Editor has not been able to discover what is the manuscript to which lord Nottingham alludes in this place. 270. & 101. Re a l'achement & le serment pris in Castille & en la vie.

Sect. 413.

**I**TEM, \* que nul morant seisse (ou les tenements viendront a un auter per succession) † tollera l'entre d'ascun person, &c. ‡ Come de prelates, abbots, priors, deans, ou parson d'eglise, || ou d'autres corps politicke, &c. coment que ils fueront xx. morants seisse, et xx. successors, ceo ne tolle jammes ascun home de son entrie §.

Plus serra dit de discents en le prochein ¶ chapter.

**A**LSO, that no dying seised (where the tenements come to another by succession) shall take away the entrie of any person, &c. As of prelates, abbots, priors, deanes, or of the parson of a church, or of other bodies politike, &c. albeit there were xx. dyings seised, and xx. successors, this shall not put any man from his entrie (1).

More shall be said of discents in the next chapter.

**P E R** succession.

This in the common law is applied only to bodies politike, or corporate, which have succession perpetuall, and not to naturall men; as to a bishop and his successors, or to an abbot, deane, archdeacon, prebend, parson, &c. and their successors, and not to *I. S.* or any other naturall body and his successors, but to him and his heires. And the successor of any of these is in the *post*, and the heire of the naturall man is in the *per*; and *succedere* is derived of *sub* and *cedere*.

Vid. Sect. 4.

7. E. 3. 25. a. 5. E. 3. 13. & 31.

**Corps politique, &c.**

This is a body to take in succession, framed (as to that capacity) by policie, and thereupon it is called here by *Littleton* a body politike; and it is also called a corporation, or a body incorporate, because the persons are made into a body, and are of capacity to

take and grant, &c. And this body politike, or incorporate, may commence, and be established three manner of ways, *viz.* by prescription, by letters patents, or by act of parliament. Every body politike, or corporate, is either ecclesiasticall or lay; ecclesiasticall, either regular, as abbots, priors, &c. or secular, as bishops, deanes, archdeacons, parsons, vicars, &c. lay, as maior and communalitie, baylives and burgessees, &c. Also every body politike, or corporate, is either elective, presentative, collative, or donative. And againe it is either sole, or aggregate of many; as you may reade in the Third Part of my Commentaries. And this body politike, or corporate, aggregate of many, is by the civilians called *collegium*, or *universitas*.

Lib. 3. fo. 73. in the case of the Deane and Chapter of Norwich. (1. Sid. 162. 11. Rep. 77. a.)

CHAP. 7. Continuall Claime. Sect. 414.

**C**ONTINUAL claime est † la lou home ad droit et tittle d'entrer en ascuns terres ou tenements dont \*\* auter est seisse en fee, ou en fee taile, si cesty que ad tittle d'entrer fait con-

**C**ONTINUAL claim is where a man hath right and tittle to enter into any lands or tenements whereof another is seised in fee, or in fee tail, if hee which hath tittle to enter makes continuall claime to the lands

**H**ERE our Author first describeth what a continuall claime is. It is called *continuum clameum*, because at the common law it must have beene made within every yeare and day, as *Littleton* here teacheth. And yet if hee that right hath, maketh claime, and the tenant dieth within the yeare and the day, this claime though it bee but *tinuall*

Mirror cap. 1. §. 15. & §. 18. Bracton li. 5. fo. 435. 436. Britton 107. b. 126. 4. Fleta lib. 6. cap. 52. 53. Vid. Sect. 424.

Vid. Sect. 385. 22. H. 8. c. 23.

\* que not in L. and M. nor Roh.

† ne added in L. and M. and Roh.

‡ come—quor. L. and M. and Roh.

|| ou d'autres corps politicke, not in L. and M. nor Roh.

§ .c. added L. and M. and Roh.

¶ prochein chapitre—chapitre de continuell clayme, L. and M. and Roh.

‡ per added L. and M.

§ un added L. and M.

(1) By the statute of limitations, 21. Jac. 1. c. 16. it is enacted, that no entry shall be made by any man upon lands, unless within twenty years after his right shall accrue. — By the 4th and 5th Ann. c. 16. it is enacted, that no entry shall be of force to satisfy the statute of limitations, or to avoid a fine levied of lands, unless an action be thereupon commenced within one year after, and prosecuted with effect.

\* Vid. Sect. 424.

once \* made (as hath beene said) shall preserve the entry of him that maketh the claime (1).

*Ad droit et titre d'enter.* And yet in some cases, a continuall claime may be made by him that hath right, and cannot enter.

If tenant for years, tenant by statute staple, merchant, or *elegit*, be ousted, and he in the reversion disseised, the lessor, or he in reversion, may enter to the intent to make his claime, and yet his entry as to take any profits, is not lawfull during the terme. And in the same manner, the lessor or he in the reversion in that case may enter to avoid a collateral warranty, or the lessor in that case may recover in an assise. And so (as some have holden) may the lessor enter in case of a lease for life, to this intent, to avoid a discent, or a warranty.

If the disseisee make continuall claime, and the disseisor die seised within the yeare, his heire within age, and by office the king is intitled to the wardship, albeit the entry of the disseisee bee not lawfull, yet may he make continuall claime to avoid a discent, and so in the like.

*Uncore poit celuy que fait tiel clayme ou son heire enter.*

This is to be understood in this manner: that if the father make claime, and the disseisor dieth, and then the father dieth, that his heire may enter, because the discent was cast in the father's time, and the right of entry which the father gained by his claime, shall descend to his heire. But if the father make continuall claime, and dieth, and the sonne make no continuall claime, and within the yeare and day after the claime made by the father, the disseisor dieth, this shall take away the entry of the sonne, for that the discent was cast in his time, and the claime made by the father shall not availe him, that might have claimed himselfe. And of this opinion was *Littleton* himselfe in our bookes, where he holdeth, that no continuall claime can avoid a discent, unlesse it be made by him that hath title to enter, and in whose life the dying seised was. See more of this matter hereafter, in this chapter, Sect. 416.

And as here *Littleton* putteth his case of the ancestor and heire, so it holdeth in all respects, of the predecessor and successor.

*tinuall claime a les terres ou tenements devant le morant seise de celuy que tient les tenements, donques coment que tiel tenant morust ent seisi, et les terres ou tenements descendront a son heire, uncore poit celuy que avoit fait tiel claime, ou son heire, enter en les terres ou tenements issint descendus, per cause de le continual claime fait, nient contristiant le discent. Sicome en case que home soit disseisee, et le disseisee fait continual claime a les tenements en la vie le disseisor, coment que le disseisor devie seise en fee, et la terre descendist a son heire, uncore poit le disseisee enter sur la possession le heire, nient obstant le discent \*.*

or tenements before the dying seised of him which holdeth the tenements, then albeit that such tenant dieth therof seised, and the lands or tenements descend to his heire, yet may he who hath made such continual claime, or his heire, enter into the lands or tenements so descended, by reason of the continuall claime made, notwithstanding the discent. As in case that a man bee disseised, and the disseisee makes continuall claime to the tenements in the life of the disseisor, although that the disseisor dieth seised in fee, and the land descend to his heire, yet may the disseisee enter upon the possession of the heire, notwithstanding the discent.

Dyer 19. El. Pl. Com. 374.  
15. H. 7. 3. 4. Jacobin's case.  
28. H. 6. 28.

Vid. Sect. 442. 45. E. 3. 21.

7. H. 6. 40. Contin. Claime 1.  
Downer's case. 5. E. 4. 4.  
(Pl. 191. 2.)  
(9. Rep. 106.)  
(1. Rep. 67. 2.)

(1. Roll. Abr. 630.)

Bracon lib. 5. fo. 436.  
Fleta lib. 5. cap. 52. 53.  
22. H. 6. 37. 9. H. 4. 5. 2.  
15. E. 4. 22. 2.

28. H. 6. 37.

Sect.

\* Et. added in L. and M. and Roll.

(1) It has been observed in the notes to the chapter of Descents, that the reasons for which the law protected the possession of the heir of the disseisor from the entry of the disseisee, were, the notoriety and presumptive right of possession which the disseisor acquired by his being permitted to live and die in the peaceable possession of the lands; the necessity that there should be a tenant to do the feudal duties; and by way of a punishment on the tenant for his neglect in not asserting his right. But none of these reasons could exist, where the tenant entered upon the lands, and made his claim for them; as by doing so he prevented the presumption in favour of the title of the disseisor; made a tender to the lord of his feudal services; and did all that was in his power to restore his possession. But to entitle the disseisee to enter on the heir of the disseisor, notwithstanding the discent upon him, this claim must have been made within a year and a day next preceding the descent. Lord chief baron Gilbert, in his commentary upon this chapter, observes, that the notion of laches, in not claiming for a year and a day, is taken out of the feudal law, it being the period of time within which the feudal services must be required. It is a space of time which is prescribed for the performance of different acts in our law, and in all laws derived from the feudal institutions. It seems only to import the space of a complete year. --- In Pl. Com. 359. a. lord chief justice Dyer is said to have defined claim to be a challenge of the ownership, or propriety, that he hath not in possession, but is detained from him by wrong.

Sect. 415.

**EN** mesme le maner est, si tenant a terme de vie alien en fee, celuy en le reversion ou celuy en le remainder poit enter sur l'alienee. Et si tiel alienee devie seisie de tiel estate sans continual claime fait a les tenements, devant le morant seisi del alienee, et les tenements per cause del morant seisi del alienee discendent \* a son heire, donques ne poit celuy en le reversion ne celuy en le remainder enter. Mes † si celuy en le reversion ou celuy en le remainder, que ad cause d'entre sur l'alienee, fait continual claime a les tenements devant le morant seisi del alienee, donques tiel home poit enter apres la mort l'alienee, auxy bien come il pouvoit ‡ en sa vie §.

**I**N the same manner it is, if tenant for life alien in fee, hee in the reversion or he in the remainder may enter upon the alienee. And if such alienee dieth seised of such estate without continual claime made to the tenements, before the dying seised of the alienee, and the lands by reason of the dying seised of the alienee descend to his heire, then cannot he in the reversion nor hee in the remainder enter. But if hee in the reversion or in the remainder, who hath cause to enter upon the alienee, make continual claime to the land before the dying seised of the alienee, then such a man may enter after the death of the alienee, as well as he might in his life-time. (1. Rep. 14. 2.)

**BY** this it appeareth, that a continual claime may be made as well where the lands are in the hands of a feoffee, &c. by title, as in the hands of a disseisor, abator, or intruder, by wrong, as before hath bene noted.

Sect. 416.

**I**TEM, si terre soit lessé a un home pur terme de sa vie, le remainder a un auter a terme de vie, le remainder a la tierce en fee, si le tenant a terme de vie aliena a un auter en fee, et celuy en le remainder pur terme de vie fait continual claime a la terre devant le morant seisie d'alienee,

**A**LSO, if land be let to a man for terme of his life, the remainder to another for terme of life, the remainder to the third in fee, if tenant for life alien to another in fee, and he in the remainder for life maketh continual claime to the land before the dying seised of the alienee, and after the

**ALIEN a un auter** (1. Roll. Abr. 630.) en fee.

It is to be observed, that a forfeiture may be made by the alienation of a particular tenant, two manner of wayes; either in pais, or by matter of record.

In pais, of lands and tenements which lie in livery (whereof Littleton intendeth his case) where a greater estate passeth by livery, than the particular tenant may lawfully make, whereby the reversion or remainder is devested, as here in the example that Littleton putteth when tenant for life alieneth in

Vid. Sect. 581. 609. 610 611.

\* a son heire, — al heire del aliene, T. and M. and Roh. M. and Roh. § c. L. and M.

† si not in L, and M. nor Roh.

‡ pouvoit en poel a, L. and

Lib. 3. Cap. 7. Of Continuall Claime. Sect. 416.

(1. Rep. 14.)

17. El. Dy. 319.  
16. El. Dy. 324.

37. E. 3. Devise 21. 15. E. 4. 9.  
Vide Sect. 608. 609. 610.  
(1. Roll. Abr. 354.)

le post. 322 a

(1. Rep. 76. b.)  
35. H. 6. 62. Tr. 32. El. in  
Inform. de intrusion vers Ro-  
binson par le Manor de Drayton  
Bailet. resolved by the court  
of exchequer.

(Post. 322. b. 1. Leo. 40. 1. Roll.  
Abi. 855.)

15. E. 4. 9. 31. F. 3. Gr. 62.  
14. E. 3. 2. Avow. 117.

15. E. 2. Judg. 237. 6. E. 3. 29.  
9. E. 3. 4. 18. E. 2. Fines 120.  
15. E. 4. 29. 36. H. 6. 29.  
2. H. 6. 9. 4. El. Dy. 9. 11. 5. 14.  
22. Aff. 31. 18. E. 3. 28. 16. Aff.  
16.  
(Mo. 77. 212. 1. Rep. 16.)

\* *Sec.* added L. and M. and Roh.      † *Sec.* added L. and M. and Roh.      ‡ *Sec.* in L. and M. and Roh.      § *que*  
added in L. and M. and Roh.      || *sa* not in L. and M. nor Roh.      ¶ *que* not in L. and M. nor Roh.      †† (*Car nul*  
*peut faire continual claim*) not in L. and M. nor Roh.

(1) See the observations on feoffments introduced in the notes to the next chapter.

(2) See ant. 232. b. note.

(3) So in the case of a lease for life, the tenant may plead it in bar; but in the case of a lease for years, or an estate by tenant by statute or *chign*, the defendant shall not plead in bar, as to say, *assise non*, but justify by force of the lease; and conclude, *quod non facit tort*; and if the tenant of the freehold be not named, he shall plead, *nul tenant de franc tenement ne sime en le brief*; and in the case of a feoffment with a warranty, he must rely on the warranty. See ant. 236. b. 239. a.

in fee, which must be understood of a feoffment, fine, or recoverie by consent.

If tenant for life, and hee in the remainder for life in *Littleton's* case, hath joyned in a feoffment in fee, this had beene a forfeiture of both their estates, because hee in the remainder is *particeps injuria*. And so it is if hee in the remainder for life had entred, and disseised tenant for life, and made a feoffment in fee, this had beene a forfeiture of the right of his remainder. (1)

A particular estate of any thing that lies in grant, cannot be forfeited by any grant in fee by deed. As if tenant for life or yeares of an advowson, rent, common, or of a reversion or remainder of land, by deed grant the same in fee, this is no forfeiture of their estates, for that nothing lawfully may passe; and of that opinion is *Littleton* in our bookes.

But if tenant for life or yeares of land, the reversion or remainder being in the king, make a feoffment in fee, this is a forfeiture, and yet no reversion or remainder is divested out of the king; and the reason is, in respect of the solemnitie of the feoffment by liverie, tending to the king's disherison. (2)

By matter of record, and that by three manner of wayes. First, by alienation. Secondly, by claiming a greater estate than he ought. Thirdly, by affirming the reversion or remainder to be in a stranger.

First, by alienation; and that of two sorts, *viz.* by alienation divesting, or not divesting, the reversion or remainder. Divesting, as by levying of a fine, or suffering a common recoverie of lands, whereby the reversion or remainder is divested: not divesting, as by levying of a fine in fee, of an advowson, rent, common, or any other thing that lieth in grant; and of this opinion is *Littleton* in our bookes. \* And to note two diversities: first, between a grant by fine (which is of record) and a grant by deed *in pais*; and yet in this they both agree, that the reversion or remainder in neither case is divested: secondly, between a matter of record, as a fine, &c. and a deed recorded, as a deed inrolled, for that worketh no forfeiture, because the deed is the originall.

Secondly, by claime; and that may be in two sorts, either expresse or implyed. Expresse, as if tenant for life will in court of record claime fee, or if lessee for yeares be ousted, and he will bring an assise *ut de liber tenemento*. Implied, as if in a writ of right brought against him, he will take upon him to joine the mise upon the meere right, which none but tenant in fee simple ought to doe. So if lessee for yeares doe lose in a *procepe*, and will bring a writ of error, for error in proceffe, this is a forfeiture. (3)

Thirdly,

*et puis l'alienee morust scise, \* et puis apres celuy en le remainder pur terme de vie morust devaunt ascun entrie fait per luy, en ceo cas celuy en le remainder en fee poit enter † sur heire l'alienee, per cause de continual claime fait per luy que avoit le remainder pur terme de sa vie, pur ceo que tiel droit que il averoit d'entre, ‡ alera et remaindra a celuy en le remainder apres luy, entant que celuy en le remainder en fee § ne puisse pas enter sur l'alienee en fee durant la vie celuy en le remainder pur terme de sa vie, et pur ceo \*\* que il ne puisse adonques faire continual claim. †† (Car nul poit faire continual claim mes quant il ad title d'entrie, &c.)*

alienee dieth seised, and after he in the remainder for life die before any entrie made by him, in this case he in the remainder in fee may enter upon the heire of the alienee, by reason of the continuall claime made by him which had the remainder for life, because that such right as hee had of entrie, shall goe and remaine to him in the remainder after him, infomuch as hee in the remainder in fee could not enter upon the alienee in fee during the life of him in the remainder for life, and for that hee could not then make continuall claim. (For none can make continuall claime but when hee hath title to enter, &c.)

le By laune  
v. When cited  
in Hermon's  
Case 3. Co. 78.  
b. 479. a. 11. 12. 13.  
150

Section  
right  
in  
552

Thirdly, By affirming the reversion or remainder to be in a stranger, and that either actively or passively. Actively, by five manner of wayes. As first, if tenant for life pray in aid of a stranger, whereby he affirms the reversion to be in him. Secondly, if he attorne to the grant of a stranger; and there note also a diversitie betweene an attournement of record to a stranger, and an attournement *in pais*, for an attournement *in pais* worketh no forfeiture. Thirdly, if a stranger bring a writ of entrie *in casu proviso*, and suppose the reversion to be in him, if the tenant for life confesse the action, this is a forfeiture. Fourthly, if tenant for life plead covinously, to the disherison of him in the reversion, this is a forfeiture. Fifthly, if a stranger bring an action of waste against lessee for life, and he plead *nil wast fait*, this is a forfeiture; or the like.

Passively, as if tenant for life accept a fine of a stranger, *sur confians de droit come ceo*, &c. for hereby he affirms of record, the reversion to be in a stranger.

*Littleton* here speaketh of the forfeiture of an estate; and here it is to be knowen, that the right of a particular estate may be forfeited also, and that he that hath but a right of a remainder or reversion, shall take benefit of the forfeiture. As if tenant for life be disseised, and hee levie a fine to the disseisor, he in the reversion or remainder shall presently enter upon the disseisor for the forfeiture. And so it is if the lessee after the disseisin had levied a fine to a stranger, though to some respects, *partes finis nihil babuerunt*, yet it is a forfeiture of his right.

*Littleton* here speaketh of an alienation in fee absolutely, but so it is if the lessee for life make a lease for any other man's life, or a gift in taile. If *A.* be tenant for life, and make a lease to *B.* for his life, and *B.* dieth, and the lessee re-entreteth, yet the forfeiture remaineth.

If tenant for life make a lease for life, or a gift in taile, or a feoffment in fee, upon condition, and entreteth for the condition broken, yet the forfeiture remaineth. *Littleton* speaketh of an estate for life; so it is of tenant in taile *apres possibilite*, tenant by the courtesie, tenant in dower, or of him that hath an estate to him and his heires, during the life of *L. S.* &c. and so of tenant for yeares, tenant by statute merchant, statute staple, or *elegit*.

*Littleton* saith, that where the alienation in fee is made to another, which must be intended a stranger, for if it be made to him in reversion or remainder, it amounts to a surrender of his estate, as at large hath beene spoken in the chapter of tenant for life.

By *Littleton* it appeareth, that tenant for life in remainder may enter for the forfeiture of the first tenant for life, and that if the tenant for life in remainder make continuall claime, and the alienee die seised, then may he in the remainder for life enter; and if he die before he do enter, then he in the remainder in fee shall enter, because he in the remainder in fee could not make any claime; and therefore the right of entrie, which tenant for life in remainder gained by his entrie, shall goe to him in the remainder in fee, in respect of the privie of estate: and so it is of him in the reversion in fee in like case, for he is also privie in estate.

If two joyntenants be disseised, and the one of them make continuall claime and dieth, the survivor shall take benefit of his continuall claime in respect of the privie of their estate.

But if tenant for life make continuall claime, this shall not give any benefit to him in the remainder, unlesse the disseisor died in the life of tenant for life, for the cause abovesaid, *Sectione 414.*

If tenant in taile, the remainder in fee with garrantie, have judgement to recover in value, and dieth before execution without issue, he in the remainder shall sue execution, for he hath right thereunto, and is privie in estate.

In the same manner, if a feignorie be granted by fine to one for life, the remainder in fee, the grantee for life dieth, he in the remainder shall have a *per que servitia*, for he hath right to the remainder, and is privie in estate. Here also it appeareth, that none can make continuall claime, but he that hath right to enter.

Sect. 417.

*MES est a veier a toy (mon fits) coment et en quel manner tiel continuall claime serra fait: et ceo bien apprender, trois choses sont a intender. La 1. chose*

**BUT** it is to be seene of thee (my son) how and in what manner such continuall claime shall be made: and to learne this wel, three things are to be understood. The first

*SI home ad cause d'entrer en aucuns terres outenements, &c.*

It is not sufficient to tell one generally what he should doe, but to direct him how, and in what manner he shall doe it, as *Littleton* doth in this place. And here, the generall rules of our author are to be understood.

21. E. 3. 14. a. 5. E. 4. 2.  
21. H. 8. For. Br. 87. li. 2.  
fol. 55. 55. Buckler's case.  
27. E. 3. 77. 17. E. 3. 7. a.  
39. E. 3. 16. 29. E. 3. 24.  
5. Aff. 5. 5. E. 3. entr. cong. 4.  
14. E. 3. recent 135. 3. E. 3. 32.  
24. E. 3. 68. 1. H. 7.  
(1. Roll. Abr. 852. 3. Rep. 4. b.  
1. Leo. 204. 9. Rep. 106.)

3 Mar. Dy. 148.

Lib. 2. fol. 55. Buckler's case.

13. E. 4. 4.

(Ant. 202. b.)  
39. Aff. 15. 43. F. 3. ent. cong. 30.  
2. H. 5. 7. 39. E. 3. 16. 45. b. 3.  
25.  
(Ant. 28. a. 42. a.)

(1. Roll. Abr. 630.)

(Flo. 255. b. 959. a. 2. Infl. 518.  
3. Rep. 91. a.)





(Post. 263. b.)

This hath bene adjudged,  
Mich. 14. & 15. Eliz. Rot. 1458.  
in the Earl of Arundell's case.

(4. Leo. 8.)  
(1. Leo. 36.)

(1. Roll. Abr. 733.)  
(1. Leo. 51.)

7. Aff. 18. 12. E. 4. 10.  
36. H. 6. 27. 32. Aff. pl. 1.

11. H. 7. 25. Dyer. 16. El.  
337.

5. H. 7. 7. 4. E. 4. 19.  
12. E. 4. 11. 3.  
(Ant. 52. 180. b.)  
(10. Rep. Lampet's case.)  
(Blo. Com. 91.)

stood, that the entrie of a man, to recontinue his inheritance or freehold, must ensue his action for recoverie of the same. As if three men disseise me severally, of three severall acres of land, being all in one countie, and I enter in one acre, in the name of all the three acres, this is good for no more but for that acre which I entered into, because each disseisor is a severall tenant of the freehold, and as I must have severall actions against them, for the recoverie of the land, so mine entrie must be severall.

And so it is if one man disseise mee of three acres of ground, and letteth the same severally to three persons for their lives, &c. there the entrie upon one lessee, in the name of the whole, is good for no more than that acre that he hath in his possession. But if the disseisor had lettten severally the said three acres to three persons for yeares, there the entrie upon one of the lessees, in the name of all the three acres, shall recontinue and revest all the three acres in the disseisee, for that the disseisee might have had one assise against the disseisor, because he remained tenant of the freehold for all the three acres, and therefore one entrie shall serve for the whole.

If one disseise me of one acre at one time, and after disseise me of another acre in the same countie at another time, in this case mine entrie into one of them in the name of both is good; for that one assise might be brought against him for both disseisins.

But if I infeoffe one of one acre of ground upon condition, and at another time I infeoffe the same man of another acre in the same countie upon condition also, and both the conditions are broken, an entrie into one acre in the name of both is not sufficient, for that I have no right to the land, nor action to recover the same, but a bare title, and therefore severall entries must be made into the same, in respect of the severall conditions. But an entrie in one part of the land, in the name of all the land subject to one condition, is good, although the parcels be severall, and in severall townes. And so note a diversitie betweene severall rights of entrie, and severall titles of entrie, by force of a condition (1).

*Deins mesme la countie.* For if the lands lye in severall counties, there must be severall actions, and consequently severall entries, as hath bene said.

*En nosme de tout, &c.* If one disseise me of two severall acres in one countie, and I enter into one of them generally, without saying, In the name of both; this shall revest only that acre wherein entrie is made, as hath bene said; and that is proved by our bookes, which say, that if I bring an assise of two acres, if I enter into one hanging the writ, albeit it shall revest that only acre, yet the writ shall abate.

*Dont il ad title d'entrie.* Here in a large sense, title of entrie is taken for a right of entrie.

*est, si home ad cause d'entre en ascuns terres ou tenements que sont en divers villes deins un mesme countie, s'il enter en un parcel de les terres ou tenements que sont en un ville, en nosme de tous ses terres ou tenements as queux il ad droit d'enter deins tous les villes de mesme le countie; \* per tiel entrie il avera auxy bone possession et seisin de † tous terres ou tenements dont il ad title d'entrie, sicome il avoit enter ‡ en fait en chescun parcel: et ceo semble grand reason.*

thing is, if a man hath cause to enter into any lands or tenements in divers townes in one same countie, if he enter into one parcell of the lands or tenements which are in one towne, in the name of all the lands or tenements into the which he hath right to enter within all the townes of the same countie; by such entrie he shall have as good a possession and seisin of all the lands and tenements whereof he hath title of entrie, as if hee had entred in deed into every parcell: and this seemeth great reason.

Seft.

\* et added in T. and M. and Roh.

† tous ---- tiels, L. and M. and Roh.

‡ en fait not in L. and M. nor Roh.

(1) The entry for a condition broken has been discussed in the preceding chapter, and the commentary and notes upon it. In the notes to the chapter of Discontinuances, some observations will be made on entries of feoffees, or vassals, for the purpose of reverting uses. With respect to entries for avoiding fines, there were four modes of avoiding a fine at the common law; two by matter of record, and two by acts *in pais*. Those by matter of record were, a real action commenced within a year and a day after the fine was levied, and an entry of a claim on the record at the foot of the fine itself, in this manner, *talis venit et apponit clamorem suum*. Those by acts *in pais* were a lawful entry upon the land by the person who had a right; and if that could not be done, a continual claim. But by the statute of 4. H. 7. all those who are affected by a fine must pursue their title by way of action, or lawful entry: so that a claim entered on the record of a fine would now be ineffectual. The actual entry must be made by the person who has a right to the lands, or some one appointed by him, either by preceding command or subsequent assent, within five years. See Plowd. 355. 359. 2. Inst. 518. 3. Rep. 91. 2. Inst. 518. 2. Bla. Rep. 994. — By the statute of 4. Ann. c. 16. sect. 16. it is enacted, "That no claim or entry to be made of or upon any lands, tenements, or hereditaments, shall be of any force or effect to avoid any fine levied, or to be levied, with proclamations, according to the form of the statute in that case made and provided, in the court of common pleas; or in the courts of sessions in any of the counties palatine, or in the courts of grand sessions in Wales, shall be a sufficient entry or claim within the statute of limitations, unless upon such entry or claim an action shall be commenced within one year next after the making of such entry or claim, and prosecuted with effect."

## Sect. 418.

**CAR** si home voile enfeoffer un auter sans fait de certaine terres ou tenements que il ad deins plusours villes en un countie, et il voile liverer seisin al feoffee de parcel de tenements deins un ville en nosme de tous les terres ou tenements que il ad en mesme le ville, et en les auters villes, &c. tous les dits tenements, &c. passent per force de le dit livery de seisin a celuy a que tiel feoffement en tiel maner est fait, et uncore celuy a que tiel livery de seisin fuit fait, n'avoit droit \* en tous les terres ou tenements en tous les villes, mes per cause de livery de seisin fait de parcel de les terres ou tenements en un ville : à multò fortiori, il semble bone reason que quant home ad title d'enter en les terres ou tenements en divers villes deins un mesme county, devant ascun entry per luy fait, que per l'entry fait per luy en parcel de les terres en un ville, en le nosme de tous les terres et tenements as queux il ad title d'enter deins mesme le countie, ceo † vest un seisin de tous en luy, et per tiel entry il ad possession et seisin en fait, sicome il avoit enter en chescun parcel, &c.

**FOR** if a man will enfeoffe (9. Rep. 136. b.) another without deed of certain lands or tenements which he hath in many townes in one countie, and he will deliver seisin to the feoffee of parcell of the tenements within one towne in the name of all the lands or tenements which he hath in the same towne, and in other townes, &c. all the said tenements, &c. passe by force of the said livery of seisin to him to whom such feoffment in such manner is made, and yet hee to whom such livery of seisin was made, hath no right in all the lands or tenements in all the townes, but by reason of the livery of seisin made of parcell of the lands or tenements in one towne : à multò fortiori, it seemeth good reason that when a man hath title to enter into the lands or tenements in divers townes in one same county, before entry by him made, that by the entry made by him into parcell of the lands in one towne, in the name of all the lands and tenements to which he hath title to enter within the same county, this shall vest a seisin of all in him, and by such entry hee hath possession and seisin in deed, as if he had entred (2. Rep. 31.) into every parcell.

**THIS** is evident, but here is a diversity betweene a feoffment and an entry ; for a man may (38. E. 3. 11. 38. Aff. 23.) make a feoffment of lands in another county, and make livery of seisin within the view, albeit he might peaceably enter and make actuall livery ; and so may he shew the recognitors in an assise, the view of lands in another county ; but a man cannot make an entry into lands within the view where he may enter without any feare (for it is (\*) one thing to invest, and another to devest), as hereafter shall be said in the Section next following. (\*) Vid. Sect. next following.

*A multò fortiori.* Or à minore ad majus, is an argument frequent in our author, (Vid. Sect. 438.) and in our bookes, the force of argument in this place standing thus : if it be so in a feoffment passing a new right, much more it is for the restitution of an antient right, as the worthier and more respected in law, which holdeth affirmatively, as our author here teacheth us.

The three (&c.) in this Section need no explication.

Sect.

\* en—u, L. and M. and Rob.

† vest—est, L. and M. and Rob.

Sect. 419.

Vide the Sect. preceding.  
(2. Roll. Abr. 124. 2. Infl. 463.)

7. E. 4. 21. 39. H. 6. 5.

(9. Rep. 13.)  
39. E. 3. 28. 11. R. 2. tit. dures 2.  
12. H. 4. 19. 20.

Braet. lib. 2. fol. 16. b. Britton  
fol. 19. 66. Fleta lib. 3. cap. 7.  
and lib. 2. cap. 54. 49. E. 3. 14.  
14. H. 4. 13. 39. Aff. 11.  
11. H. 6. 51. 38. H. 6. 27.  
39. H. 6. 36. 5. 20. H. 6. 28.  
4. E. 4. 17. 12. E. 4. 7. 28. H. 6. 8.  
41. E. 3. 9. 11. H. 4. 6.  
8. Aff. 25. Vid. Sect. 424.  
W. 2. cap. 49. 13. H. 4. dures 20.

Vid. Sect. 373.

11. H. 6. 51.  
(Post. 256. b.)

Vid. Sect. 412. Pl. Com. 93. in  
Aff. de thitorer. The parson  
of Honylanc's case.

HERE is to be observed, that every doubt or feare is not sufficient, for it must concerne the safety of the person of a man, and not his houses or goods; for if hee feare the burning of his houses, or the taking away or spoiling of his goods, this is not sufficient, because hee may recover the same, or dammages to the value without any corporall hurt.

Again, if the feare doe concern the person, yet it must not bee a vaine feare, but such as may befall a constant man; as if the aduerse partie lie in wait in the way with weapons, or by words menace to beat, mayhem, or kill him; that would enter; and so in pleading must hee shew some just cause of feare, for feare of it selfe is internall and secret. But in a speciall verdict, if the jurors doe finde that the disseisee did not enter for feare of corporall hurt, this is sufficient, and shall be intended that they had evidence to prove the same. *Talis enim debet esse metus qui cadere potest in virum constantem, et qui in se continet mortis periculum, et corporis cruciatum. Et nemo tenetur se infortunius et periculis exponere.*

And it seemeth that feare of imprisonment is also sufficient, for such a feare sufficeth to avoid a bond or a deed; for the law hath a speciall regard to the safety and liberty of a man. And imprisonment is a corporall damage, a restraint of liberty, and a kind of captivity. But see in the Second Part of the Institutes, *W. 2. cap. 49.* a notable diversity betweene a claime or an entry into land, and the avoidance of an act or deed for feare of battery.

*Per tiel claime il ad un possession et seisin, &c.* Here is to be observed, that there be two manner of entries, *viz.* an entry in deed, and an entry in law. An entry in deed is sufficiently knowne. An entry in law is when such a claime is made as is here expressed, which entry in law is as strong and as forcible in law as an entry in deed. and that as well where the lands are in the hands of one by title as by wrong. And therefore upon such an entry in law an assise doth lie, as well as upon an entry in deed, and such an entry in law shall avoid a warranty, &c.

But here is a diversity to be observed betweene an entry in law and an entry in deed, for that a continuall claime of the disseisee being an entry in law, shall vest the possession and seisin in him for his advantage, but not for his disadvantage. And therefore if the disseisee bring an assise, and hanging the assise he make continuall claime, this shall not abate the assise, but he shall recover dammages from the beginning; but otherwise it is of an entry in deed. See more of this matter after in this chapter, Sect. 422.

LE second chose est a entendre, que si home ad title d'enter en ascuns terres ou tenements, s'il ne oFAST enter en mesmes les terres ou tenements, ne en ascun parcel de ceo per doubt de battery, ou per doubt de mayhem, ou per doubt de mort, s'il alast et approch auxy pres les tenements come il oFAST pur tiel doubt, et claime per parol les tenements estre les soens, maintenant per tiel claime il ad un possession et seisin en les tenements, auxy bien come \* s'il uST enter en fait, coment que il n'avoit unque possession ou seisin de mesme les † terres ou tenements devant le dit claime.

THE second thing to be understood is, that if a man hath title to enter into any lands or tenements, if he dares not enter into the same lands or tenements, nor into any parcell thereof for doubt of beating, or for doubt of mayming, or for doubt of death, if he goeth and approach as neere to the tenements as hee dare for such doubt, and by word claime the lands to bee his, presently by such claime he hath a possession and seisin in the lands, as well as if hee had entered indeed, although hee never had possession or seisin of the same lands or tenements before the said claime.

Sect.

\* si not in L. and M. nor Roll.

† terres or not in L. and M. nor Roll.

Sect. 420.

**ET** que la ley est tiel, il est bien prove per un plee d'un assise en le liver d'assise, an. 38. E. 3. p. \* 32. le tenor de quel ensuist en tiel forme. En le county de Dorset, devant les justices, trove fuit per verdict d'assise, que le plaintif que avoit droit per discent de heritage d'aver les tenements mis en plaint, al temps del morant son aneester fuit demurrant en le ville ou les tenements fueront, † et per parolx claime les tenements enter ses vicines, mes pur doubt de mort il n'osa approcher les tenements, mes port l'assise, et sur cest matter trove, agard fuit que il recovers, &c.

**AND** that the law is so, it is well proved by a plea of an assise in the booke of assises, an. 38. E. 3. p. 32. the tenor whereof followeth in this manner. In the county of Dorset, before the justices, it was found by verdict of assise, that the plaintiff which had right by discent of inheritance to have the tenements put in plaint, at the decease of his ancestor was abiding in the towne where the tenements were, and by paroll claimed the tenements amongst his neighbours, but for feare of death hee durst not approach the tenements, but bringeth his assise, and upon this matter found, it was awarded that he should recover, &c.

**H**ERE it appeareth that our booke cases are the best proofes what the law is, *Argumentum ab autoritate est fortissimum in lege.* And for proofe of the law in this particular case, *Littleton* here citeth a case in 38. E. 3. but it is misprinted, for the originall, according to the truth, is in the Booke of *Assises*, 38. E. 3. p. 23. and not *placito* 32. for there be not so many pleas in that yeare. And after the example of *Littleton*, booke cases are principally to be cited for deciding of cases in question, and not any private opinion, *teste meipso.* More shall be said of the matter implied in this Section in the next following.

Sect. 421.

**LA** tierce chose est a entendre deins quel temps ‡ et per quel temps le claime que est dit continual claime servera et aidera celuy que fist le claime, et ses heires. Et quant a ceo est ascavoir, que celuy que ad title d'enter, quant il voit faire son claime, si il osast approcher la

**THE** third thing is to know within what time and by what time the claim which is said continual claime shall serve and aid him that maketh the claime, and his heires. And as to this it is to be understood, that hee which hath title to enter, when he will make his claime, if hee dare approach the land, then

**CO**vient a luy d'aller et approcher auxipres, &c. By this it should seeme, that by the authority of our author, if the disseise commeth as neere to the land as hee dare, &c. and maketh his claime, this should be sufficient, albeit he be not within the view.

And the great authority of the booke in 9. H. 4. (being by the whole court) is not against this; for that case is put where there is no such feare, as here our author mentioneth, in him that makes the continual claime, and

\* p. 32. not in L. and M. nor Roh. † & c. added L. and M. and Roh. ‡ et per quel temps not in L. and M. nor Roh.

and then he that makes the continuall claime ought to bee within the view of the land; and therefore the authoritie of this booke, as it is commonly conceived, is not against the opinion of our author in the point aforesaid. But then it is further objected, that the said booke is against another opinion of our author in this Section, viz. that where there is no feare, &c. hee that maketh a continuall claime

*terre, donques il covient aler a la terre, ou a parcel de ceo, \* et faire son claime; et s'il n'osast approcher la terre pur doubt ou pavor de batterie, ou maybem, ou mort, donques covient a luy d'aler et approcher auxypres come il osast vers laterre, ou † parcel de ceo, ‡ a faire son claime.*

he ought to goe to the land, or to parcell of it, and make his claime; and if hee dare not approach the land for doubt or feare of beating, or maiming, or death, then ought hee to goe and approach as neere as hee dare towards the land, or parcell of it, to make his claime.

\* 12. H. 6. 51. agreeth with our author in this point.

(3. Rep. 25. Ant. 15. Ant. 245.)

Vid. Sect. 277.

To this it is answered, that where a continuall claime shall devest any estate in any other person in any lands or tenements, there, as it hath beene said, he that maketh the claime ought to enter into the land, or some part thereof, according to the opinion of our author: but where the claime is not to devest any estate, but to bring him that maketh it into actuall possession, there a claime within the view sufficeth; as upon a discent, the heire having the freehold in law may claime land within the view to bring himselfe into actuall possession, and in that sense is the opinion of *Hull* and the court to be intended. *Et sic in similibus.* But yet the entry into some parcell in the name of the residue is the surest way. (1)

Sect. 422.

Vid. Sect. 385. 426. 9. H. 4. 5. 14. H. 4. 36. 7. E. 3. 37. Pl. Com. 356. 357. 367. Muror, cap. 2. s. 18. Britton, fol. 45. b. & 126.

**DEINS** *l'an et le jour.*

It is to bee observed, that the law in many cases hath limited a yeare and a day to be a legall and convenient time for many purposes. As at the common law, upon a fine or finall judgement given in a writ of right, the party grieved had a yeare and a day to make his claime. So the wife or heire hath a yeare and a day to bring an appeale of death. If a villeine remained in ancient demesne a yeare and a day, he is privileged. If a man be wounded or poysoned, &c. and dieth thereof within the yeare and the day, it is felony. By the ancient law if the feoffee of a disseisor had continued a yeare and a day, the entry of the disseisor for his negligence had beene taken away. After judgement given in a reall action, the plaintife within the yeare and the day may have a *habere facias seisinam*, and in an action of debt, &c. a *capias, fieri facias*, or a *levari facias*. A protection shall be allowed but for a yeare and a day, and no longer, and in many other cases.

**ET** *si son adversarie que occupia le terre, morust seisie en fee, ou en fee taile, deins l'an et le jour apres tiel claim, per que les tenements descendent a son fits come heire a luy, uncore poit celuy que fist le claime entrer sur le possession le heire, † &c.*

**AND** if his adversary who occupieth the land, dieth feised in fee, or in fee taile, within the yeare and a day after such claime, whereby the lands descend to his sonne as heire to him, yet may hee which make the claime enter upon the possession of the heire, &c.

(Post. 262. a.)

(Ant. 130. b.)

Vi. Sect. 385.

But this time of a yeare and a day in case of continuall claime is, since our author wrote, altered by the said statute of 32. H. 8. ca. 33. as before it appeareth.

Sect.

\* a added in L. and M. and Roh.

† a—tt, L. and M. and Roh.

‡ &c. not in L. and M. nor Roh.

(1) Even where a declaration in ejectment is delivered, though the defendant appears to it, and confesses lease, entry, and ouster, yet, to avoid a fine, there must be an actual entry. This was very solemnly determined in the king's bench, in the case of *Berrington v. Packhurst*; and by the lords on appeal in 1738. See 2. Stra. 1086. 4. Bro. Par. Caf. 353. This doctrine has been twice very expressly recognized;—first, in the case of *Wigfall v. Brydon*, 3. Burr. 1895; and since in the case of *Goodright v. Cator*, Doug. 460. In that case, lord Mansfield states the distinction to be, that where entry is necessary to complete the landlord's title, there the confession of lease, entry, and ouster, is sufficient; but that where it is requisite in order to rebut the defendant's title, actual entry must be made. The latter is the case where a fine is to be avoided.

Sect. 423.

*MES en cest cas apres l'an et le jour que tiel claime fuit fait, \* si le pere donques morust seisi ademaine procheine apres l'an et le jour, ou † un auter jour apres, &c. donques ne poit celuy que fist le claime entrer: et pur ceo si celuy que fist le claime voit estre sure a tous temps que son entre ne serra toll per tiel discent, &c. il covient a luy que deins l'an et le jour apres le primer claime ‡ fait, de faire un auter claime en le forme avantdit, et deins l'an et le jour apres le second claime || fait, de faire le tierce claime en mesme le maner, et deins l'an et le jour de le tierce claime de faire un auter claime, et issint ouster, cest asca-voir, de faire un claime deins chescun an et jour procheine apres chescun claime fait durant la vie son adversarie, et donques a que-  
cunques temps que son adversarie morust seisi, son entrie ne serra tolle per nul tiel discent. Et tiel claime en tiel maner § fait, est plus communement prise et nosme Continual Claime de luy que fist le claime.*

**BUT** in this case after the yeare and the day that such claime was made, if the father then died seised the morrow next after the yeare and the day, or any other day after, &c. then cannot hee which made the claime enter: and therefore if hee which made the claime will be sure at all times that his entrie shall not be taken away by such discent, &c. it behoveth him that within the yeare and the day after the first claime made, to make another claime in forme aforefaid, and within the yeare and the day after the second claime made, to make the third claime in the same maner, and within the yeare and the day after the third claime to make another claime, and so over, that is to say, to make a claime within everie yeare and day next after everie claime made during the life of his adversarie, and then at what time soever his adversarie dieth seised, his entrie shall not be taken away by any discent. And such claime in such maner made, is most commonly taken and named Continuall Claime of him which maketh the claime, &c.

**I**T is to be observed, that the yeare and the day shall be so accounted, as the day whereon the claime was made shall be accounted one: as for example, if the claime were made 2. die Martii, that day shall be accounted for one; for *Littleton* saith in the Section next before (after the claime made) and then the yeare must end the first day of *March*, and the day after is the second day of *March*.

See for the computation of the yeare, *de anno bisextili*, and of the day naturall and artificiall, and other parts of the yeare, [a] *Bracton*, [b] *Britton*, and [c] *Fleta* excellent matter.

Vid. Sect. 385.  
(Ant. 46. b.)

[a] *Bract.* fol. 264. 344. 359.  
(2. Roll Abr. 1521.)  
[b] *Britton.* fol. 209.  
[c] *Fleta*, lib. 6. cap. 11.  
Statute de anno Bisextili.  
21. H. 3. Dier 17. Eliz. 345.

Sect. 424.

*MES uncore en le cas avantdit, lou son adver-*

**BUT** yet in the case aforefaid, where his adversarie dieth *sarie*

\* si nul auter clayme fuist fait, added in L. and M. and Roh.

† fait not in L. and M. nor Roh.

|| fait not in L. and M. nor Roh.

† a added in L. and M. and Roh.

§ d'estre added in L. and M. and Roh.

*sarie morust deins l'an et la jour procheine apres le \* claime, ceo est en ley un continual claime, entant que l'adversarie deins l'an et le jour procheine apres mesme la claime morust. Car il ne besoigne a celuy que fist son claime de faire ascun auter claime, mes a quel temps que il † voit deins mesme l'an et jour, &c.*

within the yeare and the day next after the claime, this is in law a continual claime, infomuch as his adversarie within the yeare and the day next after the same claime dieth. For hee which made his claime needeth not to make any other claime, but at what time hee will within the same yeare and day, &c.

Vid. Sect. 414.

This is evident.

## Sect. 425.

Vid. Stat. 32. II. 8. c. 33.)

*ITEM, si l'adversarie soit disseise deins l'an et le jour apres tiel claime, et le disseisor ent morust seise deins l'an et le jour, &c. tiel morant seise ne grievera my celuy que fist le claime, mes que il poit enter, &c. Car quecunque soit que morust seise deins l'an et le jour procheine apres tiel claime fait, ceo ne grievera my celuy que fist le claime, mes que il poit enter, &c. coment que fueront plusors morant seise, et plusors discents deins mesme l'an et le jour, &c.*

**A**L SO, if the adversarie be disseised within the yeare and the day after such claime, and the disseisor thereof dieth seised within the yeare and the day, &c. such dying seised shall not grieve him which made the claime, but that he may enter, &c. For whosoever hee be that dieth seised within the yeare and the day after such claim made, this shall not hurt him that made the claime, but that hee may enter, &c. albeit there were many dyings seised, and many discents within the same yeare and day, &c.

**H**ERE it appeareth, that the continual claime doth not only extend to the first disseisor, in whose possession it was made, but to any other disseisor, that dieth seised within the yeare and day after the continual claime made. And whereas our author speaketh of a second disseisor, &c. herein is likewise implied not only abators and intruders, but the feoffees or donees of the disseisors, abators, or intruders, and any other feoffee or donee immediate or mediate, dying seised within the yeare and day, of such continual claime made.

## Sect. 426.

*ITEM, si home soit disseise, et le disseisor morust seise deins l'an et le jour prochein apres le disseisin fait, per que les tenements descendont a son*

**A**L SO, if a man be disseised, and the disseisor dieth seised within the yeare and day next after the disseisin made, whereby the tenements descend to his heire, in this  
*beire,*

\* primer added L. and M. and Roh.

† voit not in L. and M. nor Roh.

*beire, en cest case l'entrie le dissei- case the entrie of the disseisee is  
see est toll, car l'an et le jour que taken away, for the yeare and day  
aidroit le disseisee en tiel case\*, which should aid the disseisee in  
ne serra pris de temps de tittle such case, shall not bee taken from  
d'entre a luy accrue, mes tantsole- the time of tittle of entrie accrued  
ment de temps del claime per luy unto him, but only from the time  
fait en le manner avantdit. Et pur of the claime made by him in man-  
cel cause il serroit bone pur tiel dis- ner aforesaid. And for this cause it  
seisee pur faire son claime † en shall be good for such disseisee to  
auxy breve temps que il pouvoit make his claime in as short time  
apres le disseisin, &c.* as he can after the disseisin, &c.

**T**HIS in case of a disseisor is now holpen by the statute made since *Littleton* wrote, 32. H. 8. cap. 38.  
as hath beene said; for if the disseisor die seised within five yeares after the disseisin, Vide sect. 385. 428.  
though there be no continuall claime made, it shall not take away the entrie of the disseisee, (Ant. 238. a.)  
but after the five yeares there must be such continuall claime as was at the common law; but that statute extendeth not to any feoffee or donee of the disseisor immediate or me-  
diate, but they remaine still at the common law, as hath beene said.

Sect. 427.

*ITEM, si tiel disseisor occupia la terre per xl. ans, ou per † plusors ans, sans ascun claime fait per le disseisee, &c. § et le disseisee per petit space devaunt le mort del disseisor fait un claime en le forme avantdit, si issint fortunast que deins l'an et le jour apres tiel claime le disseisor morust, &c. l'entrie le disseisee est congeable, &c. Et pur ceo il serroit bone pur tiel home que ne fist claime, que ad bone tittle d'entrie ||, quant il oyet que son adversarie gist languishment, de faire son claime, &c.* **A**LSO, if such disseisor occupieth the lands fortie yeares, or more yeares, without any claime made by the disseisee, &c. and the disseisee a little before the death of the disseisor makes a claime in the forme aforesaid, if so it fortuneth that within the yeare and the day after such claime the disseisor die, &c. the entrie of the disseisee is congeable, &c. And therefore it shall bee good for such a man which hath not made claime, and which hath good tittle of entrie, when hee heareth that his adversarie lieth languishing, to make his claime, &c.

**T**HIS is evident enough, and in respect of that which hath beene said, needeth not to be explained.

Sect. 428.

*ITEM, sicome est dit en les cases mises, lou home* **A**LSO, as it is said in the cases put, where a man hath **H**ERE title is taken in his large sense to include a right. *Ascun auter tittle, &c.*

\* &c. added L. and M. † &c. added L. and M. ‡ plus added L. and M. § et not in L. and M. || &c. added L. and M.



*vide*

Et. Here is implied abators or intruders, and not only their disseisors, but the feoffees or donees of disseisors, abators, or intruders, or any other so long as the entrie is congeable.

*ad title d'entre pur* of entrie by cause of  
*cause d'un disseisin,* a disseisin, &c. the  
Et. *mesme la ley* same law is where a  
*est lou home ad droit* man hath right to en-  
*d'entre per cause de* ter by cause of an-  
*ascun auter title, Et.* other title, &c.

Sect. 429.

**I**TEM, de les dits \* *presidents* poies scaver (mon fits) deux choses. Un est, leu home ad title d'entre sur un tenant en le taile, s'il fist un tiel claime a la terre, donques est l'estate taile defeat, car cel claime est come entre fait per luy, et est de mesme l'effeet en ley, sicome il fuisset sur mesmes tenements, et ust entrer en mesmes les tenements, come devant est dit. † Et donques quant le tenant en le taile immediate puis tiel claime continua son occupation en les tenements, ceo est un disseisin fait de mesmes les tenements a celuy que fist tiel claime, et sic per consequens, le tenant adonques ad fee simple.

**A**LSO, of the said foresaying thou mayst know (my sonne) two things. One is, where a man hath title to enter upon a tenant in taile, if he maketh such a claime to the land, then is the estate taile defeated, for this claime is as an entrie made by him, and is of the same effect in law, as if he had bin upon the same tenements, and had entred into the same, as before is said. And then when the tenant in taile immediately after such claime continue his occupation in the lands, this is a disseisin made of the same tenements to him which made such claime, and so by consequent, the tenant then hath a fee simple.

*Presidents.* This should be *precedents*, and so is the originall, and this agreeth with the right sense of *Littleton*.

(Ant. 233.)

And here it appeareth, that a continuall claime, which is an entrie in law, is as strong as an entrie in deed.

Vide Sect. 650. and 659, &c.

*Title de entrie.* Here *title de entrie* is taken in the large sense for right of entrie.

Sect. 430.

**L**E second chose est, que auxy sovent que il que ad droit d'entre fait tiel claime, † et ceo nient contristeant son adversary continua son occupation, § auxy sovent l'adversary fait tort et disseisin a celuy que fist le claime. Et

**T**HE second thing is, that as often as hee which hath right of entrie maketh such claime, and this notwithstanding his adversary continue his occupation, so often the adversary doth wrong and disseisin to him which made the claime.  
*pur*

\* *dites precedents* L. and M.

† Et not in L. and M. nor Roh. § Et. added L. and M. and Roh.

‡ et ceo—Et. L. and M. and Roh.

*pur cel cause auxy sevent poit ce-  
luy que fist \* mesme le claime pur  
chescun tiel tort et disseisin fait a  
luy, aver un brieve de trespasse, +  
Quare clausum fregit, &c. et re-  
covera ses damages, &c.*

And for this cause so often may hee  
which makes the same claime for  
every such wrong and disseisin done  
unto him, have a writ of trespasse,  
*Quare clausum fregit, &c.* and re-  
cover his dammages, &c.

**H**EREBY also it appeareth, that an entry in law is equivalent to an entry in deed.  
*Avera breve de trespasse, quare clausum fregit, et recovera ses*

*damages.* The disseisee shall have an action of trespasse against the disseisor, and recover  
his dammages for the first entry without any regresse, but after regresse he may have an ac-  
tion of trespasse with a *continuando*, and recover as well for all the meane occupation as for  
the first entry. And here note, that *Littleton* doth here include costs within dammages.

(2. Roll. Abr. 550. 1. Rep. 98.  
1. Leo. 302. 20. H. 6. 15.  
38. H. 6. 27.)

Sect. 431.

**O**U il poit aver un  
*brieve sur le sta-  
tute le roy Richard le  
second, fait l'an de son  
raigne 5. supposant per  
son brieve que son ad-  
versary avoit entrer  
en les terres + ou tene-  
ments celuy que fist  
le claime, ou son en-  
try ne fuit pas done  
per la ley, &c. et per  
tiel action il recove-  
ra ses dammages,  
&c. Et si le case fuit  
tiel, que l'adversary  
occupiast les tene-  
ments ove force et  
armes, ou ove multi-  
tude de gents a temps  
de tiel claime, &c. ||  
immediate apres mes-  
me le claime poit ce-  
luy que fist le claime  
pur chescun tiel fait  
aver un brieve de for-  
cible entry, et reco-  
vera ses treble dam-  
mages, &c.*

**O**R he may have a  
writ upon the  
statute of R. 2. made in  
the fifth yeare of his  
reigne, supposing by  
his writ that his ad-  
versarie had entred in-  
to the lands or tene-  
ments of him that  
made the claime,  
where his entry was  
not given by the law,  
&c. and by this ac-  
tion he shall recover his  
dammages, &c. And  
if the case were such,  
that the adversarie oc-  
cupied the tenements  
with force and armes,  
or with a multitude of  
people at the time of  
such claime, &c. im-  
mediately after the  
same claime may hee  
which made the claim  
for every such act have  
a writ of forcible en-  
try, and shall recover  
his treble dammages,  
&c. (1)

**T**HIS is the statute of  
5. R. 2. cap. 7.

*Per tiel action il  
recovera ses dammages.*

This is to be understood,  
that he shall recover damma-  
ges for the first torcious en-  
try, but not for the meane  
profits in this action, though  
he made a regresse. And here  
note, that also he shall recover  
his costs of suit, *expensæ litis*,  
which *Littleton* doth include  
within these words (damma-  
ges, &c.)

(Doc. Pla. 381.)  
37. H. 6. 35. 34. H. 6. 30.  
13. H. 7. 15. 10. H. 6. 14.  
2. E. 4. 18. 21. E. 4. 5. 74.  
13. E. 2. 3. 27. Aff. 64.  
38. Aff. 9. 44. E. 3. 20.  
10. H. 7. 27. Keylwey 1. b.  
5. R. 2. cap. 7.  
(F. N. B. 248. 249.)

*Dammages.* *Damna*  
in the common law hath a  
speciall signification for the  
recompence that is given by  
the jury to the plaintife or  
defendant, for the wrong the  
defendant hath done unto  
him. (2)

2. E. 4. 24. b. 9. E. 4. 4. b.  
16. H. 7. 6. a.

*Multitude.* One or  
more may commit a force,  
three or more may commit an  
unlawfull assembly, a riot or  
a rout. A multitude here  
spoken of (as some have said)  
must be ten or more. *Multitu-  
tulinem decem faciunt.* And so  
(say they) it is said *de grege  
bovinum.* But I could never  
read it restrained by the com-  
mon law to any certaine num-  
ber, but left to the discretion  
of the judges. (3)

(2. Inst. 28). Post. 355. b.  
10. Rep. 115. 116. 11 Rep. 56.)

(3. Inst. 176 Hale's Pl. C. 137.  
(Sec Stat. 1. Geo. 1. c. 4.)

*Un brieve de forcible entrie, et recovera ses treble dammages.* This writ

\* *mesme* not in L. and M. nor Rob. † *Quare clausum fregit, &c. et recovera ses damages, &c. ou il poit aver un brieve,* (the beginning of the next Section) not in L. and M. nor Rob. nor in M.S. before mentioned. It may be here observed, that the older copies of *Littleton* are not divided into Sections, which seem to have been first injudiciously marked by West in the edition 1552, though his divisions have been since retained for the convenience of citation. † *ou—et,* L. and M. and Rob. || *immediate* apres *mesme le claime—donques,* L. and M. and Rob.

(1) Perhaps this passage is now quite accurate. Till the reign of Richard II. the party disseised, if his attempt were made soon after the disseisin, might recover his possession by force; but by a statute passed in the fifth year of that reign, it was enacted, that none from thenceforth should make any entry into lands and tenements, but in cases where entry was given by the law; and in that case, not with a strong hand, nor with a multitude of people, but only in a peaceable and easy manner; and that persons convicted of doing the contrary should be punished by imprisonment, to be ransomed at the king's pleasure. By a statute passed in the fifteenth year of the same reign, it was enacted, that upon complaint of any such forcible entries to the justices of peace, they should take sufficient power of the county, and go to the place where such force was made; and if they found any that held such place forcibly, after such entry made, they should be taken and put into the next gaol, there to abide convicted by the record of the same justices, until they had made fine and ransom to the king. By this it appears, that *Littleton* is equally wrong in his account of the punishment inflicted by that statute, and the offence it intencd to correct. These statutes of the reign of Richard II. have been confirmed, explained, and in some respects extended by the stat. 4. H. 4. ch. 8. 8. H. 6. ch. 9. 23. H. 8. ch. 14. 31. Eliz. ch. 11. and 21. Jac. 1. ch. 15. See Barn. Just. vol. II. 131. It should be observed, that in case an action is brought on these statutes, if the defendant make himself a tale, which is found for him, he shall be dismissed without any enquiry concerning the force; for howsoever he may be punishable at the king's suit, for doing what is prohibited by statute, as a contemner of the laws and disturber of the peace, yet he shall not be liable to pay any damages for it to the plaintiff, whose injustice gave him the provocation in that manner to fight himself. See 1. Haw. 141. 3. Burr. 1698. 17. 1.

(2) Some observations on the propriety of our law, with respect to damages, costs, and mesne profits, will be offered in a subsequent part of this work.

(3) By the common law three must be *tres* persons at least to constitute a riot. By the 1. Geo. 1. c. 6. *tres* persons at least must be unlawfully assembled, to be within that act. By the 13. Car. 2. st. 1. c. 1. not more than *tres* persons are to be turned to a petition to the king, or either house of parliament, for any alteration of matters established by law in church or state; and no petition is to be delivered by a company of more than *tres* persons. By the bill of rights, or declaration delivered by the lords and commons to the prince and princess of Orange, Feb. 12, 1688, and afterwards enacted in parliament, when they became king and queen, the fifth article is, "That it is the right of the subjects to petition the king, and that all commitments and prosecutions for such petitioning are illegal." Sir William Blackstone expressly says, that the right of the subject to petition, as declared by this statute, is under the 10. cular, a repeal of the 13. Car. 2.

*Handwritten notes in the right margin, including references to 'Littleton' and 'Haw.' and some illegible scribbles.*

## Lib. 3. Cap. 7. Of Continuall Claime, Sect. 432, 433.

8. H. 6. cap. 9. 3. E. 4. 19. 24.  
 F. N. B. 248. 11. E. 4. 11. b.  
 6. H. 7. 12. b. 22. H. 6. 37.  
 19. H. 6. Register 97.  
 22. H. 6. 57. F. N. B. 249. a.  
 (2. Cro. 17. 19. 31. 148. 151.  
 199. 214. 633. 639. 1 Roll.  
 Rep. 406. Sid. 97. 149.  
 Noy 136. 1. Cro. 561.  
 2. Inst. 289. 4. Inst. 176. c. 15.  
 1. Leo. 327.)  
 (15. R. 2 c. 2. 8. H. 6. c. 9.  
 23. H. 8. c. 15. 31. El. c. 11.  
 21. Jac. c. 15.)

10. H. 7. 12.  
 33. H. 6. 20.

is grounded upon the statute of 8. H. 6. and lieth either where one entreth with force, or where he entreth peaceably and detaineth it with force, or where he entreth by force, and detaineth it by force. And in this action without any regress the plaintife shall recover treble dammages, as well for the meane occupation as for the first entry by force of the statute. And albeit he shall recover treble dammages, yet shall he recover costs which shall be trebled also.

One may commit a forcible entry, as hath beene said, in respect of the armour or weapons which he hath that are not usually borne, or if he doe use violence, and threats to the terrour of another. And if three or foure goe to make a forcible entry, albeit one alone use the violence, all are guilty of force. If the master commeth with a greater number of servants than usually attend on him, it is a forcible entrie.

It is to be understood, that there is a force implied in law, as every trespassse and rescous and disseisin implieth a force, and is *vi et armis*; and there is an actuall force, as with weapons, number of persons, &c. and when an entry is made with such actuall force, an action doth lie upon the said statute. See before more of force and armes, Sect. 240.

### Sect. 432.

*ITEM, \* il est a veier, si le ser-  
 vant d'un home que ad title  
 d'enter, poit per le commande-  
 ment son master faire continual  
 claime pur son master ou non.*

**ALSO**, it is to bee seene, if the servant of a man who hath title to enter, may by the commandement of his master make continuall claime for his master or not.

This needeth no explication.

### Sect. 433.

*ET il semble que en ascuns  
 cases il poit ceo faire; car  
 s'il per son commandement vient  
 a ascun parcel de la terre, et la fait  
 claime, &c. en le nosme son ma-  
 ster, cest claime est assets bone pur  
 son master, pur ceo que il fait  
 tout ceo que son master covient  
 faire † ou devoit faire en tiel  
 cas, &c. ‡ Auxy si le master dit a  
 son servant, que il ne oFAST vener  
 a la terre, ne ascun parcel de la  
 terre, pur faire son claime, &c. et  
 que il ne oFAST approcher plus  
 prochein a la terre forsque a tiel  
 lieu appell Dale, et commanda  
 son servant d'aler a mesme le lieu  
 de Dale, et la faire un claime  
 pur luy, &c. si le servant issint  
 fait, &c. ceo semble auxy bone  
 claime pur son master, sicome*

**AND** it seemeth that in some cases he may doe this: for if he by his commandement commeth to any parcell of the land, and there maketh claime, &c. in the name of his master, this claime is good enough for his master, for that he doth all that which his master should or ought to doe in such case, &c. Also if the master saith to his servant, that hee dares not come to the land, nor to any parcell of it, to make his claime, &c. and that he dare approach no neerer to the land then to such a place called Dale, and command his servant to goe to the same place of Dale, and there make a claime for him, &c. if the servant doth this, &c. this also seemeth a good claime for his master, as if his master were there

\* *il-icy*, L. and M. and Roh.

† *ou devoit faire* not in L. and M. nor Roh.

‡ *Auxy* not in L. and M. nor Roh.

*son master la fuit en\* proper person, pur ceo que le servant fist tout ceo que son master ofast et devoit faire per la ley en tiel case, &c.* there in his proper person, for that the servant did all that which his master durst and ought to doe by the law in such a case, &c.

**H**ERE it appeareth that where the servant doth all that which he is commanded, and which his master ought to doe, there it is as sufficient as if his master did it himselfe; for the rule is, *Qui per alium facit, per seipsum facere videtur.*

*Per commandement.* If an infant or any man of full age have any right of entrie into any lands, any stranger in the name and to the use of the infant or man of full age may enter into the lands, and this regularly shall vest the lands in them without any commandement, precedent, or agreement subsequent. (\*) But if a disseisor levy a fine, with proclamation according to the statute, an estranger without a commandement precedent, or an agreement subsequent within the five yeares cannot enter in the name of the disseisor to avoid the fine. And that resolution was grounded upon the construction of the statute of 4. H. 7. cap. 24. But an assent subsequent within the five yeares should be sufficient. *Omnis enim ratihabitio retrotrahitur, et mandato equiparatur,* as hath beene said.

*Auxi si le master dit a son servant que il ne ofast, &c.* Here it appeareth, that where the servant pursueth the commandement of his master, and doth all that which his master durst and ought to doe by the law, this is sufficient. And although the master feareth more than the servant, or admit that the servant hath no feare at all, yet if he goeth as farre as his master durst, and as he commanded, it is sufficient. And this is implied in this Section.

7. E. 3. 69. a. b.  
45. E. 3. Release. 28.  
45. E. 3. tit. Briefe 589.  
20. E. 3. 62. per Thorp.  
11. Aff. p. 11. 39. Aff. p. 18.  
10. H. 7. 12. a.  
31. H. 8. tit. entr. Cong. et tit. Fauxifier recovery 29.  
(\*) Lib. 9. fo. 106. a. the Lord Awdleye's case.

Sect. 434.

*AUXY, si home soit cy languissant, ou cy decrepyte, que il ne poit per nul maner vener a le terre, ne a ascun parcel d'ycel, ou si un recluse soit, que ne poit per cause de son order aler hors de sa meason, † si tiel maner || de person commaunda son servant d'aler et faire claime pur luy, et tiel servant ne ofast aler a le terre, § ne a ascun parcel de ceo, pur doubt de battery, mayhem, ou mort, ¶ &c. et pur cel cause tiel servant vient auxy pres a la terre come il ofast pur tiel*

**A**LSO, if a man be so languishing, or so decrepitate, that he cannot by any meanes come to the land, nor to any parcell of it, or if there bee a recluse, which may not by reason of his order goe out of his house, if such manner of person command his servant to goe and make claime for him, and such servant dare not goe to the land, nor to any parcell of it, for doubt of beating, mayhem, or death, &c. and for this cause the servant commeth as nere to the land as he dareth for such doubt

**R**EGULARLY it is true, (Ant. 52. a.) that where a man doth lesse than the commandement or authority committed unto him, there (the commandement or authority being not pursued) the act is void. And where a man doth that which he is authorized to doe and more, there it is good for that which is warranted, and void for the rest; yet both these rules have divers exceptions and limitations. (1)

For the first, *Littleton* here putteth a case where the servant doth lesse than he is commanded, and yet it sufficeth, for that *Impotentia excusat legem*; for seeing the master cannot, and the servant dare not, enter into the land, it sufficeth that he come as neere to the land as he dare.

If a man makes a letter of attorney to deliver seisin to *J. S.* upon condition, and the attorney delivereth it absolute, this is void: and so some hold if the warrant bee absolute, and hee delivereth seisin

(11ob. 154.)  
(1. Lco. 289.)

11. H. 4. 3.  
12. Aff. 24. 26. Aff. 39.  
(Perk. 38. b. Mo. 280.)

\* son added in L. and M. and Roh.  
|| de not in L. and M.

† parcel not in L. and M. nor Roh.  
§ ne — ou, L. and M. and Roh.

‡ &c. added in L. and M. and Roh.  
¶ &c. not in L. and M. nor Roh.

(1) Where there is a complete execution of a power, and something, *ex abundanti*, added, which is improper, there the execution shall be good, and only the excess void; but where there is not a complete execution of a power, or where the boundaries between the excess and execution are not distinguishable, it will be bad. See *Alexander v. Alexander*, 2. Vcz. 644.

See before Sect. 419.

(2. Inst. 483.)  
(Ant. 243. b.)

46. E. 3. Petition 18.  
34. H. 6. 8.  
43. E. 3. 8. b. 30. a.

seisin upon condition, the liverie is void.  
*Pur battery, mayhem, ou mort.* See the Second Part of the Institutes, W. 2. cap. 49. a diversity betwene the making of an entry or claime, and the avoidance of an act or deed.

*Auterment le master serroit en tresgrand mischiese.* Argumentum ab inconvenienti est validum in lege, quia lex non permittit aliquod inconveniens. And as hath beene often observed before, *Nilil quod est inconveniens est licitum.*

*Recluse, Reclusus, Heremita, seu Anchorita,* so called by the order of his religion; he is so mured or shut up, *quod solus semper sit.* *Scorsim enim et extra conversationem civilem hoc professionis genus semper habitat.* Note here, albeit the recluse or anchorite be shut up himselfe, so as he by his order is not to come out in person, yet to avoid a discent, he must command one to make claime, and such a recluse shall always appeare by attorney in such cases where others must appeare in proper person, *Impotentia enim excusat legem.*

\* *doubt, et fait † le claime, &c. pur son master, il semble que tiel claime pur son master est assés fort, et bon en ley. Car auterment son master serroit en tresgrand mischiese; car il bien poit estre que tiel person que est languissant, decrepite, ou recluse, ne poit trover ascun servant que oast aler a la terre, ne ‡ ascun parcel de cel, pur faire le claime pur luy, &c.*

and maketh the claime, &c. for his master, it seemeth that such claime for his master is strong enough, and good in law. For otherwise his master should bee in a very great mischiese; for it may well be that such person which is sicke, decrepit, or recluse, cannot finde any servant which dare go to the land, or to any parcell of it, to make the claime for him, &c.

Sect. 435.

*MES si le master de tiel servant soit de bone sane, et poit et oast bien aler a les tenements, ou a parcel de ceo, de faire son claime, &c. si tiel master commanda son servant d'aler a ascun parcel de la terre a faire claime pur luy, || et quant le servant est en alant de faire le commandement de son master, il oye per le voy tielx choses que il ne oast vener a ascun parcel de la terre pur faire le claime pur son master, et pur cel cause il vient auxy pres la terre come il oast pur doubt de mort, et la fait claime pur son master, et en le nosme de son master, &c. il semble que le doubt en le ley en tiel case serroit, si tiel claime availera son master ou nemy,*

**B**UT if the master of such servant bee in good health, and can and dare well goe to the lands, or to parcell of it, to make his claime, &c. if such master command his servant to goe to any parcell of the land to make claime for him, and when the servant is in going to doe the commandement of his master, he heareth by the way such things as he dare not come to any parcell of the land to make the claime for his master, and therefore he commeth as neere to the land as he dare for doubt of death, and there maketh claime for his master, and in the name of his master, &c. it seemeth that the doubt in law in such case shall be, whether such claime shall availe his master  
*pur*

\* *doubt --- pavour*, in L. and M. and Roh.  
|| *&c.* added in L. and M. and Roh.

† *le---tiel*, in L. and M. and Roh.

‡ *a* added in L. and M. and Roh.

*pur ceo que le servant ne fist tout* or not, for that the servant did not  
*ceo que son master al temps de* all that which his master at the  
*son commandement osast faire, &c.* time of his commandement durst  
 Quære. have done, &c. *Quære.*

**T**HIS continuall claime is void, for that the servant doth lesse than that which is ex- (9. Rep. 79.)  
 pressly commanded, and there is no impotencie or feare in the master.

Sect. 436.

*ITEM, ascuns ont dit, que lou home est en prison et est disseisie, et le disseisor morust seisie durant le temps que le disseisce est en prison, per que les tenements discedont al heire del disseisor, ils ont dit, que ceo ne noiera my le disseisee que est en prison, mes que il bien poit enter, nient obstant tiel discent, pur ceo que il ne puisset faire continual claime quant il fuit en prison.*

**A**LSO, some have said, that where a man is in prison and is disseised, and the disseisor dieth seised during the time that the disseisee is in prison, whereby the tenements descend to the heire of the disseisor, they have said, that this shall not hurt the disseisee which is in prison, but that he well may enter, notwithstanding such a discent, because hee could not make continuall claim when he was in prison.

**QUANT** *home est en prison et est disseisie.* For if hee bee disseised when he is at large, and the discent is cast during the time of his imprisonment, this discent shall binde him. *Excusatur autem quis quod clameum suum non apposuerit, si tempore litigii in prisona detentus fuerit, ita quod venire non possit, nec mittere, quia nulli vertitur in dubium, et ubi eadem ratio et idem jus erit, ideo videtur quod excusari debet quis si per vim majorem, vel per fraudem, extra prisonam detentus fuerit, ita quod venire non possit nec mittere, dum tamen hoc per certa judicia probari poterit.*

(1. Roll. Abr. 687.)  
 9. H. 7. 24. Pl. Com. 360.  
 Bracton, lib. 5. fol. 436.  
 Britton, fol. 116. b.  
 Fleta, lib. 6. cap. 52. 53. & lib. 6. cap. 7. & 14.

*Pur ceo que il ne poit faire continual claime quant il fuit en prison.*  
 Here it is to bee observed by the authoritie of *Littleton*, that

he is not enforced in this case by law to doe it by his servant or any other by his warrant or commandement, for things done by deputed are seldome well done, but everie man will see his owne bulinesse most effectually speeded and performed: and that it may be once spoken for all, the reason that a man imprisoned shall not be bound in this and the like cases is, for that by the intendment of law he is kept (as it is presumed in law) without intelligence of things abroad, and also that he hath not libertie to goe at large to make entrie or claime, or seeke counsell. And so note a diversitie betweene a recluse who might have intelligence, and a man in prison.

Pl. Com. 360. in Stowel's case.

\* Sect. 437.

*MES l'opinion de tous les justices, p. 11. H. 7. fuit, que si le disseisin soit avant l'enprisonnement, coment que le morant seisie soit il esteant en le prison, son entrie est tolle.*

**B**UT the opinion of all the justices, p. 11. H. 7. was, that if the disseisin bee before the imprisonment, although the dying seised be he being in the prison, his entrie is taken away.

**T**HIS is of a new addition, and mistaken, for there is no such opinion, p. 11. H. 7. but it is, 9. H. 7. fol. 24. b.

II

\* This Section is not in L. and M. nor Roll. nor in the edit. 1577. which is esteemed more correct than the common copies.

Lib. 3. Cap. 7. Of Continuall Claime. Sect. 437, 438.

(Post. 260. a. Ant. 128. b.)  
 (F. N. B. 236. 11. Rep. 8.)  
 (2. Roll. Abr. 803. 804. 2. Inf. 665. 1. Leo. 22. 186.)  
 Mirror cap. 3. Britton, fol. 21.  
 Fleta, lib. 1. cap. 28. & lib. 2. cap. 59. Bacton, lib. 2.  
 2. E. 4. 1. 4. E. 4. 10. 21. E. 4. 73. 11. H. 7. 5. 21. H. 6. 50. 9. H. 4. 3. 21. H. 6. Utlary 36. 7. H. 6. 27. 21. E. 4. 88. 22. E. 4. 37. 18. E. 3. Villenage 47. 21. E. 4. 37. 33. H. 6. 45. 46. 41. E. 3. Villeine 41. 4. H. 4. 19. 11. H. 4. 34. 3. Eliz. Dyer 192. 2. Fliz. 176. 5. Eliz. ibid. 223. 19. H. 6. 2. 8. H. 6. 37. 37. H. 6. 19.  
 (Doc. Pla. 230. 398.)  
 (Ant. 248. b.)  
 8. H. 4. 7. 21. H. 7. 13. 10. H. 6. 58. 20. H. 6. 20. 21. H. 6. 55. 22. H. 6. 18. 39. H. 6. 1. 33. H. 6. 51. 45. 38. H. 6. 33. 21. E. 4. 94. 21. H. 7. 33. 5. H. 7. 1. 12. H. 6. 8. 11. H. 6. 67. 19. 1. E. 4. 2. 27. H. 8. 2. 38. Aff. pl. 17. Vide Sect. 439.

*IL reversera tiel utlagarie.* Nota, the originall is, *reversera tiel utlagarie per brieve de error* (1), and so it would be amended: for outlawries may be reversed two manner of wayes, viz. by plea, or by writ of error. By plea, when the defendant commeth in upon the *capias utlagatum*, &c. hee may by plea reverse the same for matters apparent, as in respect of a *superfedas*, omission of proceffe, variance, or other matter apparent in the record; and yet in these cases some hold, that in another terme the defendant is driven to his writ of error.

But for any matters in fact, as death, imprisonment, service of the king, &c. he is driven to his writ of error, unlesse it be in case of felonie, and there *in favorem vitæ* he may plead it.

But albeit imprisonment be a good cause to reverse an outlawrie, yet it must be by proceffe of law *in invitum*, and not by consent or covin, for such imprisonment shall not avoid the outlawrie, because upon the matter it is his owne act.

*ET auxy, si tiel que est en prison soit utlage in action de debt ou trespasse, ou en appeale de robbetrie, &c. il reversera tiel utlagarie\* envers luy pronounce, &c.*

AND also, if hee which is in prison be outlawed in an action of debt or trespasse, or in an appeale of robbetrie, &c. hee shall reverse this outlawry pronounced against him, &c.

Sect. 438.

5. E. 3. 50. b. 7. H. 6. 38.

Fleta, lib. 6. cap. 67. & 24. Vide W. 2. cap. 48. and the exposition thereof, 2. part Inllit. 4. E. 2. Discent 51.

THIS is evident enough.

*Per brieve d'error.* For hee shall have no writ of discent, because the summons was according to the law of the land, by summoners and veiors, and the land taken into the king's hand by the pernor.

*Per default.* Default is a French word, and *defalta* is legally taken for non-appearance in court. There be divers causes allowed by law for saving a man's default; as, first, by imprisonment, whereof *Littleton* here speaketh. 2. *Per inundationem aquarum.* 3. *Per tempestatem.* 4. *Per pontem fractum.* 5. *Per navigium substractum per fraudem petentis, non enim debet quis se periculis et infortuniis gratis exponere, vel subjacere.* 6. *Per minorem aetatem.* 7. *Per defensionem summonitionis per legem.* 8. *Per mortem attornati si tenens in tempore non novit.* 9. *Si petens essoniatu sit.* 10. *Si placitum mittatur sine die.* 11. *Per breve de warrantia diei.* But sicknesse (as one holds) is no cause of saving a default, because it may be so artificially counterfeited,

*AUXY, si un recove- rie soit † per default vers tiel que est en prison, il avoidera le judgement per brieve de error, pur ceo que il fuit en prison al temps de le default fait, &c. Et pur ceo que tiels matters de record ne noyeront celuy que est en prison, mes que ils ferront reverses, &c. à multo fortiori, il semble que un matter en fait, scilicet, tiel discent ewe quant il fuit en prison ne luy noyera, &c. specialment pur ceo que il ne puisse aler hors de prison pur faire continuall claime, &c.*

ALSO, if a recovery be by default against such a one as is in prison, hee shall avoid the judgement by a writ of error, because he was in prison at the time of the default made, &c. And for that such matters of record shall not hurt him which is in prison, but that they shall be reversed, &c. *à multo fortiori*, it seemeth that a matter in fact, scilicet, such discent had when hee was in prison shall not hurt him, &c. especially seeing he could not goe out of prison to make continuall claime, &c.

Braclon, lib. 5. tracl. 3. Fleta, lib. 6. cap. 7. 14. 3. H. 6. 46. 38. E. 3. 5. 31. H. 6. Barre. 66. 12. H. 4. 13. 50. E. 3. 9. 3. H. 6. 48. 2. H. 4. 8. 5. H. 7. 3. F. N. B. 17. Bracl. lib. 4. fol. 367. 369. Glan. lib. 1. cap. 8. 28. H. 6. 11. 4. H. 5. Chalenge 153. Br. Saver. Def. 45.

(Cro. Eliz. 506.)

Record,

\* *per brieve d'error, &c. pur ceo qu'il fuit en prison al temps d'utlagarie*, added L. and M. and Roh. and in MSS.  
 † *ewe* added L. and M. and Roh.

(1) A writ of error properly lies, where false judgment is given in any court which is a court of record. It was formerly held, that, by the common law, no amendment could be permitted, unless within the very term in which the judicial act so recorded was done. But the courts now allow of amendments at any time while the suit is depending.—After the termination of the suit the judgment can only be reversed by writ of error. From the inferior courts it lies to the king's bench and common pleas;—from the common pleas to the king's bench;—from the king's bench to the house of lords. To amend errors in a high court, not of record, a writ of false judgment lies.—A writ of error only lies upon *matter of law*. There is no method of reversing an error on the determination of facts but by an attainr or a new trial. See Bla. Com. 3. vol. c. 25. f. 3. F. N. B. 20. 4. Inlt. 21.

*Record*, (1) *Recordum*, is a memoriall or remembrance in rolles of parchment; of the proceedings and acts of a court of justice which hath power to hold plea according to the course of the common law, of reall or mixt actions, or of actions *quare vi et armis*, or of personall actions, whereof the debt or dammage amounts to fortie shillings or above, which wee call Courts of Record, and are created by parliament, letters patents, or prescription.

It is aptly derived of *recordari*, which is to keepe in memorie or record, as it is said, *quod dicere nihil aliud est quam recordari*; and in the same sense the poet useth it, *si ritè audita recordor*. But legally records are restrained to the rolles of such only as are courts of record, and not the rolles of inferiour, nor of any other courts which proceed not *sicundum legem et consuetudinem Angliæ*. And the rolles being the records or memorialls of the judges of the courts of record, import in them such incontrollable credit and veritie, as they admit no averment, plea, or prooffe to the contrarie. And if such a record be alleaged, and it be pleaded that there is no such record, it shall be tried only by it selfe: and the reason hereof is apparent, for otherwise (as our old authors say, and that truly) there should never be any end of controversies, which should be inconvenient. Of courts of record you may read in my Reports; but yet during the terme wherein any judiciaall act is done, the record remaineth in the brest of the judges of the court, and in their remembrance, and therefore the roll is alterable during that terme, as the judges shall direct; but when that terme is past, then the record is in the roll, and admitteth no alteration, averment, or prooffe to the contrarie.

If a grant by letters patents under the great seale be pleaded and shewed forth, the adverse partie cannot plead *nul tiel record*, for that it appeares to the court that there is such a record; but inasmuch as it is in nature of a conveyance, the partie may denie the operation thereof, therefore he may plead *non concessit*, and prove in evidence that the king had nothing in the thing granted, or the like, and so it was adjudged. But to returne to *Littleton*: What then? shall a man that is in prison be privileged from suits or outlawries? Nothing lesse; for if the tenant or defendant be in prison, he shall upon motion, by order of the court, be brought to the barre, and either answer according to law, or else the same being recorded, the law shall proceed against him, and he shall take no advantage of his imprisonment.

**A multò fortiori.** Here is an argument, *à minori ad majus*, and the force of our author's argument is this: If a man in prison shall not be bound by a recoverie by default for want of answer in court of record in a reall action, which is matter of record (the height and strength whereof hath beene somewhat touched) *à multò fortiori*, a discent in the countrey, which is matter of deed, shall not for want of claime binde him that is in prison. And as the argument *à minori ad majus* doth ever hold (as our author hath aircadie told us) affirmatively, so the argument *à majori ad minus* doth ever hold negatively, as our author here teacheth us: and the reason hereof is this, *quod in minori valet, valebit in majori; et quod in majori non valet, nec valebit in minori*.

**Pur ceo que il ne peut aler hors de prison, &c.** By this it appeareth, that a man in prison by proccesse of law ought to be kept *in salvâ et arctâ custodia*, and by the law ought not to goe out, though it be with a keeper, and with the leave and sufferance of the gaoler: but yet imprisonment must be, *custodia, et non pœna*; for *carcer ad homines custodiendos, non ad puniendos dari debet*.

## Sect. 439.

**EN** mesme le man-  
ner il semble,  
lou home est hors  
du royaume en ser-  
vice le roy, pur be-  
soigne del royaume, si  
tiel\* home soit disseise  
quant il est en service  
le roy, † et le disseisor  
morust seise, le disseisee  
and the disseisor dieth

**IN** the same maner  
it seemeth, where a  
man is out of the  
realme in the king's  
service, for the busi-  
nesse of the realme,  
if such a one be dissei-  
sed when hee is in  
service of the king,  
and the disseisor dieth

**HORS** du royaume,  
(*id est*) *extra regnum*; as  
much to say, as out of the  
power of the king of En-  
gland, as of his crowne of En-  
gland: for if a man be upon  
the sea of England, he is with-  
in the kingdom or realme of  
England, and within the li-  
geance of the king of England,  
as of his crowne of England.  
And yet *altum mare* is out of  
the jurisdiction of the common  
law, and within the jurisdic-  
tion

Glanvil. lib. 8. cap. 8. Brafton  
lib. 3. fol. 156. Britton in pro-  
emio & cap. 27.

Cicero.  
Virgil.

Pl. Com. 79. b. Mich. 7. & 8. Eliz.  
Dier 212.

17. E. 3. 49. 37. H. 6. 21. b.  
11. H. 4. 26. b. 21. H. 6. 34.  
Error. Br. 73. 7. H. 7. 4.  
19. Aff. 7. lib. 4. fol. 52. in  
Rawlin's case. Glanvil. lib. 8.  
cap. 8. Brafton lib. 3. fol. 156.  
Britton cap. 27. lib. 6. fol. 11.  
Gentleman's case. and 30. 45.  
lib. 7. fol. 30. lib. 8. fol. 60. b.  
and 67. a. 7. H. 6. 28. 19. H. 6. 9.

(Doc. Pla. 307. 308. 1. Leo. 65.)  
18. Eliz. Dier 333. Mar. D. 129.  
Pl. Com. 232. Seignior Berke-  
ley's case.  
16. H. 7. 11. b. 22. H. 8. Re-  
cora. Br. 65. 29. H. 6. 4.  
3. Eliz. Dier 187. lib. 6. fol. 15.  
Eden's case. Mich. 31. & 32. El.  
Rot. 365. In Banke le Roy, in-  
ter Eden, & Franklyn, & Browne.  
(4. Rep. Hind's case.)  
7. H. 6. 38. 8. H. 6. 16. Vide  
Sect. 418.

6. R. 2. Protect. 46.  
Vide Sect. 198. 440. 441.  
(Cio. Car. 365. 5. Rep. Con-  
stable's case. 1. Roll. Abr. 528.)

\* home not in L. and M.

† et le disseisor morust seise, le disseisee esteant en le service le roy, not in L. and M.

(1) The public records of the kingdom are considered to relate to the proceedings of the houses of parliament, the court of chancery the courts of common law, or the revenue. A general table of them, distinguished under these different heads, is to be found in the appendix to the report from the committee appointed to view the Cottonian library. See the report and appendix, page 183. The rolls or records of parliament have been published in the course of his present majesty's reign, in six volumes folio, under the immediate auspices of the house of peers. This extensive and laborious undertaking is executed with the greatest accuracy: it presupposes no common share of antiquarian and diplomatic learning in the gentlemen concerned in it. A part of it was the work of the late Mr. Morant; all the rest was completed by Mr. Astle, the keeper of the records in the Tower, and Mr. Topham, of Lincoln's-Inn. It should be observed, that the proceedings of the legislature till the reign of Edward I. were exceedingly irregular, and greatly defective in point of form. They are sometimes penned so as to appear to come from the king alone; sometimes as issued jointly by the king and lords; sometimes the assent of the commons is, and sometimes it is not, expressed; sometimes the authority for passing



Lib. 3. Cap. 7. Of Continuall Claime. Sect. 440.

Rot. Pat. { 8. H. 3.  
9. H. 3.  
15. H. 3.  
Temps L. 1. Avowit 192. Rot.  
Valeon. 22. E. 1. m. 8. Pat.  
23. E. 1. 1. pars Pat. 10. E. 2.  
8. E. 2. Coron. 399. Stauf. Pl.  
Coron. 51.

tion of the lord admirall, whose jurisdiction is verie ancient, and long before the reigne of *Edward* the third, as some have supposed, as may appeare by the lawes of *Oleron*, (so called, for that they were made by king *Richard* the first when he was there) that there had beene then an admirall time out of minde, and by many other ancient records in the reignes of *Henric* the third, *Edward* the first, and *Edward* the second, is most manifest.

Vide Sect. 677.

(Hob. 212.)

See hereafter in another case, which *Littleton* put in his chapter of Remitter; there he saith, *ouster le mere*, beyond the sea. This great officer in the Saxon language is called *Aen mere al*, (i. e.) over all the sea, *praefectus maris, sine classis, archibatalanus*: and in ancient time the office of the admiraltie was called *custodia marinae Angliae*, or *maritime Angliae*.

3. R. 3. Cont. Claime 13.  
4. E. 3. 46.

And note *Littleton* saith not, beyond the sea, or *extra quatuor maria*, for a man *revera* may be *intra quatuor maria*, and yet out of the realme of England. But *intra quatuor maria*, or *extra*, is taken by construction to be within the realme of England, or the dominions of the same.

But here a question may be demanded, What if a man be out of the realme, and a recoverie is had against him in a *praecipe* by default, whether shall he avoid it in a writ of error, as well as he should doe the outlawrie, or if he had beene imprisoned at the time of such recoverie by default? And it seemeth that he shall not avoid the recoverie, for by that meanes a man might be infinitely delayed of his freehold and inheritance, whereof the law hath so great a regard. And few or none goe over, but it is either of their owne free will, or by suit, for what cause soever; and he is not in that case without his ordinarie remedie, either by his writ of higher nature, or by a *quod ei deforceat*. But outlawrie in a personall action shall be avoided in that case, *quia de minimis non curat lex*, and otherwise he should be without remedie. See Section 437. and note the diversitie betweene that case of the imprisonment, and this of being beyond sea. And *Littleton* putteth the case of imprisonment, and omitteth the being beyond sea here: neither have I seene any booke to warrant, that he that is beyond sea shall in this case avoid the recoverie by default.

Braet. lib. 5. fol. 436.

*En service le roy*. *Braetton* sheweth, that the exception of being beyond sea is, *quia fuit in servitio domini regis ultra mare, viz. apud talem locum*, and that case is cleere: but you shall heare the opinion of *Braetton* in the next Section, where hee is not in the service of the king.

Sect. 440.

Braet. lib. 5. fol. 436. b. & 163.  
Brit. fol. 21. 216. 217. Flet.  
lib. 6. cap. 52. 53. 13. H. 4.  
Triall 6. 9. H. 4. 3. 21. H. 6.  
Error 27. 33. H. 6. 1. 21. H. 6. 31.  
26. H. 8. cap. 18. 5. & 6. E. 6.  
cap. 11.

AND herewith the ancient law of England is agreeable with *Littleton*, and the law at this day. So as it is *veritas & constans opinio. Excusatur etiam quis quod clamorem*

*ITEM, auters ont dit, que si ascun soit hors du royaume, coment que il ne soit en service le roy, si* ALSO, others have said, that if a man bee out of the realme, though hee bee not in the king's *tiel*

\* &c. added in L. and M. and Roh.

† revient, L. and M.

‡ que est added L. and M.

the acts is mentioned; and sometimes the acts are in the form of charters.—The first summons of the knights of shires to parliament, extant on record, is in the 49th year of Henry III.—The first regular summons directed to the sheriff for the election of citizens and burgesses, is in the 23d of Baldwin I.—In that reign the proceedings of the legislature assumed a more regular form; but far removed from that in which they appear at present. The consent of the commons to the levying of taxes for the king gave them great weight. They took advantage of this circumstance to obtain a remedy for the grievances they had to complain of.—In the reign of Edward III. the mode of presenting their petitions, and of receiving their answers, was regularly practised. If the petition and the answer to it were of such a nature as to require an express and new provision to be made for it, the king, with the assistance of his council and of the judges, framed, from such petition and answer, an act, which was usually entered on the statute roll; but if an express and new provision were not required, the petition itself and the king's answer to it were entered on the parliament roll, and then usually filed an ordinance.—Alterations and improvements gradually took place; but it was not till the reign of Henry VI. that these petitions of the commons were reduced, in the first instance, into the body of the bill.

tiel home esteant hors de le royaume est disseisee en terres ou tenements deins le royaume, et le disseisor devy seisie, &c. le disseisee esteant hors du royaume, il semble a eux, que quant le disseisee vient deins le royaume, que il poit \* enter sur l'heire le disseisor, et ceo semble a eux per deux causes. Un est, que celui que est hors du royaume ne poit aver conusans del disseisin fait a luy per entendement de ley, nient plus que chose fait hors du royaume poit estre try deins le royaume per le serement de 12. † et de compeller tiel home per la ley de faire continuall claime, lequel per l'entendement de le ley ne puit aver ascun notice ou conusance de tiel disseisin, ceo serra inconvenient, et nosmement quant tiel disseisin est fait a luy quant il est hors du royaume, et auxy le morant seisie fuit quant il fuit hors du royaume: car en tiel case il ne poit per nul possibility solon que common presumption faire continuall claime; mes auter-

service, if such a man being out of the realme be disseised of lands or tenements within the realme, and the disseisor die feised, &c. the disseisee being out of the realme, it seemeth unto them, that when the disseisee commeth into the realme, that he may well enter upon the heire of the disseisor, &c. and this seemeth unto them for two causes. One is, that hee that is out of the realme cannot have knowledge of the disseisin made unto him by understanding of the law, no more than that a thing done out of the realme may bee tried within this realme by the oath of 12. men; and to compell such a man to make continuall claime, which by the understanding of the law can have no knowledge or conifance of such disseisin made or done, this shall be inconvenient, namely, when such a disseisin is done unto him when he was out of the realme, and alio the dying feised was done when he was out of the realme: for in such case he may not by

*non appesuerit, ut si toto tempore litigii fuit ultra mare quacunque occasione.* And this is also agreeable with our yeare bookes. (1)

*Nient plus que chose fait hors del royaume poit estre trie deins le royaume per le serement de 12.*

And in this rule of law there is warily and truly put by *Littleton*, these words (*by the oath of twelve men*) meaning by a jury. For by certificate a thing done beyond sea may be tried, as *Littleton* himselfe, Sect. 102. hath set downe. And all matters done out of the realme of England concerning war, combate, or deeds of armes, shall bee tried and terminated before the countable and marshall of England, before whom the triall is by witnesses, or by combate, and their proceeding is according to the civill law, and not by the oath of twelve men, as *Littleton* here speaketh.

This rule here rehearsed by *Littleton*, is worthy of explanation. If an alien (for example borne in France) bring a reall action, and the tenant plead that the demandant is an alien borne under the obedience of the French king, and out of the leigeance of the king of England; shall this case want triall, because the matter alleaged is out of the realme? then by the fiction of this plea, no demandant shall recover; therefore in this case, the demandant shall reply, that hee was borne at such a place in England, within the king's leigeance, and hereupon a jury of 12. shall bee charged, and if they have sufficient evidence that hee was borne in France, or in any other place out of the realme, then shall they finde, that hee was borne out of the king's alleageance, and if they have sufficient evidence that he was borne in *England, or Ireland, or Jersey, or Jersy, or elsewhere* within the king's obedience,

42. E. 3. 2. & 3. Vide Sect. 102.

(Ant. 74. a.)

(4. Infl. 133.)

1. H. 4. cap. 14. 13. H. 4. l. 46  
48. E. 3. 2. & 3.

(Doc. pla. 209.)

20. E. 3. averment. 31. 27.  
Aff. 24. 32. H. 6. 25. 15. E. 4.  
17. 7. H. 6. 15. 1. R. 3. 4.  
6. H. 7. 6. 7. H. 7. 8. F. N. B.  
196. 20. Aff. 11. 13. E. 1.  
m. 10. 47. 12. H. 3. ibid. 55.  
Lib. 7. fol. 26. 27. Calvin's case  
Li. 6. l. 47. Dowdale's case.

\* bien added in L. and M. and Roh.

† &c. added in L. and M. and Roh.

(1) A reference was made in a former part of this work to this place for some observations on the different points of law arising on the doctrine of the *Four Seas*. So far as it leads to an enquiry into our *dominion of the seas*, circumstances of a public nature *now* exist which make it a matter of too much importance and delicacy to be treated of here. In the note referring to this passage, sir Philip Medows's treatise on the dominion and sovereignty of the seas is mentioned with great commendation. A copy of this work, which formerly belonged to lord chief baron Parker, has this note in his lordship's own hand-writing. "This is a most curious and excellent treatise; and though Mr. Selden's *Mare Clausum* is a learned and ingenious work, and will be ever popular with Englishmen, yet Mr. Philip Medows's rules for ascertaining the limits of the sea seem to me to be founded on more solid and prudential reasons than Mr. Selden has offered in his book. T. Parker, 14th Sept. 1744." It has been a complaint among the learned, that in the treaties which have been written on the laws of war and peace, no regard is had to the *intermediate time between the commencement of hostilities and actual war*. Those whose studies may lead them to consider that subject, will hear with pleasure that the late sir Hans Frank, whose erudition and classic taste are well known, left behind him in manuscript, an elegant and accurate treatise upon it, entitled, *De Jure Imperfecti Belli*.

*Handwritten signature and notes in the bottom right corner.*

dience, they shall finde that he was born within the king's leigcance. And this hath ever bene the pleading and manner of triall in that case. And so it is in the case that *Littleton* here putteth, if a man, in avoydance of a fine or a discent, alleage that hee was out of this realme in Spaine, at the time of levying of the fine and at the time of the disseisin and discent, the adverse party may alleage that he was at such a place in England, &c. whereupon issue shall be taken, and then in evidence he may prove that he was out of the realme, &c. which, upon sufficient evidence, the jurie ought to finde. And in both these cases and the like, in a special verdict the jury may finde that he was borne beyond sea, or was beyond sea at that time, &c.

*ment serroit si tiel disseisee fuit deins le royaume al temps de le disseisin, ou al temps del morant del disseisour.* possibilitie after the common presumption make continuall claime; but otherwise it should be if the disseisee were within the realme at the time of the disseisin, or at the time of the dying seised of the disseisor.

(7. Rep. 26. 27. Calv. case.)

5. R. 2. triall. 34.

(\*) 35. H. 8. cap. 2.  
Stamford. pl. cor. 90.  
(Cro. Car. 332.)

[a] 33. Eliz. case Orurke.  
[b] 34. Eliz. case de Sir John Perot.  
[c] Mich. 19. & 20. Eliz. Dier 360.  
(20. H. 6. 8.)  
48. E. 3. 3. 11. H. 7. 16.  
2. R. 3. 4.

(1. Roll. 532. Hob. 11.  
4. Inf. 138. 140. 141. 7. Rep. 2. 2.  
Sid. 367. Lut. 700. 710. 950.)  
Pasch. 28. Eliz. in action de covenant inter Evangelist Constance pl. & Hughyn defendant in the king's bench. Li. 6. f. 47.  
Dowdale's case. Vid. 32. H. 6. 25.  
48. E. 3. 3. 11. H. 7. 16. 2. E. 3. obligation 15.  
(2. Cro. 76. Sid. 228. Hob. 11.)

Entendement de le ley.

Vide Sect. 269.

The statute of 25. E. 3. *de proditionibus* doth declare, that it is treason by the common law to adhere to the enemies of the king within the realme, or without, if hee bee thereof proveably attainted of overt fact, and that he shall forfeit all his lands, &c. A man must not imagine that seeing by the common law declared by authority of parliament, that adhering to the king's enemies without the realme, is high treason, and that the delinquent may be attainted thereof, &c. that this should want triall, for then the judgement of the common law, and declaration of the parliament, should be illusory, which no well advised man will thinke in a matter of so great consequence. But certaine it is, that for necessitie sake, the adherencie without the realme must be alleaged in some place within England. And if upon evidence they shall finde any adherencie out of the realme, they shall finde the delinquent guilty. But most commonly they indited him (if he had lands) in some county where his lands did lie, that were to be forfeited; and this, as appeareth in our bookes, was the common use. And so it is declared by the statute (\*) of 35. H. 8. and that it shall be tried by twelve men of the countie, where the king's bench shall sit, and be determined before the justices of that bench, or else before such commissioners, and in such shire of the realme, as shall be assigned by the king's majestie's commission, and this statute for this point remains in force at this day, and so it was resolved [a] by all the judges in my time, viz. in 33. Eliz. in the case of *Orurke*. And also [b] 34. Eliz. in sir *John Perot's* case done in Ireland, for that is out of the realme of England, and the case [c] in *Mich. 19. & 20. Eliz.* was utterly denied, and sir *Christopher Wray* himselfe (who is supposed to give his opinion in that case) protested that he never gave any such opinion, but did hold the contrary. When part of the act, especially the originall, is done in England, and part out of the realme, that part that is to be performed out of the realme, if issue be taken thereupon, shall be tried here by 12. men; and those twelve men shall come out of the place where the writ is brought. For example, (which ever doth illustrate) it was covenanted by indenture, by charter party, that a ship should sayle from *Blackney* haven in Norfolk, to *Mutrel* in Spaine, and there remaine by certaine dayes.

In an action of covenant brought upon this charter party, the indenture was alleaged to be made at *Thetford* in the county of Norfolk, and upon pleading, the issue was joyned, whether the said ship remained at *Mutrel* in Spaine by the said certaine dayes. And it was adjudged that this issue should be tried at *Thetford*, where the action was brought, because there the contract tooke his originall by making of the charter partie, and so hath it bene often adjudged in such like case.

An obligation made beyond the seas may be sued here in England, in what place the plaintiffe will. What then if it beare date at *Bordeaux* in France, where shall it be sued? And answer is made, that it may be alleaged to be made *in quodam loco vocat' Bordeaux* in France, in *Islington* in the county of *Middlesex*, and there it shall be tried, for whether there be such a place in *Islington* or no, is not traversable in that case. These points are necessary to be knowne in respect of the variety of opinions in our bookes. And of these thus much shall suffice, and now is *Littleton* worthy to be heard.

*Per entendement de le ley.* Vide, for intendement of law, Sect. 99, 100. 110. 293. 377. 393. 406. 367. 462. 463, &c. 439.

*Geo ferra inconveniunt.* Here also, as hath bene often said, appeareth, that *argumentum ab inconvenienti*, is strong in law.

*Auterment est si le disseisee fuit deins le royaume al temps del disseisin, &c.* So as if a man be disseised before he goeth over sea, or cometh into the realme againe before the discent, the discent shall take away his entrie.

Sect 441.

*UN autre mat-  
ter ils allege-  
ont pur prover que  
devant le statute fait  
en le temps de roy E. 3.  
an. \* 34. cap. 16.  
de son raigne, per  
quel estatute non-  
claime est ouste, &c.  
le ley fuit tiel, que si  
un fine soit levy de  
certaine terres ou te-  
nements, si ascun  
que fuit estrange al  
fine avoit droit d'aver  
et recover mesmes  
les terres ou tene-  
ments, s'il ne venust  
et fist son claime a ceo  
deins l'an et le jour  
procheine apres le fine  
levie, il serra barre  
a tous jours, quia  
dicebatur, finis finem  
litibus imponebat.  
Et que la ley fuit tiel,  
il est prove per l'esta-  
tute de Westminster  
2. De donis conditio-  
nalibus, lou il est parle  
que si fine soit levie de  
les tenements en taile,  
&c. quod finis ipso ju-  
re sit nullus, nec habe-  
ant hæredes, aut illi  
ad quos spectat rever-  
sio licet fuerint plenæ  
ætatis in Angliâ, et ex-  
tra prisonam) necessi-  
tat apponere clameum  
suum, † &c. Issint ceo*

**A**NOTHER matter they alleage for a prooffe that before the statute of king Edward the Third, made the 34th yeare of his reigne, by which statute non-claim is ousted, &c. the law was such, that if a fine were levied of certaine lands or tenements, if any that was a stranger to the fine had right to have and to recover the same lands or tenements, if he came not and made his claime thereof within a yeare and a day next after the fine levied, he shall be barred for ever, quia dicebatur quod finis finem litibus imponebat. And that law was such, it is proved by the statute of West. the 2. *De donis conditio-  
nalibus*, where it is spoken if the fine bee levied of tenements given in the taile, &c. *quod finis ipso jure sit nullus, nec habeant hæredes, aut illi ad quos spectat reversio (licet plenæ ætatis fuerint in Angliâ, et extra prisonam) necessitat apponere clameum suum.* Soe it is proved

**H**ERE it appeareth, what the common law was before the said statute, for non-clayme upon a fine levied. But now since Littleton wrote, by the statute of 4. H. 7. five yeares after proclamations made upon the fine are given to him that right hath to make his claime, or pursue his action, where the common law gave him but a yeare and a day. But this statute of 4. H. 7. extends only to fines, and not to non-claim upon a judgement in a writ of right, and therefore the said statute of 34. E. 3. here cited by Littleton, which ousteth non-claim only to fines levied, extendeth not to a judgement in a writ of right at this day, and therefore the common law in that case remaineth to this day, viz. that claime must bee made within a yeare and a day after judgement. Also if a fine be levied without proclamations, or without so many as the law requireth, then the statute of non-claim doth extend to such a fine.

*Dicebatur finis, quia finem litibus imponebat.* (1) Here you may observe the etymologie of a fine. And herewith agreeth [a] antiquity: *Finis idè dicitur finalis concordia, quia imponit finem litibus.* And after the example [b] of Littleton, it is good to search out the etymologie or right derivation of words; for *ignoratis terminis ignoratur et ars*, as hath beene often observed in other places. And the civilians call this judicall concord, *transacionem judicalem de re vor. finis vj. the*

84. E. 3. cap. 16.  
(Ant. 254. b.)  
4. H. 7. cap. 24.  
See as well this statute as the statute of 32. H. 8. cap. 36. well expounded in my Reports. Lib. 3. fol. 84, 85, &c. case del fines per totum. lib. 1. fol. 96, 97. in Shelley's case. lib. 2. fol. 93. Bingham's case. lib. 8. fol. 100. Lechford's case. lib. 9. fol. 139, 140, 141. Beumond's case. lib. 10. fol. 49. b. Lamport's case, and 19 a. lib. 9. fol. 105, 106. Margaret Podger's case. lib. 5. fol. 124. Saffyn's case. lib. 10. 96, 97. Seymour's case. lib. 8. fol. 72. Grosseve's case. lib. 11. fol. 69, 71, 78. Pl. Com. in Smith and Stapl. case, and in Stowe's case, and Howel's case, and Glanvil. li. 13. cap. 11. Braet. 435. Fleta, lib. 6. cap. 53. Brit. 216.

[4. H. 7. c. 24. 32. H. 8. c. 36. 2. Cio. 101. 226.]

[a] Glanvil. lib. 8. cap. 3. Braet. lib. 5. fol. 435. Fleta, lib. 6. cap. 52, 53

[b] Etymologies. &c. Vol. 8. fol. 74. 174. 174. 415. 529. 599.

*Licet hoc non sit in fine...  
transacionem judicalem de re vor. finis vj. the  
in de re vor. finis vj. the  
Licet hoc non sit in fine...  
transacionem judicalem de re vor. finis vj. the  
in de re vor. finis vj. the  
Licet hoc non sit in fine...  
transacionem judicalem de re vor. finis vj. the  
in de re vor. finis vj. the*

\* 34. cap. 16. not in L. and M: nor Rob.

† &c. not in L. and M. nor Rob.

(1) Every part of the law relating to fines and common recoveries has been stated and explained by Mr. Cruise, in his Essays upon those subjects, in a manner that equally recommends them to the student, and the most learned and experienced practitioners. Besides the obligations which the Editor has to him upon this account in common with the rest of the profession, he acknowledges with equal pleasure and gratitude the particular obligations he has to him for the assistance he has derived from them in the course of this work.

*Licet hoc non sit in fine...  
transacionem judicalem de re vor. finis vj. the  
in de re vor. finis vj. the  
Licet hoc non sit in fine...  
transacionem judicalem de re vor. finis vj. the  
in de re vor. finis vj. the*

Stat. de anno. 13. E. 1.

[c] Pl. Com. Stowel's case, 359.

Bracton, lib. 5. fo. 436.  
Britton, fo. 216. b.  
Fleta, lib. 6. ca. 53.

4. H. 7. c. 24.  
32. H. 8. c. 36.  
2. Inf. 516.)

*Licet fuerit plenæ prove, que si un e-*  
*etatis in Angliâ, et ex-*  
*tra prisonam.* In this act  
of 13. E. 1. *De donis condition-*  
*nalibus* is one omitted, who is  
added in the statute *De mo-*  
*do levandi fines, viz. et sanæ me-*  
*morix.* [c] But a fem-covert  
had no privilege of non-  
claime at the common law,  
as some have said, because she  
had a husband that might  
make claime for her. But  
yet Bracton saith, *Item excu-*  
*satur uxor quæ sub potestate viri*  
*supposita, quod clamcum non*  
*apposuerit licet mittere possit,*  
and citeth a judgement in the  
point, *Trin. 4. H. 3.* in *Cu-*  
*sin's* case. But Fleta saith, *Ex-*  
*cusatur si fuerit uxor alicujus, si*  
*fuerit per virum impedita, quod*  
*non potuit apponere clamcum.*  
Also they in reversion or re-  
mainder expectant upon any  
estate of freehold were barred  
by the common law; and yet  
they could make no claime,  
because, as hath beene said, it  
belonged to the particular ten-  
nant, and not to them. because  
their entry was not lawfull;  
which was one of the princi-  
pall causes of making of the  
said statute of 34. E. 3. which  
ousted non-claime. But these  
now without question holpen,  
titles, by the said statute of 4.

*strange home que a-*  
*voit droit a les tene-*  
*ments, s'il fuit hors*  
*de royaume al temps*  
*del fine levie, &c. n'a-*  
*vera damage, coment*  
*que il ne fist son claime,*  
*&c. coment que tiel*  
*fine fuit matter de*  
*record: per greinder*  
*reason il semble a eux,*  
*que un disseisin et dis-*  
*cent que est matter en*  
*fait, ne issint trope*  
*grecvera celuy que fuit*  
*disseisie quant il fuit*  
*hors du royaume al*  
*temps de disseisin, et*  
*auxy al temps que le*  
*disseisor morust sei-*  
*sie, &c. mes que il*  
*bien poit enter, nient*  
*contristeant tiel dis-*  
*cent.\**

that if a stranger that  
hath right unto the te-  
nements, if he were  
out of the realme at  
the time of the fine le-  
vied, &c. shall have no  
dammage, though that  
hee made not his  
claim, &c. though that  
such fine was matter  
of record: by greater  
reason it seemeth unto  
them, that a disseisin  
and discent that is mat-  
ter in deed, shall not so  
grieve him that was  
disseised when he was  
out of the realme at  
the time of that dissei-  
sin, and also at the  
time that the disseisor  
died seised, &c. but  
that he may well enter,  
notwithstanding such  
discent.

cases of coverture, and of them in reversion and remainder, are  
and just provision made for the saving of their rights and  
H. 7. as by the said act appeareth.

Sect. 442.

**ARRAIGNE** *un af-*  
*sise.* To arraigne the  
assise is to cause the tenant  
to be called to make the  
plaint, and to set the cause  
in such order as the tenant  
may bee enforced to answer  
thereunto; and is derived of  
the French word *arraigner*,  
which signifieth to order or  
set in right place. An ar-  
raignment is sometime cal-  
led an attitition, of the verbe  
*assituo*, compounded of *ad*  
and *statuo*, that is, to place  
or set in order one by ano-  
ther. In the same sense that  
Littleton here useth it, it is  
used when an appeale is

**ITEM**, *quære si*  
*home soit dissei-*  
*sie, et il arraigne un*  
*assise envers le dissei-*  
*sor, et les recognitors*  
*de le assise † chaun-*  
*ta pur le plaintise, et*  
*les justices d'assise voyle*  
*estre advises de leur*  
*judgment, tanques al*  
*prochein assise, &c.*  
*et en ‡ le dementiers*  
*le disseisor morust sei-*  
*sie, &c. si le dit fuit*

**ALSO**, inquire if a  
man be disseised,  
and he arraigne an af-  
sise against the dissei-  
sor, and the recog-  
nitors of the assise  
chante for the plain-  
tise, and the justices of  
assise will bee advised  
of their judgements  
untill the next assise,  
&c. and in the meane  
season the disseisor di-  
eth seised, &c. yet the

doit

\* &c. added in L. and M. and Roh.

† *chaunta*—*chaunteront*, in L. and M. and *chanteront* in Roh.

‡ *le* not in L. and M.

*del assise serra \* pris en ley pur le dit disseisee un continuall claime, entant que nul default fuit en luy †, &c.*

faid fuit of the assise shall bee taken in law for the disseisee a continuall claime, inso-much that no default was in him, &c.

arraigned, both which are arraigned in French, but entred in Latin. And it is to bee observed, that *Littleton* saith here *arraigne un assise*, and saith not that the tenant is arraigned; and so of the appeale; for these are the suits of the subject, and no man is

(10. Rep. 122.)

faid to be arraigned, but merely at the suit of the king, upon an enditement found against him, or other record wherewith he is charged. And there the arraignment of the prisoner is to take order that he appeare, and for the certainty of the person to hold up his hand, and to plead a sufficient plea to the enditement or other record, whereupon they which follow for the king may orderly proceed.

2. & 3. E. 6. c. 24. towards the end. Stat. pl. cor. 105. C. 3. H. 7. ca. 1.

*Justices d'assise.* Justices of assise are assigned and constituted by the king of the judges and sages of the law, and are called justices of assise, for that the writs of assise of *novel disseisin*, (which in former times were accounted *seffina remedia*, and very frequent and common) were returnable before them to be taken in their proper counties twice every yeare at the least, whereupon they had authority to give judgment and award seisin and execution: and therefore both for the number of them in times past, and for the greater authority they had then as justices of *nisi prius* (which was to trie issues only, except in *quare impedit*, and *assises de darrein presentment*, in which cases the justices of *nisi prius* might give judgment) they were denominated justices of assises: and divers acts of parliament have given to them great authority both in criminall causes and common pleas. These justices of assise have also commissions of *oyer* and *terminer*, of gaole delivery and of the peace, of association, and *si non omnes* throughout their whole circuits, so as they are armed with ample, provident, but yet ordinary jurisdiction; for all their commissions are bounded with this expresse limitation, *faciuri quod ad justitiam pertinet secundum legem et consuetudinem Angliæ*. And in former time, according to the originall institution and their commission, both the justices joined both in common pleas and pleas of the crowne.

Vid. Sect. 514. 233. 234. Magna Charta, 30. W. 2. ca. 3. 30. 39. Stat. de Ebor. ca. 7. 4. Artic. Sup. Cart. ca. 10. 4. E. 3. ca. 11. 7. R. 2. ca. 4. 27. E. 1. de finibus ca. 4. 28. F. 1. de appellatis. 4. E. 3. ca. 2. 2. H. 5. ca. 8. 3. H. 5. ca. 7. 13. H. 4. ca. 7. North. 2. E. 3. ca. 3. 2. E. 3. ca. 5. 14. H. 6. ca. 1. 21. H. 6. ca. 10. 3. H. 7. c. 1. 33. H. 8. c. 9. 34 & 35. H. 8. ca. 14. 2. & 3. E. 6. ca. 24. 1. E. 6. ca. 7. 2. Mar. Dier 99. 3. & 4. Eliz. Dier 205. (F. N. B. 240. c. 4. Inf. 161.)

*Si le dit fuit del assise serra prise en ley, &c. un continual claime.*

And it is holden at this day that it shall amount to a claime, for that there was no default in him, as *Littleton* saith. (d) Some have objected, that if the bringing of an assise should amount to continuall claime, and every continuall claime made by the disseisee vest the possession and freehold in him, therefore if bringing the assise, &c. should amount to a continuall claime, that then the writ should abate. But hereunto it hath bene answered in this chapter, that a continuall claime is an entry by construction of law for the advantage of the disseisee, but not for his disadvantage.

(d) See before in this chapter, Sect. 419. Vid. Sect. 416. (2. Ed. 3. 8. 14. Ed. 3. 14.) (Ant. 253. b.)

In a writ of entry *sur disseisin* against one, supposing that he had not entred but by S. who disseised him, the tenant saith that S. died seised, and the land descended to him, and prayed his age; the plaintife counterpleaded his age, for that he arraigned an assise against S. who died hanging the assise, and he was ousted of his age, for that the bringing of the assise amounted to a claime.

24. E. 3. 25. 9. E. 2. age. 141. 15. E. 3. Counterpleca de gai. 5.

If tenant in dower alien in fee with warranty, and the heire in the reversion bring a writ of entry *in casu proviso*, &c. and hanging the plea the tenant dieth, the heire shall not be rebutted or barred by this warranty, for that the *præcipe* did amount to a continuall claime. And herewith agreeth (\*) antiquity: *Et si clameum non apposerit, sufficit tamen si ille vel antecessor suus faciat quod tantundem valeat, ut si placitum moverit tenentem vel fecerit rem litigiosam; quia sicut plus est facto appellare quàm verbo, ita plus est clameum apponere facto quàm verbo: et ad hoc facit de termino Sanctæ Trinitatis, anno regni regis H. 3. 15. in com. Hunt. de quâdam Guldeburgâ, cui objectum fuit, quod clameum non apposuit, et ipsa respondit, quod fecit quod tantundem valet, quia tempore finis facti implacitavit tenentem per aliud brev, &c.*

3. E. 3. tit. garrantie 62.

\* Fleta, lib. 6. ca. 52. Bract. lib. 5. fo. 436.

If the goods of a villeine (before any seifure made by the lord) be distreined, the lord may have a replevyn; and notwithstanding before the bringing of the writ he had no property, yet the very bringing of the writ doth amount to a claime of the goods, and vesteth the property in the lord.

33. E. 3. Replevin. 43. 42. E. 3. 18. b. 9. H. 6. 25.

*Entant que nul default fuit en luy, &c.* Hereby it is implied, that our author inclined to this opinion, that it should amount to a claime, for that no default was in him; *et nemo debet rem suam sine facto aut defectu suo amittere*, as the rule is.

Sect.

\* pris not in L. and M. nor Rob.

† &c. not in L. and M. nor Rob.

Sect. 443.

(Post. 331. a. 342. b. 345. a.)  
(Dyer 71. a.)  
(2. Roll. Abr. 339.)

Merleb. cap. 28.

(5. Rep. 21.)

(F. N. B. 34. m. W. 2. cap. 5.)

(8. Rep. 88. Ant. 252. b.)

**H**ERE, first, it is to be observed, that albeit the freehold and inheritance is in this case in no person, but in abeyance or in consideration of law, yet an entrie and claime by one that hath no right shall gaine the inheritance by wrong. For here *Littleton* saith, and of such estate died seised, &c. And so it is in case of a bishop, parson, vicar, prebend, or any other sole corporation. And in the statute of *Merklebridge* it is called an intrusion.

Secondly, that seeing by the death of the abbot (which is the act of God) no person is able to make continuall claime, therefore a discent during that time shall not prejudice the successor; for, as hath beene said, *Impotentia excusat legem*. If an usurpation bee had to a church in time of vacation, this shall not prejudice the successor, to put him out of possession, but that at the next avoidance hee shall present.

*Nient plus que ils sont able de suer action, &c.* Here that which hath in this chapter beene said is confirmed, *viz.* That the entrie or continuall claime must pursue the action.

*Car le covent n'est forsque un mort person, &c.* This is *ratio una*, but not *unica*: for though the rest of the corporation be no mort persons, as the chapter in case of deane and chapter, or the commonaltie in case of mayor and commonaltie; yet cannot they when there is no deane or maior make claime, because they have neither ability nor capacitie to take or to sue any action, as our author here saith.

*Car en temps de*

*ITEM, quære si un abbe de un monasterie morust, et durant le temps de vacation un home torciouvement enter en certaine parcel de terre del monastery, claymant la terre a luy et a ses heires, et de tiel estate morust seisie, et la terre descendist a son heire, et puis apres un \* est elect, et fait abbe de mesme la monasterie, si † mesme l'abbe poit enter sur le heire ou nemy. Et il semble a ascuns, que l'abbe bien poit enter en ceo cas, pur ceo que le covent en temps de vacance ne fuit ascun person able de faire continual claime; car nient plus que ils sont personable de ‡ suer action, nient plus ils sont able de faire continual claime, car le covent § n'est forsque || un mort corps sans teste; car en temps de vacation un graunt fait a eux, ou per eux, est void; et en cest case l'abbe ne poit aver bricse d'entre sur disseisin envers*

**A**LSO, inquire if an abbot of a monasterie die, and during the time of vacation a man wrongfully entreteth in certaine parcels of land of the monasterie, claiming the land unto him and his heires, and of that estate dieth seised, and the land descendeth unto his heires, and after that an abbot is chosen, and made abbot of the monasterie, a question is, if the abbot may enter upon the heire or not. And it seemeth to some, that the abbot may well enter in this case, for this, that the covent in time of vacation was no person able to make continuall claime; for no more than they be personable to sue an action, no more be they able to make continuall claime, for the covent is but a dead bodie without head; for in time of vacation a grant made unto them is void; and in this case an abbot may not have a writ of entrie upon disseisin against the heire, for  
le

\* abbe added L. and M. and Roh.  
§ n'est—est, L. and M. and Roh.

† mesme not in L. and M. nor Roh.  
|| come added L. and M. and Roh.

‡ suer—sire, L. and M. and Roh.

*le beire, pur ceo que il ne fuit unques disseisee. Et si l'abbe ne pouvoit enter en ceo case, donques il ferra mis a son briefe de droit, \* &c. lequel ferra trope dure pur le meason: per que semble a eux, que l'abbe bien poit enter, &c.*

*Quæras de dubiis, legem benediscere si vis: Quærare dat sapere, quæ sunt legitima verè†.*

life be made, the remainder to the maior and commonaltie of *D.* there be a maior elected during the particular estate.

*Poit enter, &c.* Here by this (*&c.*) is implied, or make his continuall claime in such sort as hath beene before expressed.

*Quæras de dubiis, legem bene discere si vis: Quærare dat sapere, quæ sunt legitima verè.*

Here *Littleton* expresseth an excellent meanes to attaine to the reason of the law, by enquiring of, and conference had with, learned men, of doubtfull cases:

*Inter cuncta leges, & per cunctabere doctos.*

Horace.

For as *collatio peperit artes*, so *collatio perficit artes*: and this must be continuall; for as knowledge increaseth, so doubts therewith increase also; *Crescente scientiâ, crescut simul et dubitationes.*

And here *Littleton* citeth verie aptly two verses; for it is truly said, that *Authoritates philosophorum medicorum et poetarum sunt in causis allegandæ et tenendæ*: and our author doth cite a verie for memorie, but it is worthy of memorie.

CHAP. 8.

Of Releases. (1)

Sect. 444.

**RELEASES** *font en divers man- ners, cestascavoir, releases de tout le droit que home ad en terres ou tenements, † et releases de actions personals et reals, et auters choses. Re-*

**HERE** our author be- ginneth with a division of releases. These words must be refer- red thus: releases are of two sorts, *viz.* a release of all the right which a man hath either in lands and tenements, or in goods and chattels: or there is a release of actions reall, of or in lands or te- nements: or personall, of or in goods or chattels: or mixt, partly

Vide Mir. cap. 2. sect. 17. Vide Brit. 101. Bract. li. 5. Tract. de Except. & lib. 4. fol. 318. b. Fleta, lib. cap. 14.

\* &c. not in L. and M. in L. and M.

† *verè* not in L. and M. nor is any part of these two verses in the Camb. MSS.

‡ &c. added

(1) At common law, lands could not be transferred by one person to another but by feoffment, with livery of the seisin. This produced a notoriety of the transmutation of the possession. This notoriety was in some measure effected by a disclaimer; but that was only a notious possession, liable to be defeated by the disseisee. Thus the disclaimer had the possession; the disclaimer the right. To complete the title of the disseisor, it was necessary he should acquire the right. This could not be done by a feoffment, as that was a transfer of the possession; but it was effected by a release, which in some respects operates as an actual transfer of the right; in others, as an acquittal or discharge from it. The different degrees of title in the disseisor, his heir, or feoffee, and the different natures of the rights of the disseisee, make it necessary that releases should be adapted to the different situation of the parties, and give them, as the circumstances of the parties vary, a different effect and operation.



partly in the realty, and partly in the personalty.

Release, Relaxatio. Of the etymologie of this word you have heard before. Fleta [a] calleth it charta de quieta clamantia.

leases de tout le droit que homes ont en terres ou tenements, &c. sont communement fait en tiel form ou de tiel effect :

releases of all the right which men have in lands and tenements, &c. are commonly made in this forme, or of this effect :

[a] Ficta, ubi supra.

Sect. 445.

NOverint universi per presentes, &c.

Here Littleton sheweth presidents of releases of right : and presidents doe both teach and illustrate, and therefore our student is to be well stored with presidents of all kindes.

Remisise, relaxasse, et quietum clamasse. Here Littleton sheweth, that there be three proper words of release, and bee much of one effect: besides, there is renunciare, acquietare, and there bee many other words of release; as if the lessee grants to the lessee for life, that he shall be discharged of the rent, this is a good release. Vide Sect. 532.

And it is to be understood, that there bee releases in deed, or expresse releases, wherof Littleton heere hath shewed an example. These expresse releases must of necessity be by deed. There be also releases in law, and they are sometime by deed, and sometime without deed.

As if the lord disseise the tenant, and maketh a feoffment in fee by deed or without deed, this is a release of the seigniorie. And so it is if the disseisee disseise the heire of the disseisor, and make a feoffment in fee by deed or without deed, this is a release in law of the right. And the same law it is of a right in action. (1)

If the obligor make the obligee his executor, this is a release in law of the action, but the dutie remaines, for the which the executor may retaine so much goods of the testator.

If the feme obligee take the obligor to husband, this is a release in law. The like law is, if there be two femes obligees, and the one take the debtor to husband. (2)

If an infant of the age of seventene yeares release a debt, this is void; but if an infant make the debtor his executor, this is a good release in law of the action. (3)

But if a feme executrix take the debtor to husband, this is no release in law, for that should be a wrong to the dead, and in law worke a deustavit, which an act in law shall never worke. And so it was adjudged in the king's bench, Mich. 30. & 31. Eliz. in which case I was of counsell.

But it is to be observed, that there is a diversitie betweene a release in deed, and a release in law; for if the heire of the disseisor make a lease for life, and the disseisee release his right to the lessee for his life, his right is gone for ever. But if the disseisee doth disseise the heire of the disseisor, and make a lease for life, by this release in law the right is released but during the life of the lessee; for a release in law shall be expounded more favourable, according to the intent and meaning of the parties, than a release in deed, which is the act of the partie, and shall

NOverint universi per presentes, me A. de B. remisise, relaxasse, et omnino de me et hæredibus meis quietum clamasse: vel sic, pro me et hæredibus meis quietum clamasse C. de D. totum jus, titulum, et clameum quæ habui, habeo, vel quovismodo in futurum habere potero, de et in uno messuagio cum pertinentiis in F. &c. Et est ascavoire, que ceux verbs remisise, et quietum clamasse, sont de un tiel effect sicome tiels verbs, relaxasse.

KNOW all men by these presents, that I A. of B. have remisied, released, and altogether from me and my heires quiet claimed: or thus, for mee and my heires quiet claimed to C. of D. all the right, title, and claim which I have, or by any meanes may have, of and in one messuage with the appurtenances in F. &c. And it is to be understood, that these words, remisise, et quietum clamasse, are of the same effect as these words, relaxasse.

Bract. lib. 4. fol. 28. Fleta, ubi sup. 9. H. 6. 35. 24. E. 3. 27. 13. H. 4. entr. congeab. 57.

(2. Roll. Abr. 400. 403. 9. Rep. 52.)

27. H. 8. 29. of an use. 24. H. 6. 44. of an attain. 3. E. 3. 38. 21. E. 4. 81. Pl. Com. Delamere's case. (8. Rep. 136. Plo. 185. 186. Hob. 10. 1. Sid. 79. 1. Roll. Abr. 934. Plo. 36. 5. Rep. 29.)

8. E. 4. 3. 21. E. 4. 2.

11. H. 7. 4. 20. H. 7. 29. 8. E. 4. 3.

30. F. 3. 24. 32. E. 3. tit. seire fac. 102. (Mo. 2. 6. 1. Leo. 20. 8. Rep. 152. Plo. 184. a. Finch. 294.)

See 445. from 15. 1. 12.

(1) What Sir Edward Coke observes respecting obligors and obligees holds equally between all other creditors and debtors; but it must be attended with the following observations. A debt is only a right to recover the amount of the debt by way of action; and as an executor cannot maintain an action against himself, or against a co-executor, the testator, by appointing the debtor an executor of his will, discharges the action, and consequently discharges the debt. Still, however, when the creditor makes the debtor his executor, it is to be considered but as a specific bequest or legacy, devised to the debtor to pay the debt, and therefore, like other legacies, it is not to be paid or retained till the debts are satisfied; and if there are not assets for the payment of the debts, the executor is answerable for it to the creditors. In this case, it is the same whether the executor accepts or refuses the executorship. On the other hand, if the debtor makes the creditor his executor, and the creditor accepts the executorship, if there are assets, he may retain his debt out of the assets, against the creditors in equal degree with himself; but if there are not assets, he may sue the heir, where the heir is bound. See Wankford v. Wankford, 1. Salk. 299. Selwin v. Brown, Bro. Cas. in Par. 179. Forr. 243. Vin. vol. 8. p. 198. 2. Eq. Cas. Abr. 46. 1. note at (Q). See further upon this in 2. 4. Co. 2. 5. 1. 2. 4. 5.

(2) In the case of Smith v. Stafford, Hob. 216. the husband promised the wife before marriage that he would leave her worth 100l. The marriage took effect, and the question was, whether the marriage was a release of the promise. All the judges but Hobart were of opinion, that as the action could not rise during the marriage, the marriage could not be a release of it. The doctrine of this case seems to be admitted in the case of Gage v. Alton, 1. Salk. 325. 12. Mod. 290. The case there arose upon a bond executed by the husband to the wife before the marriage, with a condition making it void if she survived him, and he left her 1000l. Two of the judges were of opinion, that the debt was only suspended, as it was on a contingency which could not by any possibility happen during the marriage. But lord chief justice Holt differed from them: he admitted that a covenant or promise by the husband to the wife to leave her so much in case she survives him is good, because it is only a future debt on a contingency which cannot happen during the marriage, and that is precedent to the debt; but that a bond debt was a present debt, and the condition was not precedent, but subsequent, that made it a present duty, and the marriage was consequently a release of it. The case afterwards went into chancery. The bond was taken there to be the agreement of the parties, and relief accordingly decreed. 2. Vern. 481. A like decree was made in the case of Carnel v. Buckle, 2. P. W. 243.

(3) If the obligor make the obligee his executor, the obligee may retain; but that is not applicable to the case put here. Therefore he may make an executor at 17; taken supra 89. b. it is said that it is at 18. It should seem that the case here is understood of 17 complete, et supra 89. of 18 beginning; and thus the passage agrees. D'Avila Hist. King of France is major at 14 beginning. Thus it seems that puberty, which by the civil law holds from 14 to 18, is understood of 18 beginning; and thus our law agrees with the civil law, impuberi non licet testari before 17 complete, and 18 beginning. Lord Sout. MSS.

shall be taken most strongly against himselfe, and so in the case aforesaid, where the debtor is made executor.

*Totum jus, titulum, et clameum.* But note, that *jus*, or right, in generall signification includeth not onely a right for the which a writ of right doth lie, but also any title or claime, either by force of a condition, mortmaine, or the like, for the which no action is given by law, but only an entry. (10. Rep. 47.)

Sect. 446.

*ITEM, ceux parolx que sont communement mis en tielx faits de releases, \* scilicet (quæ quovismodo in futurum habere potero) sont sicome voides en le ley; car nul droit passa per un release, forsque le droit que le releffor ad al temps de le releas fait. Car si soit pier et fits, et le pier soit disseisor, et le fits (vivant son pier) releffa per son fait a le disseisor tout le droit que il ad ou aver pouvoit en mesmes les tenements sans clause de garrantie, &c. et puis le pier morust, &c. le fits poit loyallyment enter sur la possession le disseisor, par ceo que il n'avoit † droit en la terre ‡ en la vie son pier, mes le droit descendist a luy per discent apres le releas fait per le mort son pere, &c.*

ALSO, these words which are commonly put in such releases, *scilicet (quæ quovismodo in futurum habere potero)* are as void in law; for no right passeth by a release, but the right which the releasor hath at the time of the release made. (1) For if there be father and sonne, and the father bee disseised, and the sonne (living his father) releaseth by his deed to the disseisor all the right which he hath or may have in the same tenements without clause of warrantie, &c. and after the father dieth, &c. the sonne may lawfully enter upon the possession of the disseisor, for that hee had no right in the land in his father's life, but the right descended to him after the release made by the death of his father, &c.

NOTE, a man may have a present right, though it cannot take effect in possession, but *in futuro*. (2)

As hee that hath a right to a reversion or remainder, and such a right he that hath it, may presently release. But here in the case which *Littleton* puts, where the sonne release in the life of his father, this release is void, [a] because he hath no right at all at the time of the release made, but all the right was at that time in the father; but after the decease of the father, the sonne shall enter into the land against his owne release.

[a] Britton, fol. 101. 17. E. 3. 67. 42. E. 3. 21. 10. H. 6. 4. 25. Aff. 7. 27. E. 3. Execution 130. 1. Rep. 112. b.

The baron make a lease for life and dieth, the release made by the wife of her dower to him in reversion is good, albeit shee hath no cause of action against him *in presenti*. 16. E. 3. Barre 245. Hoc's case, 5. part. f. 70, 71.

*Sans clause de garrantie.* For if there be a warrantie annexed to the release, then the sonne shall be barred. For albeit the release cannot barre the right for the cause aforesaid, yet the warranty may rebutt, and barre him and his heires of a future right which was not in him at that time: and the reason (which in all cases is to be fought out) wherefore a warrantie being a covenant reall should barre a future right, is for avoiding of circuitie of action (which is not favoured in law); as he that made the warrantie should recover the land against the

(Sect. 706.)

*4. 2. Durm. f. 1. 5. part. 370. & 371. 4. 2. Durm. f. 1. 5. part. 371.*

20. H. 6. 29.

ter-tenant, and he by force of the warrantie to have as much in value against the same person: yet is there a diversity betweene a warrantie and a feoffment; [b] for if there be grandfather, father, and sonne, and the father disseiseth the grandfather, and make a feoffment

[b] 39. H. 6. 43. 21. E. 4. 81. 15. E. 4. 10. Entr. Cong. 21. in 9. H. 7. 1. b. 2. E. 3. 38.

\* *scil.* --- &c. in L. and M. and Roh. and M. and Roh.

† *nil* added in L. and M. and Roh.

‡ *quant il releffasset*, added in L.

(1) To prevent maintenance, and the multiplying of contentions and suits, it was an established maxim of the common law, that no possibility, right, title, or any other thing that was not in possession, could be granted or assigned to strangers. — A right in action could not be transferred even by act of law; nor was it considered as transferred to the king by the general transferring words of an act of attainder. (See the marquis of Winchester's case, 3. Rep. 2. b.) — But a right or title to the freehold or inheritance of lands might be released in five manners. — 1. To the tenant of the freehold in fact, or in law, without any privity. — 2. To him in remainder. — 3. To him in reversion. — 4. To him who had right only in respect of privity; as if the tenant were disseised, the lord, notwithstanding the disseisin, might release his services to him. — 5. To him who had privity only, though he had not the right; as if tenant in tail made a feoffment in fee, after this feoffment no right remained in him; yet in respect of the privity only, the donor might release to him the rent and services. — 6. So if the terre-tenants and the person entitled to the right or possibility joined in a grant of the lands, it would pass them to the grantee discharged from the right or possibility. See 10. Rep. 49. b. — But the common law is altered in the above instances in many respects. — On the assignment of things in action, see ante note 1. to p. 232. b. A contingent remainder in real estates can only be transferred by a fine or a common recovery, in which the remainder man comes in upon the voucher. — Contingent interests in terms of years, and other personal estate, have been held to be assignable by deed for a valuable consideration. See Mr. Fearne's Essay on Contingent Remainders. The passage in the text was cited by lord chief-justice Trevor, in delivering his opinion on the case of Arthur v. Bokenham, (Fitzgib. 234.) with an observation, that the doctrine laid down there by Littleton had never been contradicted.

(2) This doctrine was fully investigated in the case of *Dorner v. Fortescue*, Vin. vol. 18. fol. 413. 3. Atk. 135. Bro. Par. Cas. v. 4. 353. 405. The case there was, that an estate was limited to the use of A. for 99 years, if he should so long live; and after his decease, or the sooner determination of the estate limited to him for 99 years, to the use of trustees and their heirs, during his life, upon trust to preserve the contingent remainders; and after the end or determination of that term, to the use of A.'s first and other sons successively in tail male, with several remainders over. A. having a son, they joined in levying a fine and following a common recovery, in which the son was vouched. If the trustees took a vested estate of freehold during the life of A. the recovery was void, there not being a good tenant to the precipe; but if they took only a contingent estate, the freehold was in the son, and of course there was a good tenant to the precipe. Upon this point the case was argued in the court of king's bench, and afterwards on appeal before the house of lords, where all the judges were ordered to attend. Lord chief-justice Lee, when the case was heard in the king's bench, and lord chief-justice Willes, in delivering the opinion of the judges in the house of lords, entered very fully into the distinction between contingent and vested remainders. — They seem to have laid down the following points. That a remainder is contingent, either where the person to whom it is limited is not *in esse*; or where the particular